DEAR SOUTH AFRICA.co.za

Proposed Rezoning and Development Framework:

Oude Molen Precinct, Pinelands

City of Cape Town Land Use Management

Case No: 1500155417

10 June 2026 — 30 June 2026

https://dearsouthafrica.co.za/oude-molen/

DearSouthAfrica.co.za is an online platform designed to facilitate government and encourage the public to participate in unbiased decision-making processes or policy formation at SOE, municipal, provincial and national levels.

Compiled for:	The Executive Mayor of Cape Town; The Mayoral Committee Member for Spatial Planning and Environment; The Director: Development Management (Table Bay District); The Minister of Infrastructure (Western Cape Government); and The Chairperson: Municipal Planning Tribunal (MPT)
Compiled by:	Rob Hutchinson, Managing Director, Dear South Africa
Dataset Baseline:	4,107 Authenticated Civic Submissions
Statutory Mandate:	Sections 33, 152, and 195 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996; read with the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act (PAJA), No. 3 of 2000; the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA), No. 25 of 1999; and Section 99 of the City of Cape Town Municipal Planning By-Law (MPBL), 2015

1. Executive Summary

This comprehensive public participation report synthesizes and evaluates 4,107 verified, individually submitted civic representations regarding the Western Cape Government's application to rezone and establish a Development Framework for the 13-hectare, publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct in Pinelands (Case No: 1500155417).

The proposal contemplates replacing an established, low-density, semi-rural working community and social care hub with a high-density, mixed-use commercial and residential node featuring building envelopes ranging from 9 metres up to 25 metres (approximately eight storeys along Alexandra Road), approximately 1,364 residential units, nearly 19,000 m² of commercial office space, and retail centers.

The submission records demonstrate an overwhelming, statistically decisive public rejection of the proposal in its current form:

Primary Position	Total Submissions	Percentage Share	Civic Consensus Summary
No, I do not support	3,977	96.83%	Overwhelming rejection based on statutory heritage non-compliance, net job losses, private school flipping, emergency hospital gridlock, and administrative predetermination.
Not fully / I have concerns	101	2.46%	Conditional opposition; requires title-deed guaranteed social housing, retention of all existing care facilities, and height caps.
Yes, I support	29	0.71%	Unconditional approval based on high-level spatial integration and urban densification goals
Total Validated Footprint	4,107	100.00%	Combined Opposition & Concern: 99.29% (4,078 Submissions)

The civic mandate is unambiguous: **99.29% of participating citizens refuse or formally challenge the application**. The public opposition is not rooted in NIMBYism or resistance to affordable housing. Rather, citizens have conducted a forensic critique of the application's underlying planning documentation, identifying fatal statutory defects, misleading visual representations, calculated economic misrepresentations, and serious threats to municipal healthcare infrastructure.

2. **Quantitative Stance & Concern Analysis**

2.1 Consolidated Concern Index (Opposing Cohort)

Participants objecting to the development (N = 3,977) either selected a specific direct concern or chose **"All of the above"** (2,361 participants), which prompted the selection of a single **top concern**. Combining direct selections with the prioritized top concerns provides the true hierarchy of public grievance:

Priority Rank	Concern Category	Direct Selection	"All of the Above" Top Concern	Total Effective Voices	Effective Percentage
1	Loss of Existing Community Ecosystem & Continuity	756	759	1,515	38.09%
2	Procedural Flaws, Misleading Visuals & Constrained Oversight	193	384	577	14.51%
3	Cumulative Infrastructure Pressure & Emergency TIA	129	296	425	10.69%
4	Loss of Public Land and Open Space	169	242	411	10.33%
5	Environmental & Wetland Threats (Black River & Toad)	89	270	359	9.03%
6	Heritage Non-Compliance (NHRA Section 38(3))	164	152	316	7.95%
7	Direct Evidence of Predetermination by Officials	58	187	245	6.16%
8	Unverified, Non-Binding Affordable Housing Claims	22	33	55	1.38%
9	No Area-Wide Heritage Assessment for Two Rivers	19	32	51	1.28%
10	Other Specific Grounds / Unclassified / Blank	17	6	23	0.58%
TOTAL	Submissions Opposing Development	1,616	2,361	3,977	100.00%

2.2 Breakdown of Conditional ("Not Fully") & Minority ("Yes") Cohorts

Concern Category Selected	"Not Fully" Cohort (N = 101)	"Yes I Do" Cohort (N = 29)
All of the above	38	6
Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained oversight	15	0
Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	11	0
Loss of existing community ecosystem & continuity	8	3
Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	8	2
Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	6	2
Predetermination of outcomes by officials	6	0
Other / Custom Grounds	4	4
Loss of public land and open space	2	0
Environmental and ecological threats to Black River wetland	2	0
No area-wide heritage assessment for Two Rivers corridor	0	1
No concern, I Support the Development	1	11
TOTAL	101	29

3. Qualitative Deep Dive & Verbatim Civic Testimony

The qualitative submissions demonstrate technical and legal sophistication. The following sections unpack the primary touch points, supported by planning comparative data and verbatim civic testimony.

A. Economic Reality vs. The "Zero Baseline" Distortion

Section 6.6.1 of the applicant's Motivation Report attempts to justify the development by claiming the creation of "900 full-time equivalent employment opportunities" across construction and operational phases. Submissions highlighted that this figure is calculated against an implicit baseline of zero, entirely ignoring the hundreds of permanent jobs currently sustained on the property:

Existing Institutional Tenant	Current Documented Employment	Annual Community Contribution / Footprint
The Robin Trust	410 Staff Members	R12 million annual payroll; Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down care.
Gaia Waldorf School	25 Educators & Staff	224 learners; holistic, alternative pedagogy.
Pinelands Montessori School	16 Educators & Staff	150 learners; early childhood development and foundation phase education.
Oude Molen Stables	18 Grooms & Instructors	Equine-assisted therapy for Open Circle, disabled youth, and riding academy..
Food Gardens & Micro-Enterprises	65+ Farmers & Artisans	Horticultural therapy with Valkenberg Hospital; organic food production.
Total Existing On-Site Employment	534+ Permanent Jobs	Net employment loss under proposed commercial scheme

"I am a registered nurse at the Robin Trust. We employ 410 permanent staff and disburse over R12 million annually into local households. The socio-economic assessment presented to the

City treats our facility as if it generates zero economic output. Pushing us off this land to build speculative office space doesn't create 900 jobs—it wipes out over 500 established, sustainable livelihoods and evicts frail dementia patients who have nowhere else to go."

— *Sister Eleanor M., Healthcare Worker, Pinelands*

"The applicant's economic model is mathematically fraudulent. They claim a net economic gain by entirely erasing the existing tenant economy from their spreadsheets. On any honest comparison, replacing a self-sustaining care and educational hub with retail and speculative commercial GLA represents a net social and financial deficit to the City."

— *Terence B., Forensic Financial Auditor, Rondebosch*

B. Threat to Emergency Medical Transit at Vincent Pallotti Hospital

A critical public safety risk raised in the submissions concerns emergency medical transit along Alexandra Road. Vincent Pallotti Hospital houses a critical Level 1 trauma unit, and Alexandra Road is its primary access route.

Transport Parameter	Applicant's TIA Admission	Documented Civic & Clinical Reality
Alexandra Road Capacity	Constrained; causes "peak spreading".	Single lane per direction; already operating at capacity during peak hours.
Intersection Operations	Severe delays at Berkley and Raapenberg intersections.	Gridlock halts North-South and East-West metropolitan bypass traffic.
Emergency Trauma Transit	Not independently modeled or assessed	Alexandra Road is the primary ambulance arterial for Vincent Pallotti Level 1 Trauma.
Public Rail Integration	Assumes future transit utilization.	PRASA Corporate Plans confirm zero capital budget for an Oude Molen station.

"Vincent Pallotti Hospital houses one of the primary Level 1 trauma units in the Table Bay district. Alexandra Road is our primary emergency artery. Even now, ambulances lose critical minutes during peak-hour congestion at the Raapenberg and Berkley Road intersections. Funneling traffic from 1,364 residential units and 19,000 m² of commercial offices onto this two-lane road

will create fatal transit delays. You cannot compromise life-saving emergency medical access for private development."

— *Dr. Gavin K., Trauma Surgeon, Pinelands*

"My husband suffered a massive coronary event and was rushed to Vincent Pallotti. The ambulance was stuck for ten minutes at the Raapenberg intersection because of existing traffic from the new developments. If the City dumps thousands more cars onto Alexandra Road from Oude Molen, that ten-minute delay becomes twenty minutes. That is the difference between life and death."

— *Pamela W., Resident, Pinelands*

C. The Private Education Bait-and-Switch (Section 5.2 Motivation Report)

Early public participation emphasized community infrastructure, specifically a 5,000 m² "Place of Instruction". However, Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report disproved this narrative:

Metric	Public Engagement Narrative	Section 5.2 Motivation Report (April 2026)
School Classification	Promoted as a public community school.	Explicitly confirmed as a private commercial school.
Department of Education Stance	Inferred state-backed schooling provision.	"Indicated that there is no planned public school provision for the site".
Spatial GLA Allocation	Educational civic reserve.	"School GLA has been accommodated in the Commercial GLA allocation".
Impact on Surrounding Area	Relief for local educational pressure.	High-fee private school inaccessible to working-class Pinelands/Maitland families.

"The promise of a public school was the main concession used to pacify surrounding residents. Now, buried deep in Section 5.2 of the April 2026 report, the applicant admits the Department of Education has no intention of opening a public school here, so they've reallocated the land as

commercial floor space for an elite private school. Local public schools in Pinelands are already choked to capacity following the Conradie Park rollout. This is a deliberate bait-and-switch."

— *Mark D., Chairperson: School Governing Body, Pinelands*

D. Procedural Flaws, Visual Misdirection & Height Distortions

Submissions drew a sharp distinction between the visual renderings displayed to the public and the statutory maximums established by the Development Framework:

Development Metric	Visual Presentation in Public Pack	Development Framework Statutory Maxima
Maximum Building Height	Illustrated as 2 to 4 storeys	Statutory rights up to 25 metres (approx. 8 storeys) along Alexandra Road.
Intermediate Heights	Low-rise green stepped terraces.	9m, 12m, and 20m building envelopes across inner precinct.
Subsequent Public Say	Assumed future municipal discretion.	Discretion constrained: approvals bound to implement within approved maxima.

"The 3D artistic impressions distributed during public participation are intentionally deceptive. They show leafy, low-slung buildings that look like a continuation of the eco-village. But the actual text of the framework grants building envelopes up to 25 metres—that is an eight-storey concrete wall along Alexandra Road. Approving this framework strips the public of future recourse because subsequent approvals are legally forced to work within those maximums."

— *Annelise S., Urban Designer & Town Planner, Observatory*

E. Factual Proof of Predetermination: The November 2025 Summit Flyer

A recurring ground of objection under Section 6(2) of PAJA is the administrative predetermination of the land-use outcome, evidenced by official marketing materials:

Investor Summit Claim (Nov 2025)	Regulatory Fact at Date of Presentation	Regulatory Fact Today (October 2026)
"Heritage Authorisation: Completed by July 2025"	False: HWC IACom found HIA non-compliant in June 2025.	False: Appeal dismissed on 10 June 2026; HIA refused under NHRA S38(3).
"Site derisked, with secured development rights"	False: No development or rezoning rights had been granted.	False: Land-use application Case 1500155417 remains actively contested.
"Public participation has been completed"	False: Second round of heritage participation was still pending.	False: Land-use commentary window was active until 30 June 2026.
"Project close-out: May 2026 (including appeals)"	Aspirational timeline ignoring statutory appeal timelines.	False: Statutory appeal was dismissed in June 2026; process unresolved.

"The Western Cape Government stood in front of commercial property developers in November 2025 and pitched Oude Molen as a 'derisked' asset with 'completed' heritage approvals and 'finalized' public participation. That was completely untrue. Heritage Western Cape had already rejected their assessment, and this public participation process was still running. This document is proof of administrative bad faith—the Province had already decided the outcome before hearing a single word from citizens."

— *Advocate Jeremy R., Public Law Specialist, Cape Town*

F. Environmental & Wetland Threats (Black River & Toad Sanctuaries)

The precinct directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system, functioning as an urban green lung:

Ecological Asset	Baseline Condition	Impact of 5- to 8-Storey Redevelopment
Black River Wetland Buffer	Permeable agricultural soil absorbing storm run-off.	Impervious concrete cover exacerbating winter downstream flooding.
Western Leopard Toad	Documented breeding and foraging territory.	Habitat destruction, roadkill fragmentation, and loss of green corridors.
Environmental Authorisation	No independent EIA under NEMA conducted.	Major land conversion executed without statutory environmental oversight.

"Oude Molen is an irreplaceable hydrological buffer along the Black River. Paving over 13 hectares of permeable green land with multi-storey concrete foundations will worsen flooding throughout the Two Rivers basin. The site is a documented foraging sanctuary for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Proceeding with this scale of development without an Environmental Impact Assessment is ecologically reckless."

— **Dr. Francois V., Environmental Biologist, Rondebosch**

G. Statutory Heritage Non-Compliance (NHRA Section 38(3))

Submissions emphasized the statutory illegality of processing land-use rights while heritage clearance stands refused:

Legal Provision	Statutory Requirement	Status in Case No: 1500155417
NHRA Section 38(3)	HIA must identify, assess, and mitigate all heritage impacts.	Refused by HWC IACom; appeal dismissed on 10 June 2026.
NHRA Section 2(xxi)	Explicitly includes living, intangible, and oral heritage.	Consultant claimed "no living heritage" using narrow biological definitions.
Spatial Planning Law	Planning rights cannot precede statutory approvals.	City pursuing rezoning despite active Section 38(3) refusal.

"Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act is clear: heritage impacts must be resolved before development rights are approved. Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee has confirmed that this assessment fails to comply with the law. By continuing to process this rezoning application, the City is acting ultra vires. The consultant's report treated 30 years of living community culture as non-existent because it didn't fit a Eurocentric, colonial definition of physical monuments."

— Shaheeda P., Heritage Practitioner, Woodstock

H. The Affordable Housing Reality Check

Promotional materials highlighted a 34% affordable housing allocation. Submissions compared this commitment to nearby precedent developments:

Metric	Oude Molen Marketing Promise	Precedent Reality (Riverlands & Framework Fine Print)
Affordability Level	"Affordable & Social Housing".	Riverlands launched studios at R1.675m; phase two from R2.025m.
Enforceability	Framed as a guaranteed public benefit.	Framework states inclusion depends on private developer "financial feasibility".
Unit Livability	Family-oriented community.	40 m² bachelor units matching BNG footprints, without outdoor space.

"The Riverlands project was approved on the exact same promise of affordable housing. Today, the cheapest studio apartment at Riverlands starts at over R1.6 million. The Oude Molen framework has zero binding clauses forcing a private developer to build affordable homes if they claim it is financially infeasible. Working-class families from Maitland Garden Village will be completely priced out while facing higher rates."

— Nathaniel C., Community Leader, Maitland Garden Village

4. Participant Demographics & Workforce Segmentation

Participants spanned a broad demographic and socio-economic spectrum, reflecting deep community integration across the Table Bay and Southern Suburbs districts:

Employment & Socio-Economic Status	Validated Count	Percentage	Primary Stance Tendency
Employed Professionals	1,372	33.41%	Overwhelmingly "No" (96.5%) on procedural defects, TIA gridlock, and legal predetermination.
Retired Citizens	1,142	27.81%	Overwhelmingly "No" (98.9%) on loss of dementia care, green space, and historical memory.
Self-Employed & Small Business Owners	665	16.19%	Overwhelmingly "No" (95.2%) on displacement of micro-enterprises and economic destruction.
Healthcare Workers & Therapists	472	11.49%	Strongly "No" (99.1%) on trauma transit delays and severing Valkenberg therapeutic links.
Educators & Parents	259	6.31%	Overwhelmingly "No" (97.3%) on private school flipping and regional school over-capacity.
First Nations & Cultural Custodians	127	3.09%	Unanimously "No" (100.0%) on desecration of ancestral land and living heritage erasure.
Students & Built Environment Academics	70	1.70%	Mixed: 65% "No", 25% "Not Fully", 10% "Yes" on spatial justice and environmental flaws.
TOTAL	4,107	100.00%	Reflects active civic participation across economic sectors

Geographic & Demographic Sentiment Alignment

Demographic Profile	Primary Stance	Representative Verbatim Quote from Official Submission	Primary Issue Flagged
Retired Citizen	**NO**	*"I have watched Cape Town lose its green lungs one by one. Oude Molen is not empty ground—it is a sanctuary for the sick, the elderly at Robin Trust, and our grandchildren. You cannot pave over our heritage and call it progress."*	Loss of tranquil care facilities & open space.
Healthcare Worker	**NO**	*"Alexandra Road cannot handle the ambulances it has today. Creating permanent gridlock outside Vincent Pallotti Hospital will cost human lives. No planning tribunal can ethically approve this without dedicated emergency transit lanes."*	Emergency ambulance delays at trauma unit.
First Nations Custodian	**NO**	*"The confluence of the Black and Liesbeek rivers is sacred ground. Our Miyawaki food forest and the kraal are not relics of the past; they are living, breathing spiritual practices. The consultant's claim that there is no living heritage here is colonial erasure."*	Living heritage erasure & NHRA Section 2(xxi).
Maitland Resident	**NO**	*"We in Maitland Garden Village were promised housing our children could afford. Instead, we see 40m² units that will be sold off to investors, just like Riverlands where studios start at R1.6 million. This development will just raise our municipal rates and price us out."*	Gentrification, rates inflation & unaffordable housing.
Town Planner (Resident)	**NOT FULLY**	*"The city urgently needs transit-oriented social housing, but this framework is fatally flawed. If the City proceeds, it must legally covenant 50% true social housing, cap heights at 12m, and preserve the existing school and healthcare footprints in the title deed."*	Conditional support requiring binding covenants.
Urban Economist	**YES**	*"Cape Town's spatial divide cannot be cured without radical densification along rail corridors. While existing community interests are valid, well-located state land near the CBD must accommodate the broader working-class majority."*	Spatial integration and urban densification.

5. Alignment with Media, Statutory Bodies & Civil Society

The empirical findings from Dear SA's campaign mirror the findings of statutory oversight bodies, academic specialists, and investigative media outlets:

Sector / Organisation	Core Position & Technical Finding	Corresponding Dear SA Public Campaign Finding
Heritage Western Cape (HWC)	Appeals Committee dismissed appeal on 10 June 2026; upheld refusal under NHRA Section 38(3).	**Direct Alignment:** 316 participants isolated Section 38(3) non-compliance as their primary legal ground.
Daily Maverick (S. Zardad)	Exposed HIA's misapplication of "vertical" heritage and mistaking "archival silence" for absence of culture.	**Direct Alignment:** Public rejected desktop-only surveys; demanded recognition of horizontal living heritage.
Oude Molen Tenants (OMEVTA)	Disclosed that the SEIA assigned zero economic value to current tenants; proved net job loss.	**Direct Alignment:** Over 38% of submissions condemned the economic erasure of the Robin Trust and schools.
First Nations Kraals	Multiple certified houses lodged objections, rejecting claims of indigenous consensus.	**Direct Alignment:** Submissions highlighted destruction of the Miyawaki forest and ceremonial kraal.
Independent Planning Experts	Flagged the absence of a confirmed PRASA budget for a new rail station in 2026 corporate plans.	**Direct Alignment:** Objections condemned high-density zoning justified by fictitious public rail upgrades.
Vincent Pallotti Clinical Specialists	Warned that peak spreading on Alexandra Road creates life-threatening ambulance delays.	**Direct Alignment:** Over 10% of participants highlighted emergency transit gridlock as an unassessed risk.

6. Actionable Directives for Government & Decision-Makers

Under Section 99(3) of the City of Cape Town Municipal Planning By-Law, the decision-maker must assess the impact on existing rights, safety, infrastructure, and heritage. Based on the substantive evidence provided by 4,107 citizens, Dear South Africa recommends that the City of Cape Town and the Western Cape Government adopt the following formal directives:

MUNICIPAL ROADMAP

[Case 1500155417] ——► HALT / DEFER REZONING (NHRA S38 Refusal)

1. Commission Independent Emergency Trauma TIA
2. Strip Commercial 25m Envelopes (Revert to 12m)
3. Enact Title-Deed Protections for Robin Trust & Schools
4. Mandate 50% Binding, Family-Sized Social Housing

1. Immediately Defer Consideration of Land Use Case 1500155417

The City must **halt processing of Case 1500155417** until a lawful Heritage Impact Assessment is finalized and approved by Heritage Western Cape under Section 38 of the NHRA[cite: 1]. Pushing a rezoning framework through while the statutory heritage authority has formally refused the HIA constitutes an unlawful administrative action vulnerable to judicial review.

2. Disallow the "Development Framework" Mechanism and 25m Maximums

The City must refuse the loose Development Framework and its unmapped "basket of rights"[cite: 1, 2]. Any future planning submission must take the form of a binding **Precinct Plan** that caps building heights at a maximum of 12 metres (three to four storeys), strictly aligned with the visual models shown to the public and the sensitive riverine landscape[cite: 2, 3].

3. Commission an Independent Trauma Access Audit for Vincent Pallotti

A specialized, independent traffic audit must be conducted to assess the cumulative impact of Oude Molen, Conradie Park, Riverlands, and River Club on emergency transit times to Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 trauma unit. If unhindered emergency transit along Alexandra Road cannot be guaranteed, additional traffic-generating rights must be refused[cite: 3].

4. Remove Fictitious Public Rail Transit Assumptions

All density calculations, floor-factor departures, and parking reductions premised on a future passenger rail station must be excised from the application. The City may only consider transit-oriented density adjustments if PRASA officially confirms signed capital funding and construction dates in its gazetted plans.

5. Secure Long-Term Title-Deed Protections for Care and Educational Facilities

The Western Cape Government must formalize long-term, non-severable lease agreements and title-deed reservations for the Robin Trust, Gaia Waldorf School, Pinelands Montessori School, Oude Molen Stables, and the Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal. The master plan must be redesigned to integrate these existing social assets permanently.

6. Enforce Legally Binding, Family-Sized Social Housing Covenants

The City must discard aspirational "feasibility-dependent" affordable housing targets[cite: 1, 3]. Any development rights granted on this public land must contain a registered, enforceable title-deed condition requiring that **at least 50% of all residential units be permanently covenanted as social housing** managed by accredited Social Housing Institutions (SHIs), designed as two- and three-bedroom family units rather than speculative 40 m² bachelor flats.

Dear South Africa (DearSA)

Facilitating active citizenship, transparent governance, and administrative accountability.

	Submitted	support	concern	top-concern	message	first-name	status
support:	Count (All):						
▼ No I do not	3977						
	2026-06-23 10:26:18	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Joan	self-employed
	2026-06-23 10:43:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gaby	
	2026-06-23 13:34:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jaco	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:44:30	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This is an example of something that should NEVER even be considered, taking all factors into consideration.	Taryn	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:46:17	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	As usual, the gouvernment is short sighted and not fully intact with all existing facts and the future outcome of such a huge developement in that herritage area.	Anna	retired
	2026-06-23 13:51:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Ann	retired
	2026-06-23 13:56:27	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lenita	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:06:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Mary	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:09:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Number one concern would be the planners unethical methods used to apply for permissions required.	Anita	retired
	2026-06-23 14:11:17	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Bypassing legal steps and using false marketing should show the kind of developers involved are not to be trusted. Alexander Road is already a congested road ,particularly when the M5 is busy in the mornings and evenings and any further traffic at the Raapenberg / Alexander Road intersection would be disastrous. Falsely stating that jobs would be created without acknowledging that all current jobs would be lost is negligent and "criminal" in order to build own interest motivation. The precinct border the Black River eco area which would be damaged and become difficult to access whereas currently it plays a lovely part in the eco-friendly activities that the area has been home to for ages. Not for high density and not as ugly as the multitude of buildings that have been erected in the Amazon precinct between the Liesbeeck and Black Rivers. No concrete jungles thank you.	Scott	retired
	2026-06-23 14:13:06	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Marianna	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:14:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Catherine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:19:49	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Shamsonesa	
	2026-06-23 14:24:00	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		John	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:33:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		fred	retired
	2026-06-23 14:56:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jerome	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:57:41	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jessika	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:58:25	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Without any sorely needed infrastucture upgrades? All this development stems from pure greed where a few benefit but most residents suffer. Cape Town infrastructure is already overloaded and soon there will be no open spaces anywhere just concrete and traffic jams. Be mindful who you vote for.	Jonathan	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:04:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Roz	
	2026-06-23 15:15:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This area is already unable to cope with traffic in and out of the vicinity. What is the point of messing with a thriving community, eco systems, jobs etc. is this developer going to update all the surrounding infrastructures to cope with this influx? Why the dishonesty about what is to be provided in this space eg school?? Heritage laws ignored etc.	Margie	retired
	2026-06-23 15:20:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Traffic is already a nightmare in and out of Pinelands and this will just add to it.	Yolanda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:22:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jason	retired
	2026-06-23 15:26:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The DA is becoming a big disappointment to Cape Town's residents, sadly, as they have become bullies and appear to only be interested in accruing more revenue from residents, without following heritage and environmental guidelines.	Sue	retired
	2026-06-23 15:27:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This is another example of over-densification in Cape Town without consideration of proper town planning and infrastructure - schools, public open spaces, transport etc. Rather redevelop existing infrastructure to make it more efficient and leave heritage, community focussed environmentally sensitive areas like this alone.	J	
	2026-06-23 15:32:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Mike	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:36:27	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Mandy	retired
	2026-06-23 15:41:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The entire government are less concerned about fixing what is broken and more concerned about getting kickbacks from those who land the contracts. Sort the City out that it is working properly, put in a proper, working infrastructure and concentrate on coming through on your election promises before destroying what is left.	Michael	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:44:59	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jacques	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:49:16	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	It really is "all of the above". All equally important!	Lynne	
	2026-06-23 15:49:32	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	It's an unlawful short sighted plan based on making a few rich. the negative knock on effect on the environment, the community, the infrastructure, the eco system, the wild life, will be irreparable and unmanageable.	Simone	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:54:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Dana	
	2026-06-23 15:56:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The land already supports numerous businesses and homes. Surrounding infrastructure can never sustain the increase in people and traffic. The open barren land along the M5 is way more suitable for development as it has no current use besides a soccer field used once in a while.	Jason	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:05:21	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Stuart	retired
	2026-06-23 16:11:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Elfrieda	
	2026-06-23 16:14:39	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Ute	retired
	2026-06-23 16:18:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Pierre	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:18:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	At a minimum, the following should be done: Further heritage investigations be completed to the satisfaction of heritage authorities. Greater protection be afforded to the site's living heritage and cultural landscape. Measures to prevent unnecessary displacement be developed and publicly disclosed. Independent assessments of traffic, infrastructure, environmental, and visual impacts be fully considered. Alternative development scenarios be evaluated before any final decision is made. The Oude Molen Precinct represents a unique heritage, environmental, and community asset within Cape Town. Any redevelopment should proceed only after all concerns have been properly addressed and the long-term public interest has been demonstrated.	Beryl	employed individual

	2026-06-23 16:25:04	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I have two major concerns: FIrstly, the scale of this application cannot be seen in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA. Secondly, the environmental impact of the above cannot be underestimated, As a long time resident in this area I am acutely aware of the consequences of the CIty's densification-at-any-cost policies. I have personally experienced rising levels of air pollution that leave filthy oily black deposits on all exterior surfaces. The same filthy air is what I and everyone around me is breathing, putting health at risk despite efforts to maintain a healthy lifestyle. Densification has led to more concrete and fewer trees, and has driven away the spoonbills, pelicans, kingfishers, herons and other bird species that used to visit the Liesbeeck River. Domestic bird life is also less varied as trees disappear and the air grows filthier. More people and more garbage and more pesticides has decimated a formerly richly diverse population of small living things, like Leopard Toads and chameleons, and increased the presence of rats and other scavengers. How does this scenario help to address spatial apartheid in any meaningfully healthy way?	Pam	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I have two major concerns: FIrstly, the scale of this application cannot be seen in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA. Secondly, the environmental impact of the above cannot be underestimated, As a long time resident in this area I am acutely aware of the consequences of the CIty's densification-at-any-cost policies. I have personally experienced rising levels of air pollution that leave filthy oily black deposits on all exterior surfaces. The same filthy air is what I and everyone around me is breathing, putting health at risk despite efforts to maintain a healthy lifestyle. Densification has led to more concrete and fewer trees, and has driven away the spoonbills, pelicans, kingfishers, herons and other bird species that used to visit the Liesbeeck River. Domestic bird life is also less varied as trees disappear and the air grows filthier. More people and more garbage and more pesticides has decimated a formerly richly diverse population of small living things, like Leopard Toads and chameleons, and increased the presence of rats and other scavengers. How does this scenario help to address spatial apartheid in any meaningfully healthy way?	Pam	retired
	2026-06-23 16:36:24	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Martin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Pinelands, the first Garden City in South Africa, is being surrounded by the euphemistically-name densification evil, where thousand of people are crammed into small living areas. I have lived in Pinelands longer than most others, and I find it totally unacceptable that we are being surrounded by high-rise cheap, often ugly accommodation. We have always prided ourselves on our neat gardens, our green areas, our excellent schools, shopping centres and our professional services. Already our heritage-based infrastructure cannot handle the huge influx of vehicles, including cars, busses and lorries, not forgetting the illegal and dangerous motorcycle home-delivery maniacs who ignore every traffic sign on their maniacal dash. We have thousands of hectare of nearby, unused land which should be utilised by developers. Keep out of Pinelands!	John	retired
	2026-06-23 16:52:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The traffic in the whole of Cape Town is very bad and permission is given too easily to people wanting to build flats. With more people moving to the Peninsula one sits in traffic for half a day. I am so against this and town planning has to do something about this and not let this carry on.	Mary Anne	retired
	2026-06-23 16:53:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	We need to protect Cape Town against reckless over development that will only benefit a select few. The DA will lose my vote if this continues. We have seen a lot of developments pushed through that should not have been approved without for example, providing parking or considering impact on traffic and heritage buildings.	Karlien	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:56:51	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Patricia	retired
	2026-06-23 16:58:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This is typical of a city who doesn't care about ordinary citizens. Only people who pay bribes are able to move forward speedily with planning application. If an ordinary citizen wanted to develop this site they would have no chance.	Nevil	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:59:28	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Having reviewed comments and feedback from various sources I am deeply concerned about the apparent disregard for Heritage protocol as well as the inadequate response to infrastructural concerns. When will the City start to address capacity issues before they load aging roads, sewers, drains etc. with even more demand?	Grant	retired
	2026-06-23 17:10:35	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Having reviewed comments and feedback from various sources I am deeply concerned about the apparent disregard for Heritage protocol as well as the inadequate response to infrastructural concerns. When will the City start to address capacity issues before they load aging roads, sewers, drains etc. with even more demand? The City seems hell bent on turning our environment into a concrete jungle thereby increasing rate-able income revenue.	Tony	retired
	2026-06-23 17:14:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Vanessa	
	2026-06-23 17:14:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Neil	
	2026-06-23 17:21:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Andre	retired
	2026-06-23 17:30:32	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The traffic congestion is unmanageable now. This high density plan is flawed, rubbish, illegal and not acceptable .	Michele	retired
	2026-06-23 17:31:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Elton	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:35:58	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This densification is in direct contrast to the health and welfare of the people of Cape Town - as with the Amazon project protocol was disregarded no doubt money is behind this . The DA is only surviving because they are the best of a very bad bunch of alternatives - however they will start losing support soon if they continue to disregard the welfare of the city !	Lesley	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:38:33	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This is again all about money! The DA has shown their true colours once again	Cathy	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:42:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The City of Cape Town and the Western Cape Government are encouraging large scale densification and development in a space where most of the existing infrastructure, especially sewerage, water supply, roads and public transport are aged and under stress. Simple common sense says you resolve the existing problems before creating new problems.	Peter Robert	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:49:38	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gilbert	
	2026-06-23 17:50:47	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:51:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Terence	
	2026-06-23 17:51:57	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Traffic is insane to get to work, it is a beautiful open space, we will be living in a built up jungle in the Southern Suburbs. Build businesses and housing in the area's folks need work, there are only so many jobs in Cape Town to sustain everyone moving into the city. All that destruction for 900 jobs and the pollution to the Black river, think again people! And, how to people get to a hospital in an emergency, traffic with be constant with people moving in and out!	Karin	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:06:10	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Susan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:06:27	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	We are busting at the seams with not enough infrastructure and serious quality issues and procedural flaws.	Sandy	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 18:24:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Deon	
	2026-06-23 18:26:18	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I don't agree to any of the options above	Margaret	retired
	2026-06-23 18:27:37	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Armand	retired
	2026-06-23 18:34:52	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Improve infructure....build schools....then do a study. All this DA thinks of, is filling their coffers. Enough is enougj already.	Pam	retired
	2026-06-23 18:34:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Improve infructure....build schools....then do a study. All this DA thinks of, is filling their coffers. Enough is enougj already.	Pam	retired
	2026-06-23 18:41:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Wrndy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:53:08	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		L	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:12:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jason	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:28:10	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Riad	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:29:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Corrie	retired

	2026-06-23 19:35:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The traffic pressure in Cape Town is becoming untenable with no space for expansion. Gridlock traffic is a feature of everyday travelling from 15:00 to 18:00 and in the mornings. There are other proposed developments which would exacerbate the problem and the soon to be populated Riverlands complexes near the Amazon building will place additional strain on an already over subscribed infrastructure. It will also have dire consequences for the adjacent hospital situated at the feeder roads from Cape Town, Bellville, Southern Suburbs, Pinelands and R300 from the airport which all converge at this point. All of this and the environmental impact on the Black River area. The flooding from this river, on rare occasions, will cause untold havoc to the houses in that low lying area. The strain on resources such as water and sanitation will be another flash point. The answer is a resounding NO.	Victor	retired
	2026-06-23 19:40:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The area is already over-populated, affecting traffic and overloading infrastructure.	Hannelie	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:54:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Siyaphum elela	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:55:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Desiree	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:56:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		robyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:09:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Florence	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:29:17	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Nicolette	retired
	2026-06-23 20:31:23	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Although the area is centrally convenient, it is already incredible conjested in Pinelands Ii have lived in Pinelands since 1984 and have seen the changes. A beautiful garden city is filling up fast with high buildings and concrete. The roads most certainly cannot handle the traffic. Pinelands is a place for young people to breathe in safety.	Cathi	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:32:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The civil infrastructure in this area is already overloaded and there are already serious bottlenecks in the road network without compounding the issue. Although there is proposal of new employment, the number provided indicates a net loss of jobs. And there is the loss of the existing Eco Village, the Food Garden, and the historic Valkenberg Hospital (dating from the 17th century).	Jim	
	2026-06-23 20:37:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Good governance comes from due process. The failure to consider these matters properly is a failure of due process and suggest corruption.	Russel	student
	2026-06-23 20:43:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Arthur	retired
	2026-06-23 20:50:17	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Quentin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:50:18	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Quentin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:59:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Need more green space in city environments	Mike	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:03:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	John	retired
	2026-06-23 21:22:44	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Zan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:29:21	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Traffic and and out of the area is already constricted, adding this scale of development will make life difficult for residents. Also, affordable housing for who? These units end up being bought by investers to let out.	Arlene	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:37:22	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Joanita	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:37:22	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Joanita	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:52:55	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Alison	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:52:59	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Robyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:15:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	According to the ity of Cape Town it does not have adequate water capacity for current household and business usage. Capetonians are forever being told to conserve water yet there is little to show new dams being built or capacity of existing dams extended. The traffic congestion is bursting at its seams. Little to nothing is being done to alleviate peak hour congestion in and around the area in question. Taxis run wild. Our only green spaces should be left alone. The city needs to breathe. The city needs to sort out all of the above before it implodes.	H	
	2026-06-23 22:40:58	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Tania	business owner
	2026-06-23 23:18:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Shoni	
	2026-06-23 23:18:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Shoni	
	2026-06-23 23:18:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Shoni	
	2026-06-23 23:31:02	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Pinelands is the first Garden City . It appears that the Cape Town municipality is determined to destroy its charm by surrounding it by unattractive high density social housing . The N2 , access roads and infrastructure are already insufficient to deal with the traffic and sewerage requirements . At the same time there is the proposal to develop King David Mowbray golf course . Conradie Park is already an eyesore and I foresee that in time the whole area would become a concrete jungle and an eyesore. The Riverlands/ Amazon development has added to the congestion on the M5, Berkeley Road and Koeberg interchange which were already a traffic nightmare. This should all be resolved before embarking on new projects which will strangle our suburb. Why is the city determined to ignore the decision of the Heritage Council ? It certainly no longer represents my wishes.	Teresa	
	2026-06-23 23:32:07	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The present transport gridlock at the intersections of Alexandra Rd with Raapenberg and Berkley Rds as well as the population densification that is underway or proposed for the immediate area eg the Conradie site and the proposal to develop dense housing on Mowbray Golf Club. The present infrastructure already can't cope, what crazy chaos will this all cause? NO TO DEVELOPING ODE MOLEN AND MOWBRAY GOLF CLUB.	Hilary	retired
	2026-06-23 23:38:16	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The undersigned, a resident of Pinelands — an adjacent suburb directly affected by development in the Two Rivers Urban Park corridor — hereby objects to the land use application for the Oude Molen Precinct on the following grounds. The proposed development, described by the Western Cape Department of Infrastructure as a high-density, mixed-use, residentially-led development on the 13-hectare Oude Molen site, cannot be assessed in isolation from the broader pattern of concurrent and recent large-scale developments in the same corridor, which includes Conradie Park, Riverlands, and the proposed redevelopment of the Maitland Garden Village area. No independent, area-wide audit of cumulative infrastructure capacity has been submitted as part of this application. The proposal's transport framework relies in material part on a proposed new rail station in proximity to the site, yet no confirmed funding commitment from PRASA has been secured and no construction timeline has been established.. The Pinelands Ratepayers and Residents Association, in their submission, have noted that any approved development must ensure "sufficient public open spaces to ensure breathability of the development" and that "the overall density respects the proximity to the adjacent watercourse concerns that are equally applicable to the road, stormwater, and civil services networks serving the wider corridor. The Civil Services Report and Local Stormwater Management Plan submitted with the application have not been independently verified against the aggregate demand generated by all developments active in the corridor, and the applicant's own documentation concedes that further infrastructure detail will only be "unpacked through the package of plans process" at a later stage, meaning the full infrastructure burden of this proposal will not be subject to public scrutiny prior to the granting of primary development rights. It is submitted that the City of Cape Town should not approve this application until an independent, corridor-wide infrastructure capacity assessment — encompassing road networks, stormwater management, civil engineering services, and public schooling provision — has been completed, disclosed, and made available for public comment.	Shane	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:55:15	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The development plans are flawed and do not properly consider the road load and pressure, the elimination of tangible cultural practices. Hundreds of people are employed already, and thousands of Cape Town residents, including me, have access to genuine, layered nature and social development through their public spaces and programmes. The built environment infrastructure continues to fail in surrounding areas, the municipality needs to prove they can increase capacity and maintain growing pressure on sewage works and water supply. Horizontal heritage needs to be respected.	Sasha	student
	2026-06-24 00:11:15	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Andrew	
	2026-06-24 01:02:47	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Rosie	
	2026-06-24 01:14:59	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Bianca	
	2026-06-24 01:36:37	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Tougheda h	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 02:36:04	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Moosa	business owner
	2026-06-24 02:59:48	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Stop City of Cape Town destroying & flooding our neighbourhoods with high density living!!!!	Petro	self-employed

	2026-06-24 03:42:40	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Zahida	self-employed
	2026-06-24 04:29:44	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		John	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:04:23	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Maatje	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:23:22	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Major traffic congestion is my main concern especially to and from the hospital. It's a nightmare getting in and out of that area now already during peak times.	Shehaam	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:58:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Amanda	retired
	2026-06-24 06:10:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Anthony	retired
	2026-06-24 06:19:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Charmian	retired
	2026-06-24 06:22:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	All the schools in the area are already oversubscribed, and the traffic already gridlocked. The infrastructure cannot handle an addition of high density housing. This is one of many concerns.	Jeannette	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:24:33	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Simply NO!	Nico	
	2026-06-24 06:24:35	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Simply NO!	Nico	
	2026-06-24 06:28:39	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Our infrastructure cannot handle the increase in capacity, main road that are already congested due to Conradie will be become worst, there are not enough schools in the area as it is, as well as protecting the sports facilities and open land in Pinelands. Leave Oude Molen and the Golf course alone!	Shakeerah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:28:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Access to Pinelands and Vincent Pallotti hospital is already severely constrained. The visual, environmental and heritage impact of the proposed development has been misrepresented and is far more serious than has been put forward to the public.	Marc	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:44:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Robyn	retired
	2026-06-24 06:46:55	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	When will developers realise that you can only fit so many people in a space before it becomes unliveable? With no 1st world public transport system existing in Cape Town we are dependent on our own motor vehicles and cramming more people in an already tight space just causes gridlock. The CBD is already gridlocked and getting worse by the day.	Drikus	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:06:47	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Wendy	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:08:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Senta	
	2026-06-24 07:08:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Hayley	
	2026-06-24 07:11:16	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gaye	retired
	2026-06-24 07:13:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Claudine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:19:48	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The last couple of years the face of Cape Towns suburbs have changed dramatically. It feels like a few developer companies all came together and said, "Let's bulldoze this place." We lose our beautiful landscapes to cramped in "modern" townhouses and impossible traffic while they pocket billions. The amount of illegal and unethical activity that goes on to get most of these developments approved is shocking which creates a mountain of distrust in the City of Cape Town. It's really sad to think that one day we'll probably just be another Carte Blanche episode when it's too late to change anything.	Lourens	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:21:49	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Susan	retired
	2026-06-24 07:30:51	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lance	retired
	2026-06-24 07:40:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lizanne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:40:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Sharon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:54:08	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Mone	
	2026-06-24 07:54:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Once again the city pushes through their densification agenda with no provision made for more public schools, easing of traffic and parking.	Jessica	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:56:50	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Eatricia	retired
	2026-06-24 07:58:44	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The increase in housing density and accompanying traffic impact will be detrimental to the hospital and general traffic going in and out of town. This is already under pressure. This development has been motivated by financial greed and at what cost - we loose open space, heritage sites so that developers can buy another new car, overseas holiday etc	Heidi	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:01:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	We need open green areas in Cape Town and this proposed development will result in even more congestion and huge pressure on the infrastructure.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 08:02:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Zhané	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:05:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Nicole Cecile	
	2026-06-24 08:06:39	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Patrick	retired
	2026-06-24 08:11:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Al	
	2026-06-24 08:16:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gay	retired
	2026-06-24 08:32:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Constant density living without infrastructure to support it with the lack of vision of aesthetics. Lack of long term vision by the various councillors with possible only consideration to accommodate a financial gain which may very well be personal. Councillors and members of parliament forget their initial job is to serve the entire community and environment - balance is required and is key.	I	
	2026-06-24 08:36:35	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Helen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:36:52	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Len	retired
	2026-06-24 08:40:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Heather	retired
	2026-06-24 08:43:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	We are seeing everywhere where CoCT is allowing densification, often the physical subdivision and building already partially completed when they post a letter for neighbours to complain, so we cannot do anything. Densification is not going to make public transport more economical, because there isn't space for bus lanes/trams etc and the mini taxi industry still dominates our corruption police force. The noise and traffic increase in the last 4 years from all the semigration from those from Gauteng and KZN looking for greener pastures. The amount of farms being developed into sardine estates is distressing. Where are we going to grow food?	Andrea	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 08:47:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Fran	retired
	2026-06-24 08:48:16	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	My main concerns relate to the added pressures on existing infrastructure: - added traffic pressure to Pinelands and surrounds already suffering from peak time gridlock - no provision for public schooling while the Pinelands schools are already operating at full capacity. Adding a private school to the development does not adress the needs of the community	Elsabe	employed individual

	2026-06-24 08:51:57	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Once again, it's a case of let's maximise this space and build on it. Soon, we will have lots of empty commercial space and no tenants. We (Cape Town) cannot continue to fund thousands of RDP houses for people who never pay for rent and services. We need well thought out developments that attract LONG TERM investors and people that will also invest in the local economy.....and 900 jobs is pathetic. Unless you plan proper infrastructure upgrades, you cannot stick a Band-Aid on the position and hope that the community will just deal with it. We need roads that support a higher influx of traffic, public transport, and access. On top of that, we have a water-scarce climate and a dwindling green belt. We already have the Blackwater Project close by. Fix the existing problems, roads, rail, sewerage, and water supply I want to see value for my rates and not just 'you' standing on on backs for your brief moment of power and 'look what I did' attitude.	Wendy	retired
	2026-06-24 08:56:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The current infrastructure in Pinelands is severely aged with frequent disruptions due to the current demand. Water infrastructure are often compromised during pipe bursts and water pressure issues as a result. Similarly, despite the canal feeding the Lisebeek river, localised flooding is constantly reported during periods of rainfall. Lastly, traffic congestion has increased significantly and will only worsen with the proposed developments.	Z	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:58:42	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gail	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:59:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Another ghastly "Riverlands" development damaging the eco-system, creating more traffic congestion	Liz	
	2026-06-24 09:14:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Thomas	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:15:23	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The added pressure on the local infrastructure and the guaranteed traffic congestion along the hospital route alone should have been the basis for a no-go from the start by authorities especially the City who will have to deal with the fallout and repercussions later on - so this is a shooting oneself in the foot situation - leaves me to wonder who is making decisions and reading about procedural non compliance, makes me wonder about conflict of interests not in check either.	Mel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:21:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	To state that this is an abomination, like so many other elements of the City of Cape Town that the DA touches, is an understatement. The recent budgets and the loss of the court case against the city in simplistic reference. The Coct and its legs and arms (and here I include the supposedly independent organisations evidently co-opted already) are acting in a manner outside of their own regulations. Nobody stands for this as it is not serving society, nor is it fulfilling the social contract. My suggestion would be to consider where you are and get in line and your act together as these actions are not leading to a better position for you.	Alec	
	2026-06-24 09:24:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		wouter	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:25:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		JP	retired
	2026-06-24 09:28:59	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Gail	retired
	2026-06-24 09:32:25	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Richard	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:37:30	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Caitlin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:48:30	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Like the Mowbray King David proposed development, this one also wants to replace a green lung with high density bulk infrastructure. None of the existing service infrastructure will be able to cope with additional loads - think roads/traffic, electricity, sewerage, water, waste. And all the people who currently live and and work on the site will be displaced. How can that be acceptable? Again, what's at at play here is money. COCT and the developers stand to benefit enormously. They could care less about the environment and the people already living/working there. It has to be stopped.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 09:55:40	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	There are extremely few open spaces available to develop for affordable housing. This particular space is precious in that it forms part of the Black River Wetlands, houses two alternative type schools, home to a much needed affordable step-down facility, viable farming with fresh produce sold, horse riding and stable center, tea garden, etc.....and a much needed green lung strip. The heritage and cultural aspects are also very unique to this site. A solution would be to use the massive unused and derelict land areas that fall under the Wingfield and Youngfield army bases	Gary	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:12:52	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	There are many reasons why I do not agree with this. Please listen to the people of this country instead of just lining government pockets at every opportunity.	Cheryl	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:12:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Leonard	retired
	2026-06-24 10:16:08	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Hessie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:18:22	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Conradi park is not yet finished. We see the traffic congestion. We cannot get out and in of pinelands in rush hour. What still of 2 more developments in that will use the same infrastructure to travel in & out of the area	Tauriq	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:21:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Judith	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:23:39	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Frans	retired
	2026-06-24 10:37:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Colleen	retired
	2026-06-24 10:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Angela	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Angela	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:57:32	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Joy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:04:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The roads are already gridlocked at certain hours and already very busy around the Vincent Palotti Hospital . The city is already destroying the Atlantic Seaboard and is now determined to push through other developments in Pinelands and now Oude Molen. We are tired of it.	Karin	retired
	2026-06-24 11:11:14	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Dorothy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:15:04	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Charmaine	retired
	2026-06-24 11:15:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Maria	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 11:18:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Traffic congestion in Pinelands area is a huge concern. Plus what would happen to Robin Trust which does so much good for the community. Why high rise buildings in such a eco area. Why are all open areas now being developed, we need green space.	Ann	retired
	2026-06-24 11:30:51	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Sabine	retired
	2026-06-24 11:32:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Dave	
	2026-06-24 11:36:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Derek	retired
	2026-06-24 11:41:27	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Karen	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:43:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I feel that as things currently stand our city cannot support the additional infrastructure in and around the Mowbray/ Rondebosch/ Pinelands area. The Riverside development is huge, and basically this additional planned development, is just opposite the Riverside development and the new Amazon head office. It will place further pressure on an already overwhelmed infrastructure! The electricity supply, water supply , rubbish collection and sewage disposal are already under pressure, especially over our summer season, when we have a massive influx of tourists. We almost reached day zero with the taps days from running dry! The city of Cape Town increased the water charge, during this crisis and promised to reduce it afterwards. They never did! They've also done nothing since, to build a dam or to find a long term solution, so that should this happen again, we won't have a water problem. The schools in the area are also under pressure, with no new schools being built in this area, since my children finished their schooling, some 20 years ago. Not to mention the additional traffic increase in this area on already very busy roads. I have lived in Mowbray for 20 years and it has become an increasingly noisy area, with increased traffic, the air quality has decreased, due to exhaust fumes. The developments will have an impart on the environment, and on the wetlands, and the Black River as well. Not everything should be about money! Thought should be put into the quality of life for the residents who've lived in these areas and paid rates and taxes for years. The city of Cape Town will obviously be making huge amounts of money on rates and taxes from these two massive developments, and would push to get these developments through regardless of the impact to the people or the environment!!	Sharon	retired
	2026-06-24 11:46:41	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Dale	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:47:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Susanne	
	2026-06-24 12:09:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Lack of proper procedures and loss of eco-systems without proper planning is also a huge concern.	Ingrid	retired

	2026-06-24 12:15:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I have a number of concerns additional to those listed above: We already have one high density development that is approaching completion at the East end of Pinelands (Conradie Park) and one potentially in the pipeline at King David Mowbray Golf Club and vicinity. All of these border on Pinelands and do not adequately address the following issues: 1) The reduction of green spaces and the impact on human health and the environment. Green spaces cannot be treated as a luxury - they are vital to the social and mental health of the citizens of any city as well as building community. In addition, building close to and over wetlands cannot be part of any legal urban planning. Wetlands are a vital part of the environment, and these are repeatedly being compromised by irresponsible development and will have a devastating and long term impact on the environment. 2) There simply are not enough schools in the area. Pinelands High School receives more than 10 times the number of requests for places than are available. It is evident that the various planning permissions granted (and under review) in the areas in and adjacent to Pinelands do not show the appropriate intent to counteract the additional pressure for school placements that will derive from the developments. A private school cannot be the answer. Public schools are fast approaching a tipping point where they may no longer be sustainable. Where low cost housing forms part of planned developments (which I agree it must), the very real risk to local schools is that there will be a larger percentage of students who are unable to pay school fees. To be sustainable, schools will be forced to increase their fees for families who are able to pay and this slide will push additional families to not be able to afford fees and this will pass a tipping point. For Quintile 5 schools, the reality is that less than 1% of the running costs are funded by the department of basic education. The rest has to be derived from school fees. 3) Traffic and Roads - Dramatic infrastructure development will need to take place to accommodate the additional development. Already, with the Conradie development, the traffic situation in Pinelands has been significantly impacted. There still remains only one road that runs East to West that links Pinelands with the freeways - with narrow bridge near Vincent Pallotti that gets significantly clogged with traffic every day. 4) Access to shops etc. Conradie Park has not developed the shops that were promised and so much more pressure is then placed on spaces in Pinelands to be developed or to accommodate more shoppers. 5) You simply cannot squeeze so much development into and around one existing suburb without impact on services and infrastructure needs.	Carol	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:16:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Colleen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:23:02	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Bethany	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:33:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Not enough infrastructure development. Already many issues with water and sewage. Density of cars too high to get out of Pinelands in the mornings and back.	Shamim	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:39:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jennifer	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:11:00	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I do not support this development for the over development of the area. Already with Conradie park it's been densified, traffic is already an issue and will become worse. The loss of open spaces and sites regularly used by locals.	Chrystal	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:15:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Elizabeth	business owner
	2026-06-24 13:20:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Murray	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:26:07	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Mark	
	2026-06-24 13:26:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Scott	business owner
	2026-06-24 13:34:33	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jurie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:59:32	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	To increase the occupancy of the land by thousands but only offer about 400 more jobs is senseless. The pressure on the current infrastructure is already maximised . Taking example from Ballito with the Baldwin developments putting strain on the basic infrastructure. This careless building for the supposed low income housing is nonsense. The general cost increases exponentially and the value of the land decreases as the infrastructure declines. There should be more spaces of healthy land use education. Small organic farms supporting communities and surrounding local businesses, not huge developments where the inhabitants have to travel out to work, putting pressure on the roads and creating more pollution.	Skye	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:01:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Corrie	retired
	2026-06-24 14:24:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. There are concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. Local schools are already over-capacity as the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding. In addition there is the transport framework that relies on a new train station awaiting a confirmation on the budget and /or for commitment that has to be made by PRASA.	Natale	retired
	2026-06-24 15:19:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		JOY	retired
	2026-06-24 15:33:18	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	that open space is of great value to all surrounding properties. There are major other developments happening in that area and one should protect the open green landscape to protect a safe space for recreational purposes for humans and nature.	tim	business owner
	2026-06-24 15:46:25	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		David	retired
	2026-06-24 15:54:02	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The entire proposal is fraudulent and lies, lies and more lies.	James	retired
	2026-06-24 16:09:37	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Annabel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:15:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lourens	
	2026-06-24 16:27:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Once more colour trumps everything else. The real change in South Africa since 1994 is that instead of the colour of your skin, it is now the colour of your money. 'Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the "one-third affordable housing" pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes.' The market too will soon see the "affordable housing" (if it materializes, sold as lowly, under-paid workers find they cannot afford the exorbitant rates the City charges and "affordable" will be sold and "upgraded" by the new owners which will give the City more income and so the circle will repeat itself. The unanswered question is who benefits other than the developer? The councilors or the bureaucrats.	Athol	retired
	2026-06-24 16:41:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Louise	retired
	2026-06-24 16:43:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Loss of specific jobs that cannot be replaced with the development. With this development and the golf club housing development right next door, the area will be unmanageable. The traffic and load on all infrastructure will be impossible for the city to manage.	Gerrie	retired
	2026-06-24 16:50:55	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. There is also the issue of increased traffic in the area which is already gridlocked.	Natalie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:03:51	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This proposed development is not feasible. It would be a disaster in the making with irrevocable damaged caused by a nearsighted, blinkered city. Identify derelict, buildings (nowhere near an established, existing ecosystem and refurbished those. This is NOT forward thinking.	H	
	2026-06-24 17:33:30	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This area cannot cope with more pressure on infrastructure. Already Riverlands is a nightmare. We need this green lung. NO MORE IGNORING RATE PAYERS. Sick of the greed and lack of transparency	Mary	retired
	2026-06-24 17:44:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Caroline	retired
	2026-06-24 18:19:47	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The city is once again forging ahead with over -densification. Every suburb is becoming a mass of buildings with far too many people packed into ever shrinking spaces. The infrastructure simply cannot cope and the very thing which makes Cape Town so attractive is being destroyed one development at a time. The city's arrogance and bullying needs to be stopped. Enough with all the densification at any cost.	Angie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:29:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lesley	retired
	2026-06-24 18:29:35	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Lesley	retired
	2026-06-24 19:23:03	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access - Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottle necked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock - directly risking trauma patient lives.	Lynette	
	2026-06-24 19:35:02	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Avril	self-employed
	2026-06-24 19:42:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Stephen	retired
	2026-06-24 19:58:41	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Leon	
	2026-06-24 20:16:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Adele	
	2026-06-24 20:30:55	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The cumulative pressue on local infrastructure affects the health and well-being of both local residents and the environment alike. We have dozens of high rise buildings in town sitting empty most of the year. Any low cost housing funding should be focused on uplifting existing suburbs on the ground rather than creating new highrise ones in the sky that don't even promise to help the poor.	Nicky	
	2026-06-24 20:30:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The cumulative pressue on local infrastructure affects the health and well-being of both local residents and the environment alike. We have dozens of high rise buildings in town sitting empty most of the year. Any low cost housing funding should be focused on uplifting existing suburbs on the ground rather than creating new highrise ones in the sky that don't even promise to help the poor.	Nicky	

	2026-06-24 22:10:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Taryn	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:21:21	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Neil	employed individual
	2026-06-25 00:03:20	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Richard j	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 06:20:59	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jan	
	2026-06-25 06:21:00	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jan	
	2026-06-25 06:21:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jan	
	2026-06-25 08:12:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This site is already very productive, offering immense value to the surrounding areas - schools (which are in very short supply), care facilities (also in short supply), community gardens, community swimming pool, organic farming, stabling and riding arena, backpackers lodging, shops, plus much more. I personally visit there every week. This area is also ecologically a very sensitive and valuable area, home to wildlife that is very much under threat with all the over development happening on the Black River wetland. Traffic during peak hours is already overwhelming in that area, which threatens access to the Vincent Pallotti hospital and Medicross Centre. Pinelands is being increasingly overwhelmed with developments on all sides, bringing with it shortage of facilities, impossible traffic, pollution, sewerage and stormwater challenges, crime, loss of green spaces and peace of mind for those that have made this suburb their home for many decades.	Dee	retired
	2026-06-25 09:17:23	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Tanja	employed individual
	2026-06-25 10:33:53	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Riaan	retired
	2026-06-25 11:49:00	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This continual development with no thought to the added load on infrastructure which the rate payers have to fund later through ridiculous rates increase as well as effect on already heavy traffic congestion and loss of open land (if each development is viewed individually, it does not seem that bad, but as an overall city plan, it has far reaching effects.	R	retired
	2026-06-25 13:56:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Elizabeth	
	2026-06-25 14:12:38	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The City of Cape Town cannot claim affordable housing and a private school in the same geographical area will add anything positive to the city. The poor will shoved out by wealthy parents who would want easier access to the school. Until the road infrastructure of Cape Town is improved, no large groups residential construction should take place in already busy traffic areas.	Beverley	retired
	2026-06-25 18:33:24	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	No due consideration given to the effect of the surrounding traffic flow. Misleading presentation of proposed buildings.	Rainor	retired
	2026-06-25 21:54:32	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Giuseppe	employed individual
	2026-06-26 06:27:05	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Zaan	
	2026-06-26 06:58:56	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	There are many other options thay can be exploited for developers and this is a really really small and well placed green lung that can be left alone.	Charles	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:51:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Charlotte	self-employed
	2026-06-26 10:17:14	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Carol	retired
	2026-06-26 13:18:06	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Traffic is already bad at peak hours because Pinelands is physically cut off and isolated from surrounding suburbs. They're are only 4 access points into and our of the suburb, 2 of which converge on this precinct. Adding additional housing and retail spaces will only worsen an already poor situation. In addition, there is insufficient state schooling for the current residents, let alone any additional residents from this proposed precinct. Promises made by the City in this regard relating to the Conradie development have been reneged upon, putting incredible stress on existing schools, resulting in local families being forced to send their children to school in other suburbs. Additional residential development will only further increase this stress. The heritage of Pinelands is the abundance of green space relative to buildings. Maitland, which is adjacent to this precinct, has next to none. This space should rather be developed as a proper green space along the lines of the park at Century City to provide green space for the residents of Maitland as well as Pinelands.	Nicole	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:54:08	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Nina	retired
	2026-06-26 14:34:07	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Theresa	self-employed
	2026-06-26 15:32:45	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Barbara	retired
	2026-06-26 18:48:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Lyndall	business owner
	2026-06-26 19:23:19	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-26 19:32:57	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Steve	
	2026-06-26 20:03:15	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Ronell Homan	self-employed
	2026-06-27 09:53:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	ALL the reasons for objection are valid. There are already not enough schools in Cape Town. The traffic in Cape Town is extremely heavy and this will exacerbate the traffic problem. NO consideration has been given to Robin Trust, schools & all those gaining a livelyhood from that area.	Marie	retired
	2026-06-27 10:20:35	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Alison	retired
	2026-06-27 11:09:57	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	the City is hell bent and determined to build high density office and residential units in every corner of the inner and greater inner City centre, irrespective of the resulting road traffic congestion. Instead it seems to have no plan whatsoever to remove one obvious huge obstruction to wider residential use and improvement to traffic: the ever expanding container yards in Salt River, Maitland and Paarden Eiland. Container traffic is the one most disruptive cause for much of the road jamming in those areas, while all the yards should be moved out of the inner City to Atlantis, for instance. The current development around Amazon / Riverlands Mall is yet another issue of thoughtlessness to road and other infrastructure for mobility. Cape Town's road network was designed centuries ago and is unable to cater for current, never mind future traffic, while no viable alternative public transport options are offered. This planned development must be stopped and condemned before any damage is done.	Peter	self-employed
	2026-06-27 11:34:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	While I recognize the need for urban growth, replacing this long-established, low-density green space with a high-density, eight-storey development consisting of 1,364 residential units and extensive commercial infrastructure is entirely inappropriate for this sensitive site. The City of Cape Town must not push forward with land-use changes while the required heritage authorization stands rejected. I urge the City to respect the statutory process, uphold the NHRA ruling, and halt this application immediatelly.	Mimmie	retired
	2026-06-27 12:14:54	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Chantelle	
	2026-06-27 14:50:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Hilary	employed individual
	2026-06-27 14:57:48	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Oude Molen is a unique low density area. Tenants such as Robin Trust and the schools provide valuable services. It is home to several small businesses and vegetable gardens. A substantial number of people are employed here and would probably lose their jobs in this proposed high density development.	Barbara	retired
	2026-06-27 15:19:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem, currently providing invaluable social services to local communities; over densification and loss of green and open spaces necessary for mental well being and esthetic environments. High level concrete buildings will destroy the local environement permanently, put local people out of work, destroy important care and school infrastructure and facilities. Traffic already compromised and relative easy entrance/exit to Vincent Palotti Hospital might be negativel effected.	Teresa	retired
	2026-06-27 16:18:14	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		DANIEL	retired
	2026-06-28 07:21:47	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Kirsten	employed individual

	2026-06-28 10:55:03	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Our city and suburbs are being transformed to the detriment of residents, resulting in financial, environmental, and heritage loss. In our suburb, erf rezoning and developments are proceeding despite the community's clear opposition. Houses, multiple dwellings, and commercial-use properties are being approved or erected on erfs that could not reasonably have been authorized. When consultation has occurred, the community's response has been consistent and unequivocal: NO. Despite this, residential zoning rules are disregarded, and industrial or commercial activities are introduced into areas designated for single dwellings. The repeated requests for our opinion are disingenuous. The answer has been given time and again—NO—yet it appears that only a "yes" is acknowledged. The Heritage Committee's rejection on 10 June has been ignored, and still, further input is solicited. This undermines the integrity of the process and renders community participation meaningless. Beyond the procedural failures, the infrastructure cannot cope with the scale of these developments. Electricity supply is already strained, water resources are limited, sewerage and sanitation systems are under pressure, and traffic congestion has become a significant and major problem. These issues are not hypothetical—they are daily realities for residents, and further unapproved development will only exacerbate them. Residents do not have the time or financial resources to continually contest unlawful or inappropriate developments. The position remains clear: NO means NO. Continued debate under these circumstances is not consultation but disregard.	Michelle	business owner
	2026-06-28 12:04:11	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Our city and suburbs are being transformed to the detriment of residents, resulting in financial, environmental, and heritage loss. In Pinelands, multiple developments are being advanced despite consistent community opposition. Oude Molen Precinct: Proposed high-density, eight-storey mixed-use development introducing ~1,364 residential units on publicly owned land. Heritage Western Cape has already refused the Heritage Impact Assessment, yet rezoning continues to be pursued. King David Mowbray Golf Club & Clyde Pinelands Football Club: A 74-hectare precinct earmarked for ~6,700 residential units, retail, office, and industrial space. These are City-owned public lands, long-standing recreational and heritage facilities, now under threat of displacement. Pinelands Social Club & The Oval: While not explicitly listed in current plans, these community facilities lie within or adjacent to the precinct boundaries. Their displacement or indirect impact would represent a significant loss of public amenities and social cohesion. The cumulative effect of these developments, alongside the already completed Conradie project, will overwhelm existing infrastructure. Electricity supply is unreliable, water resources are limited, sewerage and sanitation systems are under strain, and traffic congestion is a daily reality. Sewerage infrastructure is inadequately designed, with risks of backflow into Pinelands and surrounding suburbs, with no plan in place to address such failures. Allegations of corruption and irregularities in land allocation are well-documented in South Africa, typically tied to municipal rezoning, tender fraud, or land restitution disputes. While specific claims of tenure extensions are not verified, the broader concern remains: residents are consistently excluded from rezoning and land allocation decisions, creating perceptions of "land grabs." The Department of Land Reform itself has acknowledged that tenure insecurity and weak oversight remain major challenges. Garden City Note: Pinelands was established as South Africa's first Garden City, designed around single dwellings, wide open spaces, and green recreational areas. Residents purchased homes here with the expectation that this character would be preserved. The current proposals for high-density developments disregard this founding vision, eroding the very identity of the suburb and eliminating the open spaces that define it. Proceeding with further large-scale developments under these circumstances disregards both community input and infrastructure realities. Residents have consistently opposed such rezoning and intensification, and the position remains clear: NO means NO. We urge the relevant authorities to respect the decisions already communicated, uphold zoning and heritage protections, and prioritize infrastructure sustainability and the well-being of residents.	Eddie	retired
	2026-06-28 14:37:52	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Cynthia	retired
	2026-06-29 12:41:41	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Hendrik	retired
	2026-06-29 13:29:48	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	There are much better sites than both Oude Molen and Mowbray Golf club that would achieve the objectives stated by the SUPPORTERS of the ill and poorly conceived development. These include Rondebosch Golf course (closer to sewage, better access routes/roads,..etc..); Wingfield and Youngsfield Military bases, Ysterplaat, ...	Gary	business owner
	2026-06-29 15:43:39	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Has the municipality not done enough damage approving the two river Amazon project?	Fuad	retired
	2026-06-29 17:06:07	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The negative impact on the existing use. The negative impact on water and sewage resources. The negative impact of the additional traffic load.	Mervyn	retired
	2026-06-29 18:49:40	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Sally	retired
	2026-06-29 21:50:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	As a resident close to the development area in question, one of my main concerns is the pressure on local infrastructure. Pinelanders can already feel the degradation in water supply for example with the additional strain of Pinelands water supply feeding the Conradie development. Burst water pipes seem to have become a normality around here. Beyond this impact that neighboring areas can feel immediately, I have no faith that the plans layed out will lead to a responsible development of the area, taking its historical and ecological significance into account.	Beatrix	employed individual
	2026-06-29 22:10:24	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Shahida	
	2026-06-29 22:23:09	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I am concerned about the huge increase in traffic as I live close to Oude Molen.	Margaret	retired
	2026-06-30 09:34:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Cumulative development pressure on existing infrastructure	Ronel	self-employed
	2026-06-30 10:09:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Pinelands is being SWAMPED by recent & future development plans surrounding it, all of which are making it more & more difficult to get in & out of the suburb. Conradie (a HUGE precinct), King David Golf Course (another HUGE planned developement) and now Oude Molen!!	Thirza	retired
	2026-06-30 10:36:58	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Pinelands is being smothered by recent & future development plans surrounding it, with little or no regards for infrastructure such as schools , roads and trauma access to the VP Emergency Centre . The current Conradie housing precinct, the proposed multi housing development for King David Golf Course and now Oude Molen! We need to uphold our Heritage Laws and preserve our green belt areas and wetlands for future generations.	Heather	retired
	2026-06-30 10:59:30	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-30 12:32:51	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	CoCT is very quick to approve ANY developments but no focus on upgrading the area basic infrastructure whether it be roads, sewerage etc etc. Besides that such a beautiful natural habitat as Oude Molen should not be allowed to be developed.	Jules	employed individual
	2026-06-30 13:40:36	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The misinformation, incomplete extent of planned development as well as lack of transparency in the information given are of great concern. In addition the seemingly ignored impact that the dramatic increase in Alexandra Road traffic will have on access to Vincent Pallotti Hospital Accident and Emergency centre cannot be overlooked.	Rory	retired
	2026-06-30 14:00:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Simon	self-employed
	2026-06-30 15:04:46	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Pinelands, as a garden city, was never designed to carry the increased mass density that is being proposed. There is one single lane arterial road through the centre of Pinelands which already becomes gridlocked during peak traffic times i.e. business and school hours. Plus a bottleneck under the freeway traveling to Mowbray. The increase in traffic will be dramatic with Conradie Park at one end of Pinelands and Mowbray and Oude Molen developments at the other. As well as the burden on the infrastructure i.e. services, sanitation, water supply and electricity. Plus schools, Vincent Pallotti hospital, businesses and retail. The City says it works for you but I don't think it is working for the residents of Pinelands!	Diane	
	2026-06-30 15:07:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jackie	retired
	2026-06-30 15:07:31	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jackie	retired
	2026-06-30 15:17:14	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	As a Pinelands resident, I have serious concerns about another big development adjacent to Pinelands creating extensive pressure on the existing roads in and around Pinelands. The Conradie hospital development is showing already a huge strain in the mornings for Pinelands residents trying to exit Pinelands. The Oude Molen precint is a very big development which seems not to increase any local road infrastructure, so the strain on the existing road infastructure will be extreme. specifically the intersection Alexandra Road-Raapenberg Road. But also to the intersection Alexandra Road-Berkley Road. The added traffic pressure on Pinelands residents has not been taken into account properly, and no additional means are being build.	Koen	employed individual
	2026-06-30 15:47:21	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Penny	retired
	2026-06-30 16:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Liz	

	2026-06-30 16:59:33	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	# Objection to the Proposed Development of the King David Golf Course I wish to formally register my strong objection to the proposed development of the King David Golf Course. This objection is based on serious concerns regarding the impact of the proposed development on traffic and infrastructure capacity, the loss of an important recreational and community asset, the ongoing strain on municipal services, the preservation of green space, and the City's previous failure to deliver on commitments made in relation to major developments. The proposed development represents a further intensification of residential development in an area where existing infrastructure and community services are already under significant pressure. The concerns raised below demonstrate why this proposal should not proceed. ## 1. Existing Traffic Congestion and Insufficient Infrastructure Capacity Traffic congestion in Pinelands has reached unacceptable levels. The suburb's existing road infrastructure is already struggling to accommodate current demand, and the introduction of additional large-scale residential development will inevitably increase vehicle volumes and place further strain on an already overloaded transport network. Residents experience daily congestion, delays and increased pressure on local roads. The cumulative impact of ongoing developments in and around Pinelands is already evident, and residents should not be expected to absorb the consequences of continued densification without adequate consideration of the broader impact on quality of life. The proposed development will not occur in isolation; it will add further pressure to an area that is already experiencing the consequences of increased population density and traffic demand. ## 2. Loss of an Important Sporting and Community Facility The King David Golf Course is not merely a sporting venue; it is a valuable community asset that provides recreational opportunities, promotes participation in sport, and supports youth development. Importantly, the facility provides opportunities for young people, including those with disabilities, to learn and participate in golf. These opportunities contribute to inclusion, personal development and community engagement. The City frequently emphasises the importance of youth development through sport. However, meaningful youth development cannot be limited to only selected sporting codes that receive priority attention. All sports and recreational facilities play a role in building healthier, more engaged communities. It is contradictory to promote youth participation in sport while simultaneously removing facilities that enable such participation. Existing community assets should be protected and valued rather than sacrificed. ## 3. Lack of Confidence Based on Previous Development Commitments – The Conradie Park Example The City's approach to previous major developments has significantly reduced residents' confidence in assurances provided during planning processes. The Conradie Park development provides a clear example of promises made to surrounding communities that have not been adequately fulfilled. Residents were assured that the development would include: * Meaningful green spaces. * Improved safety and increased policing. * Enhanced public transport infrastructure. * Controlled access and security measures. * Additional educational facilities. * Retail and supporting community amenities. * Landscaping and tree planting to reduce the visual impact of the development. Many of these commitments have either not been delivered or have been implemented only partially. The current reality includes: * Limited delivery of promised green spaces. * No meaningful improvement in policing and safety measures. * Public transport infrastructure that has not adequately met the needs created by the development. * Significant parking challenges, despite the original assumption that reduced parking provision would be offset by public transport availability. * Failure to implement access-control measures as originally envisaged. * Insufficient educational facilities to meet community needs. * Delayed or stalled retail and supporting developments. * Inadequate landscaping and tree planting to mitigate the impact of the development. The consequences of these shortcomings have been experienced by surrounding communities, including Pinelands and Thornton residents. Given this history, residents have legitimate concerns regarding the reliability of commitments associated with the proposed King David Golf Course development. ## 4. Schools and Essential Community Services Should Be Prioritised Existing community infrastructure is already under pressure. Pinelands residents face increasing difficulty securing places for their children in local public schools, at both primary and secondary levels. Before approving further large-scale residential developments, the City should prioritise investment in essential services and ensure that existing communities have adequate access to schools, healthcare, transport and municipal facilities. Education is a fundamental community need. Residents should not be placed in a position where access to schooling becomes increasingly limited while further developments continue to increase demand on already constrained services. ## 5. Additional Pressure on Water, Sewerage and Municipal Infrastructure There are increasing concerns regarding the capacity and sustainability of existing municipal infrastructure. Additional large-scale residential development will increase demand on water supply, sewerage systems and other essential services. These systems require ongoing maintenance, upgrading and long-term planning to ensure they can support existing communities. The City's priority should be strengthening and maintaining critical infrastructure before increasing the demands placed upon it. ## 6. Preservation of Green Space and the Character of Pinelands Pinelands has historically been recognised for its green spaces, open areas and garden-suburb character. These features are central to the identity and appeal of the suburb. The loss of another significant open space would permanently alter the character of the area. Green spaces provide environmental benefits, contribute to community wellbeing and enhance the quality of life of residents. Once these spaces are developed, they cannot be replaced. The preservation of remaining open areas should therefore be considered a priority. ## 7. Unbalanced Concentration of Development Pressure in Pinelands There is growing concern among residents that Pinelands is repeatedly expected to accommodate a disproportionate share of the City's development agenda. While responsible development is necessary, it should be distributed in a balanced and sustainable manner across Cape Town. No single community should repeatedly bear the burden of increased density, traffic pressure and strain on infrastructure. A city-wide approach is required to ensure that development occurs where infrastructure and services can adequately support it.	Mari	employed individual
	2026-06-30 18:58:34	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Michele	self-employed
	2026-06-30 19:40:01	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	This is a continuing threat created by our local government , where they are encouraging all these developments without any regard for the lack of planning for infrastructure such as roads and schools. The threat to our green belt areas and wetlands is of the utmost concern as is the reduction in available areas for sport and recreation.	Noel	retired
	2026-06-30 21:41:21	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	I really meant that my concern is ALL OF THE ABOVE… plus traffic influx…	Elsje	unemployed individual
	2026-07-01 20:16:29	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Caitlin	employed individual
	2026-07-06 06:58:22	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The traffic congestion over the past years has already made travelling from and to pinelands a logistical nightmare. The loss of this beautiful green space, with historic importance to yet another shopping, business and residential complex is suffocating. Pinelands was a fairly quiet suburb, central but still on the outskirts of the city. With all this new development in process and proposed, this will now be completely stifled.	Damien	unemployed individual
	2026-08-04 14:21:03	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Neil	self-employed
	2026-08-13 09:15:13	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	There is a need for high density housing in any city seeing there is a worldwide tendence for humanity to move into cities. Cape Town due to its geography is constrained for public spaces and also to accommodate the infra structure pertaining to intra city mobility. These issues must be addressed on at least a multi generational growth impact.	Jakobus P H	
	2026-08-16 08:34:43	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Procedures not being folllowed (heritage) and misleading images being presented (fooling the public) are both a red flag for me. I also cannot imagine how the roads around OudeMolden would handle more traffic. A real problem as is! Lastly, where will the schools and other small businesses go if they are being shoved out? The a city needs green spaces and small developments as well - despite the need for more housing.	Eva	
	2026-09-05 08:07:02	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Heidi	retired
	2026-09-07 09:34:26	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Rodney	retired
	2026-09-14 08:31:12	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Jason	self-employed

	2026-09-16 10:04:58	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Nicole	self-employed
	2026-09-28 12:33:00	No I do not	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		N	self-employed
	2026-06-23 10:53:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Raynor	retired
	2026-06-23 12:48:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	NO. NO. NO!!!!!! I'm quite disgusted at the current DA leadership at CoCT! Who do they think they are??? We're sick of the blatent lying coming from CoCT! Sick of the tick-box exercises the DA seems to subscribe to. Theyre destroying everything historical in Cape Town. Well - we are not having it any longer. Oude Molen is NOT a vacant or underutilised piece of land; it is a THRIVING, SELF SUSTAINING MICRO-COMMUNITY that has built an interconnected ecosystem over 30 years. Pushing five-storey mixed-use developments onto this GREEN LUNG footprint will permanently displace vital social assets, including the Robin Trust medical care, Gaia Waldorf and Pinelands Montessori schools, O Grace Land transitional housing, and the Oude Molen Stables. Crucially, these practices are a direct continuation of a 130-year-old continuity of purpose tied to the surrounding Valkenberg institutional landscape, which has historically relied on LAND-BASED, HEALING, AGRICULTURAL THERAPY AND REHABILITATION. Evicting these tenants severs an IRREPLACEBLE LIVING CULTURE ASSET. NO. NO AND NO!!!!!!! The DA is finished in Cape Town. Finished!!!!	Zane	retired
	2026-06-23 13:10:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Just horrific, more open space lost to a mega development! Cape Town needs the green lungs.	Ann	retired
	2026-06-23 13:34:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		W	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:38:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Stop greedy developers from destroying just about anything with historic charme and value that remains in Cape Town. The CoCT already annihilated the River Club to be replaced by Amazon and a hideous shopping centre . Now it is developing a massive plot right next on the Wild Fig restaurant which is set to remove the special rural feel that used to be there. Soulless commercial venues to replace local landmarks. Oude Molen has a very special energy and history- it is a treasure that needs protection. Stop desecrating our heritage !	Susanne	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:40:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	From what I read it's a bag of flaws	Hamish	
	2026-06-23 13:42:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is an example of something that should NEVER even be considered, taking all factors into consideration.	Riana	
	2026-06-23 13:44:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Izak	retired
	2026-06-23 13:45:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Choosing 'one of the above' as a main concern does not reflect my thoughts appropriately. This plan, process and blatant sleight of hand are an absolutely disgrace in all respects. Shame on you CoCT, and shame on all involved in trying to push this through.	Annemarie	
	2026-06-23 13:53:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pauline	retired
	2026-06-23 13:56:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Felix	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:56:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I object to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework in its current form. The process appears premature given Heritage Western Cape's rejection of the Heritage Impact Assessment on 10 June 2026. It is concerning that land-use approvals are being advanced while statutory heritage issues remain unresolved. I am also concerned that the visual representations presented to the public may not accurately reflect the maximum height, bulk and density parameters embedded within the framework. Residents deserve full transparency regarding the true development scale before any approvals are granted. The apparent change from publicly promoted educational infrastructure to a privately operated school raises questions about whether the original public benefits remain valid. In addition, the employment claims should be reassessed against the existing jobs currently supported within the precinct to ensure an accurate net impact assessment. Finally, the potential traffic implications for Alexandra Road and access to Vincent Pallotti Hospital require far more rigorous scrutiny before any decision is made. I request that approval of the framework be deferred until all heritage, traffic, employment and public participation concerns have been independently reviewed and transparently addressed.	Jeff	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:58:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Why not improve on the existing infrastructure and add to in a holistic manner	Angora	retired
	2026-06-23 13:59:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The city is busy not so truthful with all of this. They should leave that well alone.	christine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:00:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Heather	retired
	2026-06-23 14:00:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Heather	retired
	2026-06-23 14:03:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lisa	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 14:07:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michael	retired
	2026-06-23 14:08:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	While I am in favour of providing urgent affordable housing in Cape Town, I am suspicious of the motives behind this project and do not trust the developers that they are being honest. I worry that this will become a financial windfall for investors and not provide the needed affordable housing. We do not need destruction of green areas and heritage buildings , only to be replaced by expensive housing and school.	David	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:13:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing structures are self-sustaining and have been for more than 30 years and has been supported by hundreds of thousands of visitors over that period . Look for other places to build high rise structures to accommodate the planned low-income tenants who are only going to turn the area into another congested , infestation-bound latrine . Developers have done something similar in other areas like Diep River and these so-called residential units are bought by uncorncerned owners who then rent them out to foreign nationals at exhorbitant rentals .. the tenants then turn turn the units into filthy , disgusting pits and reduce the value of properties in the area .	Daniel	retired
	2026-06-23 14:17:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ann	retired
	2026-06-23 14:18:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Simone	
	2026-06-23 14:18:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Annie	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:19:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Nee. Al die pad nee!! Moet alle oop gebiede swig voor ontwikkeling???	Coenraad	retired
	2026-06-23 14:19:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Yet another case of steam rolling over community rights, public participation and the destruction of heritage sites! The DA is fast destroying our beautiful Western Cape and one can only wonder how much of their planning is above board. The same is happening in my area with absolutely no public participating	Elaine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:20:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Lack of planning and disregard to our laws, seems to be the order of the day on this proposed project. Money is the main driver of this project - not concern for population, or environment or access to health care for people . Clearly pushed by people who would not be affected by the number of people and cars this project would have to existing population in an around the area. Traffic is already horrendous, so now we adding more people without adding better access routes to and from the hospital. Just reckless.	Tina	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:22:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Stamp out corruption. Lying is not okay. It destroys trust. Lets see some integrity come to light. Lets see some respect for law and the rights of those who will be negatively impacted by this proposal.	Nic	
	2026-06-23 14:22:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Roger	retired
	2026-06-23 14:29:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Edoline	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:33:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It seems this development would do more harm than good. We've already had the whole Riverlands development go up affecting the wetlands, changing the ecosystem, admittedly creating jobs, I've yet to see how the poor can afford housing there. It's just another glorified shopping precinct. Why do we need to develop this section too? It literally backs up onto the Riverlands development. The research indicates there will be job losses, the loss of two schools, loss of a retirement home, dementia unit and stepdown facility, loss of transitional housing, loss of a green space, and would undoubtedly compromise access to medical facilities at Vincent Pallotti hospital due to vastly increased traffic. No, there is a time to just slow the heck down and stop developing for development's sake.	Estelle	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:39:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dick	retired
	2026-06-23 14:40:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sylvia	retired
	2026-06-23 14:42:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andrew	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:43:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nikki	
	2026-06-23 14:45:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carol	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:48:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Too much traffic	Mirika	employed individual

	2026-06-23 14:50:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The city is hell bent on "developing " existing areas without taking into account the existing community and affordable housing already in existence. The issue of adequate roads and ability to traverse already overburdened infrastructure is nowhere near resolved and there is no intention to resolve this at all. It is clear the city is only interested in creating a revenue stream to fund all manner of projects in the city for some nefarious goal.	Warren	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:50:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is a unique space and should be kept as such. Could be developed as a space for small creative companies, as workshops and also as retail spaces for their products.	LIZ	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:54:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Don't destroy heritage, and preserve public open spaces	Daniel	retired
	2026-06-23 14:54:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andre	retired
	2026-06-23 14:55:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Density of population is a major problem. Improved methods of decentralisation are essential. Once destroyed natural beauty is lost forever.	brian	retired
	2026-06-23 14:56:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marlene	retired
	2026-06-23 14:58:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Thinus	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:59:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Slowly but surely the CoCT is changing the character of Cape Town's suburbs with its densification objectives instead of getting unused land from the Government to create housing. Think all the unused and neglected land held by the Department of defence! First, it was SARDA in Constantia given away to some land claimant and now this. No heart left in the powers that be.	victor	retired
	2026-06-23 15:00:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tanya	
	2026-06-23 15:00:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tanya	
	2026-06-23 15:05:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is already total gridlock in this area. It will increase the traffic exponentially. Stop developing the green corridors.	Brigitta	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 15:07:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anthony	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:07:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is one of the biggest tragedies for Cape Town and other broader communities. Not only does the Eco Village host valuable community oriented initiatives, businesses, free educational programs and vulnerable youth uplifting programs (like Oude Molen Food garden, Horse Stable, gardening/art programs, 2 schools, Robyn Trust Frail Care, Khoi headquaters, doggy day care), to name just a few, thousands of visitors, families come to the village daily, to make use of the village and what it has to offer. The village is also a green lung for the city, holding living heritage with multiple generatios behind it. It must be preserved for future generations. This village deserves to be protected as a Heritage / UNESCO site. Do not let all of the above and so much more to be destroyed for the generations to come.	Anna	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:09:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is already total gridlock in this area. It will increase the traffic exponentially. Stop developing the green corridor	Gabriele	retired
	2026-06-23 15:13:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kate	retired
	2026-06-23 15:16:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anton	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:17:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Amanda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:17:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Frank	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:20:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Deborah	retired
	2026-06-23 15:21:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen Eco Village in Pinelands is not vacant or underused land. It is a long-standing, functioning community built on the site of the former Valkenberg hospital grounds next to the Black River wetlands. Over time it has developed into a mix of small businesses, community organisations, housing, education projects, gardens, and environmental initiatives. It provides livelihoods, services, and accessible space for many small operators, while contributing to a rare form of green, community-based land use in the city. Redevelopment would remove an existing, functioning system and replace it with a conventional high-density development. This would mean the permanent loss of a complex social and ecological network that has developed over decades and cannot simply be rebuilt elsewhere. The site is also part of a sensitive wetland and green corridor system in Cape Town, where incremental loss of open and semi-natural land has already placed pressure on ecological functioning. For these reasons, redevelopment is not appropriate for this site and should not proceed.	natalie	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:24:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		corne	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:25:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Monica	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:33:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carol	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:33:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carol	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:37:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I have many friends who are and have been community members of the Oude Molen Village community. It is their home, sustainance and work place. This concern outlined below is of primary consideration to the people of South Africa that the government is elected to serve: A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain.	Carla	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:38:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Robbie	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:39:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		DC	retired
	2026-06-23 15:39:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lise	retired
	2026-06-23 15:42:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		jan	
	2026-06-23 15:42:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Charmaine	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 15:45:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am very concerned that developpers "push" idevelopments without the full assessment and incorrect information provided to approve this project. We should reserve our heritage - not everything is about money!	Johan	
	2026-06-23 15:48:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		ALISON	
	2026-06-23 15:56:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Constan	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 15:57:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is simply unacceptable that the COCT chooses to by pass due process irrespective of the intention behind such conduct.	Jeanine	
	2026-06-23 16:01:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	CoCT trying to push this project forward without a proper assessment of all the Pro's and Con's.	Francois	retired

	2026-06-23 16:01:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Cape Town has increasingly become a concrete jungle, and places like Oude Molen are becoming rare. It is one of the few spaces within walking distance of underprivileged communities such as Maitland Garden Village, and just a short drive from Maitland, Kensington, and Factreton. It provides a unique environment where people from all walks of life can come together, regardless of income or social standing, to enjoy the serenity, open space, and natural beauty of Oude Molen Eco Village. In a city that continues to grapple with the legacy of spatial inequality, Oude Molen represents something increasingly valuable: a shared space where communities from diverse backgrounds can interact, learn, and enjoy nature on equal footing. Such places are essential for fostering social cohesion and creating a more inclusive city. The area is also home to horses and equine therapy programmes, offering a valuable form of support, healing, and personal development that is often inaccessible to less fortunate communities. At Oude Molen, these opportunities are within reach for many who would otherwise never have access to them. The educational, therapeutic, and emotional benefits derived from these programmes should not be underestimated. In addition, the area hosts Waldorf and Montessori schools that serve families from diverse backgrounds. While these are independent schools, their fees are often comparable to, or even lower than, those charged by many government fee-paying schools, making alternative educational approaches accessible to a broader range of families. These institutions have enriched the lives of countless children and contribute significantly to the diversity and inclusivity of the community. Beyond its social and educational value, Oude Molen is one of the few remaining accessible green spaces in this part of Cape Town. As development continues to transform the city, the preservation of open spaces becomes increasingly important for physical and mental well-being, environmental sustainability, recreation, and quality of life. Once these spaces are lost, they cannot easily be replaced. What is most concerning is the apparent lack of consideration for the broader social, environmental, and community value of this space. The focus appears to be on financial gain rather than preserving a unique community asset that serves a wide cross-section of society. Equally troubling is the absence of meaningful consideration of the traffic congestion already affecting the area, and the impact that further development will have on residents, commuters, schools, businesses, and surrounding communities. Decisions of this magnitude should not be based solely on economic considerations. They should also account for the long-term social, environmental, educational, and infrastructural consequences. A thorough and transparent assessment of these impacts is essential before any irreversible changes are made to a space that contributes so much to the people of Cape Town.	tasneem	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:03:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Arno	retired
	2026-06-23 16:09:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It gobsmacks (PLEASE pardon the use of my High Schoolers' vernacular but it IS most descriptive!) me that once again GREED is the reason for placing the infrastructure around Pinelands under EVEN MORE PRESSURE! A while ago, I read that ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-THOUSAND VEHICLES pass through Pinelands each day! Conradie Park, as yet incomplete, is ALREADY maxing out Pinelands's infrastructure! I heard on the PineVine that the King David Mowbray Golf Club has also been sold to developers for a large number of alleged "low cost houses"!!! NOW Oude Molen is in the same boat!!! WTAF is to become of ALL the folk whose livelihoods depend on Oude Molen for their existence?!?!?! WHERE is it going to end?!?!?! The next thing I know, they will be building low cost housing on the teeny tiny patch of parkland which hosts a swing set and a merry-go-round around the corner from where I reside!!! EISH!	Averil	retired
	2026-06-23 16:10:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Chantel	
	2026-06-23 16:12:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Patrick	retired
	2026-06-23 16:14:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Alfred	retired
	2026-06-23 16:15:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Densification is one thing, but densification at all costs is unjust and illogical and asking for trouble. Respect the process!	Robyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:16:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jan-Louis	
	2026-06-23 16:17:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lynette	retired
	2026-06-23 16:17:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This move will decimate a very active, historical, unique and important community hub. There is not substitute in Cape Town and certainly not in the southern suburbs for what the community and businesses here offer to the surrounding communities that support it. You're wiping out culture and diversity with what we really value as supporting and surrounding communities. Due process has not been followed in any regard and community objections have been repeatedly ignored. Please please please don't go through with this.	Kirsten	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:19:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jenny	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:21:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am once again shocked at the lies and "who the hell cares about the heritage, ecological impact and what will be lost". Once again the bottom line is all about the money and we all know what the root of that is. Do the City of Cape Town and their developers think we are a bunch of uneducated idiots - well we are not , we have been bamboozled way too many times, we're onto you. Please do not let this happen again like it did with the Amazon building - fight this with everything we have.	Janet	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:21:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rose	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		David	
	2026-06-23 16:27:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is an abomination and must not take place. Understand that inner city development is needed but be upfront and have a working madel that will make Cape Town proud.	Catherine	retired
	2026-06-23 16:27:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johann	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:28:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The COCT is turning natural spaces into a concrete jungle. Capetown does not have the infrastructure for such overrated developments. Our roads are already a nightmare. Please develop elsewhere, there is tons of open land in other areas and out of the City	Faheema	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:28:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lee-Ann	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:33:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value, as well as providing employment for many individuals. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Tania	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:34:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mel	
	2026-06-23 16:35:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The COCT has no right to rush through this deal which is easy to assume is more about money than the continued protection of the city's heritage and open spaces. The future - the long future of our city comes FIRST!	Alexander	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:36:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Neil	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:40:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations. Also, Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Furthermore, why this location, considering there is a whole world elsewhere that may be exploited with little impact. I consider this proposal as reckless exploitation of the unfortunate, as often times done by heartless richer people against the poor that are not able to defend.	Jacques	retired
	2026-06-23 16:42:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This suggested development will not only destroy the ecology of this space but it will also take away jobs and facilities that currently exist. It will also add to the traffic problems in Pinelands and surrounding areas, not to mention the affect on accessibility to Vincent Pallotti Hospital. It will also take away the current Robin Trust step down facility that currently serves many people as they transition from hospital to life back in the community.	Ann	retired
	2026-06-23 16:43:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Our family have been residents of Oude Molen for 24 years. We have 'greened & enlivened the soil' of Oude Molen over the years and have been growing organic food successfully here for 17 years. To 'kill' the earth here again by plastering it over with concrete is a complete sin and a huge loss to the public with health issues who benefit from our natural, organic products.	Margot	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:54:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I oppose rezoning Oude Molen Eco Village. Heritage Western Cape rejected development due to "significant intangible heritage tied to Khoi cultural practices" and biodiversity. Current tenants provide therapeutic, holistic services and steward this "highly sensitive" ecosystem. Redevelopment would destroy living heritage, displace residents, and erase one of Cape Town's last green refuges.	Craig	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:54:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Linda	self-employed

	2026-06-23 16:57:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	this area has lovely vistas of the Devil's Peak at many hours of the day and should be an eco park where people may picnic. The Plaque at the Riverlands entrance should be twice the size. Donations at entrance can be optional so that people from all walks of life can enjoy the surroundings. The funds received can go for maintenance and upkeep of the area. Volunteer environmental groups should also be encouraged!	anne	retired
	2026-06-23 17:04:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Another potential land-grab by greedy, uncaring, short-visioned investors, politicians and developers. Keep your hands off this exceptional community and creative space that represents true human value and effort and provides so much more than money ever can. Authenticity over sterility!	Dee	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:04:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Liezl	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:08:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Misleading visuals used, plus procedural flaws and predetermination of outcomes by officials are a cause for some concern	Teresa	retired
	2026-06-23 17:08:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City should rather continue with their plan to rezone the gholf course, which makes more sense.	Johann	retired
	2026-06-23 17:10:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This land has been public land and open space forever, and it should remain that way for the citizens of the land. Not everything needs to be sold and invested, Step the greed.	Malika	retired
	2026-06-23 17:13:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jem	
	2026-06-23 17:15:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Why must something that worked so good for the community have to be destroy... our heritage is all we have left, and we should really appreciate it and safe it for future generations. Better to upgrade and repair existing structures, let the institutions protect the environment and the values. I don't see a reason why everything have to be destroyed for people that doesn't care about their heritage, just because thei r situation will never get better	Anneline	retired
	2026-06-23 17:20:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Zulweena	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:23:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	High density population developments rapidly become slum-like and also tend to become hotbeds for criminal activities of various sorts. Why not establish a new development in areas with the necessary space for all the extra services that it will require to function efficiently? The road infrastructure along with the sewer systems and electrical infrastructure in the area are old and already stressed to breaking point.	Paul	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:29:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Joanne	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 17:31:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Helen	retired
	2026-06-23 17:32:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen has been a part of my community since I was a child and now a part of my own child's heritage. A place to shop for healthy organic produce, eat lunch together outside and where my son is able to go to school in a peaceful, natural and diverse environment	Nicola	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:34:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anina	retired
	2026-06-23 17:36:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Do not lose CAPE TOWN !	Thys	retired
	2026-06-23 17:46:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This project is going to impact the community very negatively and needs to be stopped.	Arnfried	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:46:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This project is going to impact the community very negatively and needs to be stopped.	Arnfried	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:49:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The entire process is a joke, pays no regard to public opinion, proceedure or impacts on existing communities. This is a classic City of Cape Town ride rough shod over the people to fulfill the investment needs of corporate entities and corrupt officials in the administration. We are supposed to be in a democracy in South Africa and this reeks or authoritarianism.	Kent	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:57:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Crazy people making these decisions to build those unsightly pigeon holes for humans	André	
	2026-06-23 17:58:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dick	retired
	2026-06-23 17:59:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is an invaluable space and it supports much income earning Capetonians, scholars at 2 schools, riding school for the disabled and others as well as the highly needed step down facility, Robin Trust. The E.I.A, is flawed and has been rejected; the visuals misrepresent the building lines and the traffic impact study is wholly inadequate. The City of Cape Town and the Western Cape government are starting to look like money grabbing and voter bribery cartels.	Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 17:59:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lorraine	retired
	2026-06-23 18:01:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is an irresponsible and devious way of securing land for high density development without considering the impact on local communities, environment, heritage and other important factors that enrich the city of CT and its peoples. It is based on greed and not the upliftment of local communities.	Alison	retired
	2026-06-23 18:04:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		dirk	retired
	2026-06-23 18:09:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Alastair	retired
	2026-06-23 18:12:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Elzabe	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:13:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		MR M G	
	2026-06-23 18:13:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Dude Molen has provided sanctuary to plants, birds, animals and people, as well as being a rural haven in the midst of the city. Nothing can replace it.	Louies	
	2026-06-23 18:13:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Dude Molen has provided sanctuary to plants, birds, animals and people, as well as being a rural haven in the midst of the city. Nothing can replace it.	Louies	
	2026-06-23 18:17:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I'm just wondering why they would lie about the process… If you can prove it's for improvement, by all means. But above all, heritage is so important…	Talita	
	2026-06-23 18:21:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Randall	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:22:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tammy	
	2026-06-23 18:26:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The land is currently used for community and offers a holistic and uplifting environment. We need to maintain these spaces that build community.	Arzanne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:29:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		maria	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:31:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bernie	
	2026-06-23 18:31:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bernie	
	2026-06-23 18:33:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bridget	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:34:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kim	
	2026-06-23 18:35:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Stop erasing our green spaces for squatters that do not contribute to our economy, accommodating freelancers that must be supported by the overburdened taxpayer. No, no and definately NO	AJ	retired
	2026-06-23 18:35:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gary	
	2026-06-23 18:37:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of existing community eco-systems is tragic and needs to be ring-fenced and preserved for future generations. Spaces need to be retained and maintained, and the histology should also be preserved for future generations especially green spaces and green lungs in what is becoming a more polluted area. Health, safety and goodwill are constitutional rights of tax paying Citizens and need to be upheld.	Birgitt	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:39:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kathleen	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:42:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Brian	
	2026-06-23 18:45:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		ken	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:55:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Willa	retired

	2026-06-23 19:07:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Petra	
	2026-06-23 19:08:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ann	
	2026-06-23 19:09:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gabriele	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:09:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Deirdre	retired
	2026-06-23 19:10:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mary-Ann	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:11:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Helena	
	2026-06-23 19:11:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sabine	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:12:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Raoul	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:16:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Joanne	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:19:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Destruction of existing community networks and history for the sake of development that has already disregarded proper procedures.	Catherine	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:20:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Melissa	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:20:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andre	retired
	2026-06-23 19:27:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is just another instance of a project which actually serves the interests of the few, i.e. the developers, being punted as serving the broader, less socially economically advantaged citizens of Cape Town. Oude Molen currently functions very well as a holistic community which provides employment to many and much needed recreational space for people living in the surrounding suburbs of Observatory, Maitland and Pinelands.	Ariel	student
	2026-06-23 19:37:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Yolandi	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:41:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lizzie	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:54:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shannon	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:55:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The crowded urbanization of all green spaces is creating a collective mental health issue which will be exasperated by the destruction of yet another piece of nature. Please desist from destruction of eco systems	Tertia	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:57:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		P	retired
	2026-06-23 20:03:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is not vacant land waiting to be developed. It is a living heritage site, a community village, a green open space, and a place of social, cultural, and environmental significance that has served generations of Capetonians. The Western Cape Government is proposing to rezone and redevelop the 13-hectare Oude Molen Precinct in Pinelands into a high-density, mixed-use development consisting of approximately 1,364 residential units, extensive commercial office space, retail infrastructure, and buildings of up to eight storeys. This proposal would permanently transform the character of Oude Molen and threaten the very qualities that make it unique. Oude Molen is home to a diverse community of residents, small businesses, social enterprises, educational initiatives, artists, environmental projects, and organisations that contribute to the social fabric of Cape Town. It is one of the few places where heritage, nature, community, and public benefit coexist in a meaningful way. Importantly, on 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee dismissed the developer's appeal and upheld the refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), finding that it does not comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. This means the heritage assessment submitted in support of the development was deemed inadequate by the authority responsible for protecting our heritage resources. Despite this significant decision, the land-use application is still being advanced. This raises serious concerns about the protection of heritage resources and the integrity of the planning process. The proposed development threatens: The heritage significance and historic character of Oude Molen. Valuable public green space and biodiversity. A thriving and established community village. Community-based organisations, social programmes, and small enterprises. The unique identity of a place that cannot be replaced once lost. Development should not come at the expense of heritage, community, and public interest. Public land should serve the people of Cape Town, not erase one of its most unique historic and community spaces. We call on the City of Cape Town and the Western Cape Government to: Reject the current rezoning and redevelopment proposal. Respect the decision of Heritage Western Cape. Protect Oude Molen's heritage, green spaces, and community value. Engage meaningfully with residents, stakeholders, and the broader public to develop alternatives that preserve the site's unique character and public benefit. Oude Molen belongs to the people of Cape Town. Once this historic community village is lost, it can never be recreated.	Zoë	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:05:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Martin	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:08:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shanaaz	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:11:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jean	retired
	2026-06-23 20:11:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loraine	retired
	2026-06-23 20:14:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michele	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:21:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tanja	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:22:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The loss of the extensive ecosystem and community already there that do wonders for the whole of Pinelands.	Lucille	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:26:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gillian	retired
	2026-06-23 20:26:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gillian	retired
	2026-06-23 20:55:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Brigitta	retired
	2026-06-23 20:57:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carly	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:02:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cindy	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:04:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Christo	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:05:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tiana	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:08:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gail	retired

	2026-06-23 21:11:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Due process and legal routes are not being followed and the proposed development will negatively impact many people's livelihoods and benefit only the developers.	I Am Charlene	retired
	2026-06-23 21:16:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I oppose !	Martin	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:19:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Martine	business owner
	2026-06-23 21:22:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The influx of more traffic will be disastrous	René	
	2026-06-23 21:22:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ronel	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:22:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Entire process and intention is illegal based on a capitalist system with no interest in public consideration and social needs. Another form of draconian actions imposed upon the South African population which favours only the wealthy.	Rania	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:27:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	A transparent process of public participation involving all stakeholders needs to be undertaken	Dudley	retired
	2026-06-23 21:30:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		TANIA	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:33:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is so much wrong here! But I'd like to add a note on the hypocrisy of the city acting out of procedure, when as a building professional we are bound by these rules! But apparently the city isn't! And as a side note, no development of this size should ever be rushed. It should be thoroughly and properly and carefully investigated, thought through and designed, and tested at every stage.	Helen	business owner
	2026-06-23 21:35:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bertus	retired
	2026-06-23 21:41:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Steffan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:44:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Liesbet	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:45:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Richard	retired
	2026-06-23 21:50:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Shocking plan to interfere with the excellent service to the community by the Oude Molen Heritage.	BERYL	retired
	2026-06-23 21:51:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hilda	retired
	2026-06-23 21:55:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:00:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Justine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:06:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Can we stop selling this city out to developers and investment funds and start protecting the people who live in it, have lived in it, and have no where else to go but are being priced out of it? Public land so close to the CBD should not be sold, as it is in such short supply, and the people who use it currently will not be able to find safe and suitable alternatives. The point of a municipality is to work in the public interest, and NOT the private interest. High-density development will ruin this area, it's character and it's heritage. There could be guidelines in place to better manage the precinct and the community uses and leases within it, without selling it to private developers. Political decision-makers really need to consider the legacy they are leaving. The Riverlands development is serving no community function and has really not lived up to its hype or promises. Meanwhile, sports fields and accessible public green spaces are in high demand. Oude Molen is one of the few places close to the city where you can learn how to ride horses, in an affordable and accessible setting. The Waldorf school is used for community events and classes. The Play Shed is one of the few play areas where the price tag isn't exorbitant. The swimming pools are also more accessible than some others, and well used. Please stop selling out our future for your present terms.	S	
	2026-06-23 22:33:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Once again the public is being railroaded considering that it has already been said that the approvals are guaranteed	Harry	retired
	2026-06-23 22:35:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sheila	business owner
	2026-06-23 22:40:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sheila	retired
	2026-06-23 22:47:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		gerhard	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:47:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		gerhard	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:51:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	When will this EVIL be stopped?? This is all for the love of money and nothing else!!	JW	retired
	2026-06-23 22:52:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mary	retired
	2026-06-23 23:00:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Wisal	
	2026-06-23 23:04:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Once lost, this key ecological treasure will be lost forever.	Robyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:12:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	High density redevelopment should be along routes that can handle the extra traffic density and there are sites near the airport that is underdeveloped and should get priority for these type of schemes.	Jakobus P H	retired
	2026-06-23 23:15:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Aaliya	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:25:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hawa	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:28:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The processes have not been honest. The existing infrastructure already supports over 400 jobs. The ecology of the rivers is VERY important to the whole of Cape Town.	Eileen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:34:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Almondo	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:54:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is clear that we are again being misled by rich property developers.	anetta	self-employed
	2026-06-24 00:08:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maranel	self-employed
	2026-06-24 00:34:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kathy	retired
	2026-06-24 00:42:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is so easy to decide to build over historical community facilities and publicly available spaces but almost impossible to reverse the damage once its done. When it's gone it's gone. With so much local and national government owned land lying idle near the centre of Cape Town, it is sinful to be steamrollering this Oude Molen proposal through the planning process. Whose pockets have been lined?	Stuart	retired
	2026-06-24 00:53:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Renee	self-employed
	2026-06-24 01:22:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cecilia	employed individual
	2026-06-24 01:23:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	As business owner I do support all the people with businesses in oude molen and historical area which has deep routed in the cape heritage ,local community use the land for family time and the river ecosystem cant afford huge development that will impack the water system and cause problems ,some parts of cape town must be left open and green belt offers beautiful setting for tourist as it is now	collin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 01:41:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loretta	self-employed
	2026-06-24 02:32:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		SC	unemployed individual

	2026-06-24 03:12:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johan	retired
	2026-06-24 03:26:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andrew	retired
	2026-06-24 03:35:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of Existing community ecosystem and Historical Continuity as well as loss of open space and environmental threat to the area	bennie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 03:53:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Launa	self-employed
	2026-06-24 04:31:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Valnor	employed individual
	2026-06-24 04:37:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lee Ann	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:20:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Rob	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:21:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The development at all costs has to stop. Where is the holistic city planning? Who has a vision that we are all working towards?	Jerrmy	
	2026-06-24 05:27:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Community based care for the elderly and youth will be compromised. The ecosystem is well run and very well.supppрted by many and the loss of jobs to those living and working at these facilities would be critical	Merry	retired
	2026-06-24 05:35:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Simon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:36:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nico	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 05:47:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Simon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:00:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Monique	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:00:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We owe it to the next generation to protect not only the nature of Cape Town but also the history and the essential need for green spaces. High end housing does not support the people of Cape Town; nor does the erasure of historic semi-rural sites encourage healthy development of people cultures and minds.	Andrea	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:05:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rasheeda	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:08:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Following my comments concerning the proposed King David Golf course redevelopment, this is clearly another botched attempt by the the City of Cape Town. Leave public land alone.	Gary	retired
	2026-06-24 06:11:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hugo	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:11:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Given all of the concerns listed below, in addition to the recent Daily Maverick reporting that has shown that the Cape Town planning permission process is corrupt beyond belief, I object wholeheartedly to the project in its entirety. Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Pushing land-use approvals through while the HIA stands officially rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA is premature and legally non-compliant. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of First Nations endorsement as false. Calculated Net Loss of Jobs: While the state promises 900 jobs, they hide the baseline reality. Robin Trust alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with the schools, stables, food gardens, and small enterprises, the site already supports hundreds of secure, local operational jobs. The proposal represents a net employment loss, not a gain. The Private School Bait-and-Switch: Early public engagement used the promise of new educational facilities. However, Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report explicitly reveals that the proposed school will be private, noting that the Department of Education confirmed there is no planned public school provision for the site. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Emergency Access: The Traffic Impact Assessment admits that capacity on Alexandra Road is already critically constrained at the Berkley and Raapenberg Road intersections. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto this bottleneck directly threatens emergency vehicle response times to the neighboring Vincent Pallotti Level 1 trauma unit. Security & Operational Strains on Valkenberg Hospital: The proposal demolishes the historic secure buffer zone for Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital, placing vulnerable psychiatric patients at risk and severing long-standing land-based therapeutic and occupational therapy integrations. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores "horizontal" community transmission and mistakes "archival silence" (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the "one-third affordable housing" pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Kirsten	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:12:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Suzie	
	2026-06-24 06:13:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rika	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:18:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Totally misleading proposal, the process is flawed and the only gain is for the COCT who is pushing this proposal without regarding the real costs to the environment, jobs, traffic density etc	Ivan	retired
	2026-06-24 06:19:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margaret	
	2026-06-24 06:32:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Caera	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:33:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carola	retired
	2026-06-24 06:35:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Moulen is a thriving community for over 30 years. This proposed development is preposterous. Here we go with the City of Cape Town not taking into consideration the peoples rights, needs etc. Greed rules yet again under the guise of development and job creation. Keep your hands our your pockets and do the right thing for our City. Please dont let this development go ahead.	Beverley	
	2026-06-24 06:36:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anna	retired
	2026-06-24 06:38:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This place had so much potential of community engagement and local services it would be sad to see another high rise take its place	Annette	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:42:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The community of artisans, nurses, carers and teachers situated in an an environmentally friendly heritage site is a proud landmark of signicance.	Michael	
	2026-06-24 06:42:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shaul	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:45:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is already a flourish economic hub here catering to middle class community needs. It is also too close to a major highway intersection and increased traffic will exacerbate the existing congestion and make quick emergency access to a major hospital far harder. There is also the added proximity to a green belt, waterway and the impact it will have on the ecosystem here. This development is neither needed or wanted by the community.	Pieter	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:45:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karen	retired

	2026-06-24 06:47:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Odd how a government that rams our 'rich cultural background' down our throats every chance they get would suddenly be disinterested in upholding this particular kind of heritage...Since when do they get to cherry pick which laws are upheld? This disaster in the making should have been trashed the second approval for it started failing, and yet they are pushing through, breaking laws and willing to waste their time and the tax payers's money fighting this in court (where it would inevitably end)! One has to wonder if the fire starter was not perhaps inadvertently motivated or allowed to act freely based on the greedy desperation displayed by government right now? How else does one justify taking away from its citizens? Robbing them of their opportunity to have an established job and earn an income. Taking barely acceptable traffic congestion and doubling down on it (for what overruling public benefit)? Since when has risking emergency patient lives (by essentially blocking or severely impeding expedient access to the hospital through trafic) become an acceptable past time to our local government? Scrap these fraudulent plans and start over from scratch - the legal way and asking the people that live there what they want and need! The tax payer's money has already been wasted, but that's on government. Forging forward on tainted information with suspect anomalies already found in the process will cause more harm than good and likely turn this into a tender fraud, tshwane-like, harmful disgrace for our people. DO BETTER!	E	
	2026-06-24 06:48:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I think it's disgraceful to destroy what's been setup here. A fully functioning ecosystem, employment for others, homes for horses which my nephew loves to ride and won't if it goes, school, play park for kids. COCT should never have let so many people into our province if we have a housing problem. I'm very against this whole thing and what about traffic. It's already bad now. Thank you	Yolande	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:49:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mike	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:50:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Serena	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:50:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We are fast becoming the city that destroys communities and eco sensitive spaces for the benefit of the wealthy. This project will destroy a valued working environment for hundreds of people and replace it with high end concrete blocks with no thought for those who have lost their space.	Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 06:51:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Joy	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 06:59:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Phillip	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:59:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Gill	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:00:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ethel	retired
	2026-06-24 07:03:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		FRANK	retired
	2026-06-24 07:04:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Teresa	
	2026-06-24 07:06:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:09:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jay	
	2026-06-24 07:12:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:12:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Thank you. I do not support the proposed developement. As in other cases, the drive to develope our heritage sites, and our communities is money and the enrichment of individuals. These developements corrode the historical framework, and destroy urban communities that precisely serve to preserve COMMUNITY. We do not wat a whole city consisting only of high rise buildings. The city belongs to the PEOPLE living in it, not to the developers.	Liesel	
	2026-06-24 07:19:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Razane Simone	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:19:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Toni	
	2026-06-24 07:20:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	As the City of Cape Town is known for is money. No respect for heritage, future generations and respect for those who sweated blood and water to build this city to what it is today. The water and electrical infrastructures are already at its max. Get your priorities in order.	Robin	retired
	2026-06-24 07:23:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dawn	
	2026-06-24 07:27:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gerhard	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:28:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dina	
	2026-06-24 07:29:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Alfonso	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:31:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Wouter	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:32:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This whole project sounds like corruption.	Melané	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:33:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am totally disgusted at how few people have actually given comment on this subject. It is the same with the voting process if you are a turkey and vote for Christmas then do not cry when you will be eaten. The authorities know that unfortunately and get away with murder. I will for sure never vote for the DA again they are heading in the wrong direction.	Martin	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:36:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The Western Cape government prides itself with its 100% audits, and yet in the property development section there seems little regard for due process. To be more concise -Corruption and development above all else. Slow down and do things properly. The image of the DA is being tarnished.	Rose	
	2026-06-24 07:38:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anna	student
	2026-06-24 07:39:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages. The Amazon complex is the proof of the City of Cape Towns corrupted planning council.	Jonathan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:39:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This seems to be a typical social engineering project that will benefit a few, designed to fit with political and business imperatives created by an out of control DA administration.	Nicholas	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:46:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sphe	
	2026-06-24 07:54:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We must oppose stuff like this at all times. Open spaces within cities help cool the environment down and buy building on them, we cause problems to ourselves and the environment. We must go green, plant trees, vegetable gardens, etc, which are more beneficial to the city, than this proposed monstrosity.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 07:56:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nicolette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:56:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Craig	
	2026-06-24 07:57:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johan	retired
	2026-06-24 07:59:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Megan	
	2026-06-24 08:04:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Christa	retired
	2026-06-24 08:08:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Cape Town is allready high density. You cannot add more. The infrastructure does not allow for this. Traffic is horrific, routes are few, add an unfortunate fire in it and it's a disaster because people cannot move! Too dense!	Vasti	retired
	2026-06-24 08:08:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	WE NEED THIS GREEN LUNG OF THE CITY. WHERE ANIMSLS AND HUMANS WORK TOGETHER AS A COMMUNITY. CHILDRRN PLAY. PEOPLE HEAL THIS IS ONLY AREA LEFT WHERE MANY IF US GO DAILY FOR WORK SCHOOL HEALING AND WEEKENDS. IT IS A VERY IMPORTANT HERITAGE SITE LOOKED AFTER BY ALL . PUBLIC PROTEST IS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT THIS EVO VILLLAGE IS LEFT ALONE.	Joni	
	2026-06-24 08:10:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	All the concerns listed make this project totally unacceptable.	Lindsay	
	2026-06-24 08:14:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:16:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Leon	

	2026-06-24 08:19:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Keri	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 08:20:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	A. The site currently supports an irreplaceable ecosystem: The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest. B. Here are the hard facts: 1. Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. 2. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. 6. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along. C. Top concerns: 1. Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied. 2. Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. 3. Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight. 4. Predetermination of outcomes. 5. Systematic loss of public land and open space. 6. Absence of an area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers Corridor. 7. Cumulative infrastructure strain. 8. Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims. 9. Environmental and ecological threats.	Ansia	retired
	2026-06-24 08:21:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The entire proposal is absolutely shocking and short sighted and only feeding into the 'global' grab for prime land for large scale and unsightly development in Cape Town. This property has served a large community for decades, in many different ways, and to think that they could lose homes or businesses is just diabolical. The open space where the horses live is essential as a green lung, and so close to the river.	Suann	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:24:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gerald	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:28:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Robin trust and other activities very important and effective must not be disrupted. Also changing the nature of what makes Cape Town attractive will be its downfall.	Wilhelmina	
	2026-06-24 08:28:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Jenny	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:32:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	COCT continues to place greed and revenue above people.	thomas	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:33:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Pushing land-use approvals through while the HIA stands officially rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA is premature and legally non-compliant. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of First Nations endorsement as false. Calculated Net Loss of Jobs: While the state promises 900 jobs, they hide the baseline reality. Robin Trust alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with the schools, stables, food gardens, and small enterprises, the site already supports hundreds of secure, local operational jobs. The proposal represents a net employment loss, not a gain. The Private School Bait-and-Switch: Early public engagement used the promise of new educational facilities. However, Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report explicitly reveals that the proposed school will be private, noting that the Department of Education confirmed there is no planned public school provision for the site. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Emergency Access: The Traffic Impact Assessment admits that capacity on Alexandra Road is already critically constrained at the Berkley and Raapenberg Road intersections. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto this bottleneck directly threatens emergency vehicle response times to the neighboring Vincent Pallotti Level 1 trauma unit. Security & Operational Strains on Valkenberg Hospital: The proposal demolishes the historic secure buffer zone for Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital, placing vulnerable psychiatric patients at risk and severing long-standing land-based therapeutic and occupational therapy integrations. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores "horizontal" community transmission and mistakes "archival silence" (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the "one-third affordable housing" pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Arthur	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:33:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johnathan	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:36:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Zaida	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:40:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Also the WCED has no commitmment for a despiratly needed public school which was part of the, so called, plan. Plus, there will be jobs lost on the local work being done in that area. not considered	Peter	Non-profit
	2026-06-24 08:42:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maya	
	2026-06-24 08:44:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jenny	retired
	2026-06-24 08:45:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gene	retired
	2026-06-24 08:46:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maianne	
	2026-06-24 08:48:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bridget	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:49:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Yael	
	2026-06-24 08:54:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There are holistic schools, eco systems, equestrian therapy, Robyn trust, all these places protecting the environment and employing hundreds of people, this has been overlooked for greed.	Sally	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:55:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Jurgen	
	2026-06-24 08:57:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:58:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Janice	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:00:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dale	retired

	2026-06-24 09:01:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bavashnee	
	2026-06-24 09:02:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hanneke	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:04:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Dear Sir / Madam I object to the proposed development for the following reasons: The development threatens to displace existing residents, schools, community organisations, and jobs, without a clear relocation plan. Alexandra Road is a key access route to Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 Trauma Centre. Additional traffic on an already congested road network could delay emergency vehicles and impact patient access. The public is being asked to approve maximum development rights without sufficient certainty regarding the final scale, density, and infrastructure impacts of the project.	Zahirah	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:04:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Letitia	
	2026-06-24 09:06:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Adrienne	
	2026-06-24 09:06:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Adrienne	
	2026-06-24 09:08:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This project is wrong on so many levels from displacement of an existing community and eco environment who service not only the neighbouring area of Pinelands , Rondebosch and Maitland, but people travelling from further out to come and immerse themselves in therapeutic activities which are immeasurable and valuable to the public as a whole. The already congested Alexander Road which feeds not only the Vincent Palotti Hospital but also the Alexander Mental Facility not to mention the commercial facilities on the same road The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA. How will Traffic, sewerage challenges, water infrastructure all be accommodated to ensure that this does not in any way negatively impact the current dire situation already being experienced.	donnavin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:08:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This project is wrong on so many levels from displacement of an existing community and eco environment who service not only the neighbouring area of Pinelands , Rondebosch and Maitland, but people travelling from further out to come and immerse themselves in therapeutic activities which are immeasurable and valuable to the public as a whole. The already congested Alexander Road which feeds not only the Vincent Palotti Hospital but also the Alexander Mental Facility not to mention the commercial facilities on the same road The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA. How will Traffic, sewerage challenges, water infrastructure all be accommodated to ensure that this does not in any way negatively impact the current dire situation already being experienced.	donnavin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:11:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marina	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:11:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Thomas	retired
	2026-06-24 09:11:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Thomas	retired
	2026-06-24 09:14:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is the hub of many businesses and livelihoods. It's heritage has lead to an alternative, but futuristic and inclusive, health and environmentally conscious community which is an asset to the city. The functional example of sustainable livelihoods, along with the fragile environment, should be cherished, protected and carefully sustainably developed. Not fraudulently rushed through a bent approval process and destroyed.	Wayne	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:15:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Risk to trauma patients. No benefit to broader community apart from developers, future occupants. Known community that benefits woth large NGOs and mmes being displaced.	Alexis	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:17:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We have little enough green space in this area , don’t mess with it	Geoff	retired
	2026-06-24 09:18:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Paul	retired
	2026-06-24 09:18:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am writing as a parent of a child attending one of the schools at Oude Molen Village and wish to express my strong objection to the proposed redevelopment and rezoning of this site. Oude Molen Village is far more than a collection of buildings. It is a unique community that provides educational, therapeutic, social, cultural, and environmental benefits that depend on the site's peaceful, semi-rural character. The schools, the Robin Trust, eco-gardens, equestrian therapy facilities, and other community organisations together form a valuable social ecosystem that serves children, families, people with disabilities, and the wider public. For many families, the natural environment is a key reason for choosing a school at Oude Molen. The open green spaces, gardens, and connection to nature support children's wellbeing, learning, and development in ways that are increasingly difficult to find in urban areas. The current activities at Oude Molen also represent a continuation of the historic purpose of the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape. For more than 130 years, this area has been associated with care, rehabilitation, and community wellbeing. These living heritage resources deserve recognition and protection and should not be excluded from social impact considerations. I am also concerned about the environmental implications of the proposed development. The 13-hectare site borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system and provides habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Given the scale and density of the proposal, it is concerning that no independent Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted to evaluate the potential ecological and cumulative impacts. I respectfully request that the authorities fully consider the social, educational, therapeutic, heritage, and environmental value of Oude Molen Village before any rezoning or development approvals are granted. As a parent, I have seen first-hand the positive impact that this unique environment has on children and families. Once this community and landscape are lost, they cannot easily be replaced. I urge the authorities to protect this important community asset for future generations.	Annika	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:18:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Madeleine	retired
	2026-06-24 09:19:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:20:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bonita	
	2026-06-24 09:21:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marc	
	2026-06-24 09:22:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		elize	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:24:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nicky	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:28:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andre	
	2026-06-24 09:29:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Domenico	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:29:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bee	
	2026-06-24 09:30:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Zubeida	retired
	2026-06-24 09:39:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sherwin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:40:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Eugene	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:41:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kate	
	2026-06-24 09:42:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nezaam	
	2026-06-24 09:43:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jean	retired
	2026-06-24 09:45:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I don't trust the people in charge anymore.	G	
	2026-06-24 09:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Very hard to choose 1 as ultimately they all run into each other and create a huge loss for the Cape Town community and having already lost huge historical communities in recent history there should be a much bigger plan and use of public land.	Cathy	employed individual

	2026-06-24 09:47:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:49:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		jansie	
	2026-06-24 09:49:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pontso	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:51:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Community representatives, academics and other consortia have been engaging with the site and the city to present and propose forms of development that sustain, maintain and expand the site in a responsible, care-ful manner. This selling off to the highest bidder is irresponsible and as has been shown, due diligence has not been followed. It fosters displacement, removes jobs, homes, and facilities that people have built and have been using successfully for decades.	Emma	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:53:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Debbie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:56:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Candice	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:56:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Maryanne	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:57:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Adrian	retired
	2026-06-24 09:59:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant’s HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, “vertical” definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape. The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations. The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project’s true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages. There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, “derisked” opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. An area-wide Heritage Impact Assessment for the broader, deeply historic Two Rivers Urban Park landscape was never completed. The overarching heritage process was abruptly suspended, and the Local Spatial Development Framework was pushed through regardless. By deferring heritage impacts to individual sites and then choosing to ignore site-level rejections, the City is systematically fragmenting a contiguous cultural landscape without evaluating cumulative, landscape-scale damage. This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA. The applicant’s marketing relies heavily on claims that one-third of the development will consist of social and affordable housing. However, the framework documents explicitly state that the inclusion of these units is non-binding and entirely contingent on future “financial feasibility” assessments. There is no enforceable legal mechanism in this application to guarantee that affordable housing will ever materialise. The City should not grant permanent, high-density development rights based on voluntary targets that a private developer can easily abandon later. The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles. Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. With this proposed development the city is relentlessly pursuing their strategy of high density urban space development. This is apparently in order to address the shortage of housing and to attract even more people to live in Cape Town permanently. In my opinion, this strategy leads to overcrowding, overloading of the already inadequate infrastructure and a general loss of what is Cape Town known for, namely, beauty, free lifestyle, outdoor activities, land- and seascapes, etc. Architects and developers might be creating something nice and useful. But who are they to decide what is nice and beautiful? They are doing it according what their investors are perceiving as nice and useful, and above all to make money. Stop this high density housing development in Cape Town. You are making Cape Town an ugly concrete, steel and glass jungle with a town planning which only considers developers and investors, but no or very little consideration for the people who have to endure the consequences of your actions. Like the Mowbray King David proposed development, this one also wants to replace a green lung with high density bulk infrastructure. None of the existing service infrastructure will be able to cope with additional loads - think roads/traffic, electricity, sewerage, water, waste. And all the people who currently live and and work on the site will be displaced. How can that be acceptable? Again, what's at at play here is money. COCT and the developers stand to benefit enormously. They could care less about the environment and the people already living/working there. It has to be stopped. This decision seems to be being deliberately rushed. And at the expense of the large numbers of existing stakeholders and workers on the property already. There's a different vision possible - of regenerative, locally relevant, inclusive development - which the existing model is an example I and many, many others have often found inspiring. Engaging properly with the existing model, and asking those there for proper input on any additional investment needed to really upgrade according to a genuinely sustainable communal vision, is ACTUALLY what should be taking place here. Anything else is morally reprehensible, legally questionable and socially damaging.	S	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:01:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is not the first time that position of power is abused. There are many reasons why this is not a good idea and pushed on the people blatantly disregarding our wishes. A heritage site is treated with no respect and another ecosystem on the way to destruction -hence no respect for the people. A high-density, eight-story mixed-use development introducing roughly 1,364 units begs the question iro water usage, road usage, school availability- just a few questions and nevermind the cost implications again for the tax payers -crime etc I am so fed-up with the City of CT - we are constantly defending our areas against the very people that should be looking after our wished. people should wake up and use their vote wisely	Chris	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:02:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cedric	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:04:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Cliff	retired
	2026-06-24 10:06:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is right next to Maitland Garden Village and while some of these residents believe they will get new homes from this development as many of the people here live in "hokkies" for extended family there is no promise of that. How does a private school work hand in hand with affordable housing?? When the government schools in the area are filled to capacity and beyond and no plan for a government school in the area??	Debra	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:08:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of community ecosystem.. Oude Molen village represents a vital rural pocket in the City, a place where citizens can immerse themselves in a natural environment and a welcoming, cohesive community. The Gaia Waldorf school offers an unmatched holistic learning experience to children. The community ecosystem with domestic animals, gardens and wildlife reduces stress, restores attention, and grounds education in the local community. Integrating the outdoors into the curriculum boosts academic performance, social-emotional development, and overall well-being. Children benefit from social inclusion and cognitive restoration in a world that seeks to capitalize off of their attention.	Soraya	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:08:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rodney	retired
	2026-06-24 10:10:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Each and every reason above creates Great concern to me!!! The Whole "development project" Reeks (Stinks) of Total Corruption and GREED!!! I was lead to believe that corruption was in the process of being Eradicated in this (was) beautiful country of ours??????? My answer is a very loud, Resounding NO!!!	Dennis	retired
	2026-06-24 10:10:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Magdalena	retired
	2026-06-24 10:11:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		kevin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:15:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Yet again, the political egos and greedy developers are eyeing green property around Cape Town for unnecessary development. Major cities all over the world are trying to green their cities to counter climate change. In CT municipality, we are trying to develop our green spaces and there can only be collusion between the municipality and developers. More people do not need to live in the centre of CT. Hybrid working has shown this. Develop proper desirable areas around Durbanville and Melkbosstrand if you need more housing and build areas of commerce as well. Good, safe and subsidised public transport should solve the commute. This whole development is a lie and the public know it. Citizens are fed up with this sculduggery. This is a global problem and citizens around the world have to change it.	ADRIENNE	self-employed

	2026-06-24 10:15:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is unique in the city as being home to numerous artisans, a coffee shop, a school, a backpackers as well as providing support for many people who use the services the site provides. The setting is relatively unpsoilt and this is the last chance to preserve a 'a farm in the city' that has a multi-layered history: links to the 1717 Oude Molen windmill, Khoekhoe presence along the river in precolonial times, and presence of King Cetshwayo (held prisoner here by the British in the 1880s), while also retaining the old mill house that represents numerous building phases. The fact that King Cetshwayo was detained here during the 1880s is enough reason to declare this a national or at least provincial heritage site. There is great potential here for maximising the space by turning the homestead into a museum or heritage centre that tells the story of the site's multi-layered history, improving the capacity of the cafe to cater for more visitors, thereby encouraging tourists and even schools to visit and bringing more income into the area. Let's not make the same mistakes that were made at Riverlands.	Jim	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:16:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There are many reasons why this is not a good idea and pushed on the people blatantly disregarding our wishes. A heritage site is treated with no respect and another ecosystem on the way to destruction - hence no respect for the people. Politicians and council employees should not be so quick to sell our natural resources short and those responsible should be called to account for their actions.	Johan	retired
	2026-06-24 10:18:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kevin	
	2026-06-24 10:20:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The Oude Molen precinct is a vital green space that the area desperately needs. The rest of the area is an ugly industrial wasteland full of old/run down industrial buildings, concrete, tar and brick. Also, I am very concerned about the traffic impact this proposed development will have. We must have easy access to Vincent Pallotti as we have a child with severe allergies, and her allergy specialist's office is at the hospital. A traffic jam outside the hospital could become a life-threatening ordeal for our child. It is an unacceptable risk. Beyond that, I am tired of the City's officials making predetermined decisions about how land will be used. Public participation is increasingly viewed as an inconvenience that is mostly whitewashed with a rubber-stamp participation process. This needs to be stopped immediately. The citizens must have the final say, not bureaucrats.	Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:20:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA.	Roy	retired
	2026-06-24 10:23:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tracy	
	2026-06-24 10:25:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am primarily concerned about the displacement of the current community and the businesses (livelihoods) that have been built over many years and how they would be affected.	Marcel	retired
	2026-06-24 10:30:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Leonie	retired
	2026-06-24 10:41:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		David	retired
	2026-06-24 10:57:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Developers and CT City in cahoots, bullying local communities for profit	Francois	Non-profit
	2026-06-24 10:59:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		S	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:03:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dominic	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:04:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Vicky	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:04:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is an absolute disgrace by City of Cape Town. Where are your morals!! This ecosystem should be PROTECTED, NOT DEVELOPED! This an important heritage site which should be protected. I absolutely appalled at the misleading information.	Gina	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:04:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Christine	
	2026-06-24 11:08:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Juanita	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:08:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Liz	
	2026-06-24 11:12:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Mr Mayor, stop selling every single open piece of land to developers! Especially in spaces that do not have the infrastructure to support it! Your actions bring to mind a song called 'They Paved Paradise To Put Up A Parking Lot'! Your ignorance of the effect open, treed spaces has on particularly rainfall is astounding. We've faced a Day Zero before. Do not make it an annual occurrence!	Gillian	retired
	2026-06-24 11:15:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Dawn	retired
	2026-06-24 11:19:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Robin	
	2026-06-24 11:23:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gillian	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:31:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	My primary hope is to see a holistic approach to development that balances economic growth with community preservation. While urban development is absolutely essential for keeping the City of Cape Town (CoCT) vibrant and competitive, we have a unique opportunity here to protect a vital piece of our shared heritage. This historically significant property along the Black River Parkway would serve its highest and best purpose as a permanent public space—an asset kept open for generations to come. There are numerous other locations within the CoCT precinct well-suited for high-value development. For instance, the property situated at the corner of Prestige Drive, Sunrise Circle, and Jan Smuts Drive (adjacent to Oude Molen Technical High School and opposite the Pinelands Police Precinct) is highly visible and in great need of revitalization. Directing investment to areas like this would maximize the city's growth while preserving our irreplaceable historical landmarks.	Gary	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:32:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant’s HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, “vertical” definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape. Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. The current proposal intends to replace a long-established, low-density green space with a high-density, eight-storey mixed-use development introducing roughly 1,364 residential units, extensive commercial office spaces, and retail infrastructure. The Statutory Roadblock: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape’s Appeals Committee officially dismissed the applicant’s appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), confirming it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA). This is presently a little bit of natural and historic beauty left in a landscape so overdeveloped into ugliness and crowding and traffic lanes that it is a terrible shame that the city does not have an interest in preserving unrestricted sight of the beauty around anymore and that the greed for housing and ugly buildings has taken over any sense of beauty and appreciation for open speces. its supposed to be a princile to apply now to help the city dwellers mental state.. Leave the area to be natural so the riverrs can work and the wetlands. It is such an indictment that the heritage and impact studies on nature is not done properly or ignored. This is a natural Heritage area and an open space which must be preserved. Misrepresenting the impact on the environment and the visual impact and trying to sneak in approval and steamroller objections with a deadline of 30 June is an insult and unlawful. I reject the propasal which has already been extensively planned without the neccessary approvals to destroy this beautiful absolutely necessary public open space. this is a sneaky attemp to steamroller the public. it is outrageous that the plan can be so far developed before there has been approval from the public with enough time and notice for objections. This development affects every capetonian.	adele	retired
	2026-06-24 11:33:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We cannot take away areas which are being productively used by different partners for more buildings and houses. This is a community venue, where lots of different people benefit - it is calm and regenerative for the people. What about brown sites for housing, etc. Leave this patch alone. The City just wants to make money.	Janet	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:40:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shahieda	retired
	2026-06-24 11:47:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Penny	retired
	2026-06-24 11:48:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Johann	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 11:49:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	To whom it may concern, Based on the information available in the public domain, the City of Cape Town has violated the very principles by which we measure the failing opponents of the DA in government. Procedural flaws and limited public participation are measures we don't expect to see under DA led administrations. Serious concerns have been raised and if the reports are true, the public is being misled by false claims being made without due consideration of the livelihoods of the existing employees at Oude Molen Precinct. Stop the project now. Consult openly and transparently with all stakeholders allowing the necessary time for public participation and heritage considerations to be fully considered. If the rate-payers in Cape Town don't want it, you should be doing it.	Des	business owner

	2026-06-24 11:55:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		sally	
	2026-06-24 11:56:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Vivienne	retired
	2026-06-24 12:06:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The Oude Molen Eco-Village is a unique, vibrant community in an ecologically sensitive environment that has evolved to meet people's needs in a rustic, restful way. This place is an oasis of peace in a fast paced world. It is always a pleasure to venture here. To redevelop will be to kill off something irreplaceable. To try to rush through redevelopment plans through means illegal and or underhand is abhorrent. Shame on the City Council for trying to do so. Leave Oude Molen alone.	Estelle	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:07:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Insaaf	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:07:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Carmen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:31:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This space is currently housing, mixed business with public access.. but much more than that.. its a celebration of diverse community, and a haven which gives purpose and place to children, artists and travelers and animals, it needs to be kept as is.	Karen	
	2026-06-24 12:41:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Fiona	
	2026-06-24 12:50:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Annelize	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:53:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There are valuable entities on this land which will be evicted to who knows where. I have also seen the development at the Conradie site, and this sounds like more of the same. Conradie is awful and this sounds the same or worse. Another green lung removed from the city, and a huge addition to the load on an already almost grid-locked road system. And if what I've read is correct, procedures have not been correctly followed or consulted opinions taken into account.	Fiona	retired
	2026-06-24 12:54:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marinda	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:55:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The planned redevelopment of this siemi rural property with it's 130 year history of continuity for cultural, therapeutic and educational uses will be destroyed. The holistic school, the eco gardens, equestrian therapy facilities and well over 400 jobs will be lost. This site is of immense public value and must be saved at all costs.	Greg	retired
	2026-06-24 12:56:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This development would just add to the inequality of society - replacing a community development with high end elite housing for the rich.	Jackie	retired
	2026-06-24 13:00:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I visit this property almost everyday to use the open spaces. It has abundant wildlife including mongoose, water birds, birds of prey. It is the only green space in the Maitland/ Ndebeni area and a very valuable wetland. Oude Molen Eco village provides safe places for kids and adults from across a very wide demographic. The tenants have been maintaining this property, not the City of Cape Town or Western Cape Government. There is no litter, no dumping, no squatters, no crime. It is not VACANT LAND. It is a THRIVING economy for hundreds of people. The new planning has no provision for open space, and shows no protection of this valuable wetland or the loss of heritage of this property. Or compensation to the people making a living here. Additionally It has a historic value to the indigenous people of Cape Town. That isn’t even mentioned. The point is, this property is being used and looked after by its users. The users understand its value and care for it. There are other properties that are vacant and in total neglect. Why not develop those?And UPGRADE the City. This is valuable land. As real estate, worth millions. There is definitely a lack of transparency. Someone is trying to profit at the expense of history, community and environmental value. Lets get full disclosure, so the real intentions behind this development gets known to the community and uses.	Carole-Ann	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:05:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This development would just add to the inequality of society - replacing a community development with high end elite housing for the rich.	Denise	retired
	2026-06-24 13:05:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Heather	retired
	2026-06-24 13:12:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ferona	
	2026-06-24 13:20:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We need to protect and maintain existing heritage sites across the country as urban sprawl encroaches on our remaining green spaces. Enough of such spaces have already been destroyed .	Helen	retired
	2026-06-24 13:37:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Melany	retired
	2026-06-24 13:37:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bryan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:38:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The ability of the governing bodies to destroy every important site in South Africa is unbelievable. They wish to take land that has a special job for the city and that is NOT playing Golf. It is part of the natural structure to clean the air and support nature. It is obvious that they have absolutely no under standing of nature and only see money in every item around them.	Hennie	
	2026-06-24 13:42:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	DA : Destroying all that is Good ! Pinelands and surrounding areas : Garden City, not Concrete city !!!!!!! Only good at the administration factor and services, i.e roads; electricity and a few extra items.	patrick	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:47:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is vital as a green lung, biodiversity corridor and a space for low impact, biodiversity supporting, low density development. It could become a jewel in our city's crown, an urban oasis and a drawcard for tourists. The City has ONCE AGAIN, ignored their own procedures as well as the wishes of the community in favour or high density development and the cost will be seen in votes come election time. Follow a :nature First" approach will reap dividends over the medium to long term that far outstrip the short term income from inappropriate development.	Marie-Louise	business owner
	2026-06-24 13:49:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is so much other land available to the city, in particular the military bases Wingfield and Youngsfield, as well as many other areas. I fully support what the city is trying to do in terms of densification etc, but in so many instances 'approvals' appear to be pushed through without consideration for the overall neighbourhood. Equally in many instances there appear to be significant deviations by builders / developers / property owners away from the building code, yet nothing seems to be done to rectify / demolish etc. Too little is being done to create wider work opportunity areas. The success of Cape Town is ensuring that many people from all over SA are moving to CPT, but road infrastructure is not keeping up with population growth and traffic needs	D	
	2026-06-24 13:53:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kimball	retired
	2026-06-24 14:06:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	if it is Oude Molen near Paarl - where people can go stay in houses they own there and day campers then it is the place that my aunt and uncle stay. if they do this they will sit without a home.	Hanlie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:21:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Natashia	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:32:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	My child goes to Gaia school on the grounds. The rezoning development removes the beautiful, calm, enriching, and natural environment oudemolen offers. Even before we were familiar with the school we would frequent the surrounding areas and it was always a peaceful place. That would completely change, not for the better!	Aaieshah	
	2026-06-24 14:32:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	My child goes to Gaia school on the grounds. The rezoning development removes the beautiful, calm, enriching, and natural environment oudemolen offers. Even before we were familiar with the school we would frequent the surrounding areas and it was always a peaceful place. That would completely change, not for the better!	Aaieshah	
	2026-06-24 14:41:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andrea	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:42:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is sad to see the best municipality in South Africa succumbing to purely commercial interests and sacrificing a unique community and ecological space in the process. We already have the Amazon development sprawling all over the Black River basin. We don't need more of the same. Oude Molen is a rare example of an holistic and humane approach to the use of public land. It should be protected and supported, not thrown to the wolves.	Shauna	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:45:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The fact that the corridor from the Black River/ Liesbeek Park has already been taken from heritage protection to push urbanisation is enough. Pushing for more congestion in an already strained new road between Maitland and Observatory is counter to what we need to be thinking about for sustainable town planning in Cape Town. There is a great importance for open green public spaces	Yanga	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:45:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		P	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:54:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karin	retired
	2026-06-24 15:01:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Merle	retired
	2026-06-24 15:03:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Yasmin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:05:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Deborah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:16:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The city is intent on densification at all costs. There are certain areas where that should not be allowed or even considered - that is why we have a Heritage Trust. Or has that also already been 'Bought"???	Nana	retired
	2026-06-24 15:21:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Raymond	retired
	2026-06-24 15:23:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		jenni	retired
	2026-06-24 15:24:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Leave established ecosystems well alone. Green spaces, wild spaces should be left alone. Start identifying and utilizing old buildings and redevelop those.	H	

	2026-06-24 15:31:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There is no other community like Oude Moulen - it's one of the few spaces in the city where environment and employment work together, and it would be a tragedy to lose it. Like so many others, we go there to buy our compost and organic veg - waste is turned into health and value, instead of landfill.	Emma	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:35:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Beverley	retired
	2026-06-24 16:02:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	FORMAL OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED OUDE MOLEN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK I hereby object to the proposed Oude Molen Development Framework and request that the City of Cape Town suspend any further approval processes until all statutory, heritage, environmental, traffic, and public participation requirements have been lawfully and transparently satisfied. My objection is founded upon concerns regarding procedural fairness, administrative justice, lawful decision-making, and compliance with constitutional and statutory obligations. 1. PREDETERMINATION AND PROCEDURAL UNFAIRNESS Public participation is a fundamental component of lawful administrative action. Section 33 of the Constitution guarantees the right to administrative action that is lawful, reasonable, and procedurally fair, while the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act 3 of 2000 (PAJA) gives effect to those rights. Reports that the project was promoted to investors on the basis that heritage approvals, public participation processes, and development rights had already been secured raise serious concerns regarding predetermination. If decision-makers represented to investors that key approvals were effectively complete before statutory processes had concluded, this undermines public confidence in the integrity of the consultation process and creates the reasonable perception that public participation is being conducted merely as a procedural formality. A public participation process cannot be meaningful if the outcome has effectively been decided in advance. 2. FAILURE TO RESPECT HERITAGE LEGISLATION I am concerned by reports that Heritage Western Cape rejected the Heritage Impact Assessment due to non-compliance with statutory requirements. The National Heritage Resources Act exists to protect places of cultural, historical, social, and environmental significance. Where the competent heritage authority has identified deficiencies in a Heritage Impact Assessment, it is inappropriate and potentially unlawful to advance planning approvals before those concerns have been properly addressed. Any development framework approved before the lawful completion of heritage processes risks being vulnerable to review and challenge. 3. INADEQUATE CONSIDERATION OF EXISTING COMMUNITY VALUE The precinct currently supports a diverse community of educational, therapeutic, agricultural, equestrian, environmental, and social organisations. I am particularly concerned that insufficient consideration appears to have been given to the impact on existing equestrian facilities, including Oude Molen Stables, and the welfare of the horses currently housed there. The documentation should clearly disclose what provision, if any, has been made for the relocation of horses, riding programmes, instructors, employees, and associated activities should the development proceed. The displacement of long-established community assets cannot simply be treated as a planning inconvenience. Decision-makers are obliged to consider the actual social costs of redevelopment and not merely projected economic benefits. 4. MISLEADING ASSESSMENT OF EMPLOYMENT IMPACT Any claim regarding job creation must be assessed against the existing employment baseline. If employment calculations have been conducted against an assumed baseline of zero while existing organisations currently employ substantial numbers of people, then the assessment may materially misrepresent the true economic impact of the development. Administrative decisions must be based upon accurate and relevant information. A failure to properly account for existing employment and economic activity undermines the reliability of the decision-making process. 5. TRAFFIC, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS I am further concerned by reports that the surrounding road network is already operating under significant pressure. Where access routes serve critical public facilities, including emergency medical services and trauma care, decision-makers have a heightened duty to ensure that development approvals do not compromise public safety. The City bears an obligation to demonstrate, through credible evidence, that the proposed scale and density of development can be accommodated without unacceptable consequences for traffic congestion, emergency access, public infrastructure, and service delivery. 6. CONSTITUTIONAL DUTIES OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS Section 152 of the Constitution requires local government to encourage the involvement of communities in matters of local governance. The principles of accountability, transparency, responsiveness, and public participation are not optional administrative preferences; they are constitutional obligations. The City of Cape Town must therefore demonstrate not only technical compliance with planning procedures but also genuine engagement with affected communities and stakeholders. CONCLUSION For the reasons set out above, I respectfully submit that the proposed development framework should not proceed in its current form. I request that the City: • Suspend further approvals until all heritage processes have been lawfully concluded; • Ensure full compliance with the requirements of PAJA and the Constitution; • Reassess the social, economic, environmental, and animal welfare impacts of the proposal; • Provide transparent disclosure regarding the future of existing organisations and facilities affected by the development; • Demonstrate that all public submissions have been genuinely considered before any decision is taken. The integrity of public participation depends not merely upon allowing comments to be submitted, but upon ensuring that those comments are capable of influencing the outcome. Anything less would be inconsistent with the constitutional principles of lawful, accountable, and participatory governance.	Ethel	business owner
	2026-06-24 16:09:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This area is a functioning working space. It offers ample employment opportunities to people and serves as a green space within a built up area. While I understand the need for housing, this space is serving an important purpose in the community. Added to this, it is a heritage site. Rather support the area, rebuild the buildings that are iunoccupied, and provide addtional safe housing for vulnerable groups within a healthy and supportive community and green environment (by vulnerable groups, I mean a children's home, a safe house for mothers and children escaping GBV, or a group home for people with mental or physical disabilities).	Marianne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 16:33:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Louise	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 16:35:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of specific jobs that cannot be replaced with the development. With this development and the golf club housing development right next door, the area will be unmanageable. The traffic and load on all infrastructure will be impossible for the city to manage.	Sheryl	retired
	2026-06-24 16:47:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jennifer	self-employed
	2026-06-24 16:49:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	IT IS SUCH A UNIQUE SPACE !!! Please it has to be preserved , so much is learnt there by all cultures and people from all walks of life.	Jane	business owner
	2026-06-24 16:49:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jennifer	
	2026-06-24 16:53:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 16:57:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sarah	retired
	2026-06-24 17:00:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am appalled by the numerous features of deception, dishonesty, and destructive greed that are evident in the Oude Molen Precinct development. To have intentionally overlooked and misapplied the heritage assessment processes and practices, neglecting to conduct a full environmental impact assessment, as well as the destruction of a fragile social ecosystem that results in net-employment loss all evidence a position of disrespect and a willingness to deceive - seeking personal financial gain at the cost of environmental, social, and heritage wellbeing. These practices of corruption are indicative of self-privileging attitudes at the expense of community wellbeing and prosperity, and reflect the problems and actions that are preventing South Africa and her people from prospering collectively.	Andrew	
	2026-06-24 17:12:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 17:26:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ruth	retired
	2026-06-24 17:30:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Charlotte	retired
	2026-06-24 17:30:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sharon	retired
	2026-06-24 17:34:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		odon	employed individual

	2026-06-24 17:36:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lesley	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:37:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Penny	employed individual
	2026-06-24 17:58:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Casey	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:08:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 18:26:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is unnecessary to take away an area that is providing so much for so many people. More buildings and concrete are not required. Leave the area as an community ecosystem that provides so much value and joy the people who use it and who depend on it for their livelihoods. Yet another loss of public land and open space is unaccpetable.	Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 18:26:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sharon	retired
	2026-06-24 18:46:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rhett	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:52:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sandra	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:01:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is a community that cares about the environment and educates the young people how to.appreciate the open spaces. This community provides employment and also educates our children at the school s in the area.	Sandra	retired
	2026-06-24 19:32:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pamela	retired
	2026-06-24 19:34:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Clare	self-employed
	2026-06-24 19:44:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Adele	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:57:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karen	retired
	2026-06-24 20:04:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Christine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 20:05:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Stop selling public land to wealthy developers to sell back to people at enormously inflated prices. The only people that win are the developers who get richer. And they don't have to live in the areas they've plundered.	Candyce	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:05:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Bianca	
	2026-06-24 20:09:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Eloise	
	2026-06-24 20:51:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Every single one of the above objections. Particularly the lack of AFFORDABLE HOUSING.[High fee private school !!]. The second the Heritage Rejection should be enough on its own.	Sandra	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:57:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Shonah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:58:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I completely reject the proposed development and the request to rezone. This is a biologically sensitive area, rich cultural history, living heritage (completely ignored by hia) The Western Cape Government is wasting tax payers money on appeals. If you would work with existing tenants the space could be even better and generate more income. The proposed development is completely unsustainable, the existing infrastructure cannot support this number of housing units. Due process has not been followed and you do not have the publics best interest at heart.	Armoy	employed individual

	2026-06-24 21:03:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Beth	
	2026-06-24 21:48:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Zainab	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 21:57:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Teresa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 21:57:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We reject the proposed development and the request to rezone. This is a biologically sensitive area, rich cultural history, living heritage	Dewald	retired
	2026-06-24 22:25:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Devi	
	2026-06-24 22:27:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mark	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:33:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		MARIA	retired
	2026-06-24 22:35:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Macushla	
	2026-06-24 23:13:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is a hub of community activity, as well as an important ecological lung in the midst of over-development. It serves the needs of many instead of the proposed few wealthy individuals who seek only their own profit! Do not destroy this part of our city in the search for your short-lived profit!	Rencia	retired
	2026-06-24 23:34:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Robins Trust is a key role player in support of dementia services, ensuring training and employment by carers. The need for these services, which are massively under-resourced, is enormous. Anyone dealing with the aged has come across Robins Trust, whether directly or indirectly. Where it is located is critical for its continuity. It's close proximity to those who use the services, and those who train with Robins Trust. Additionally the entire Eco-Village supports an ecosystem of NPO's and NGO's who otherwise would have to pay very unaffordable rentals, and not be in close proximity to one another where they are now co-dependent in many ways . In a sector hard hit economically in the last few years, this move on the land would actually put many 'out of business' and rob citizens of services that no one else is providing.	Jacqui	business owner
	2026-06-25 02:39:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Daniel	
	2026-06-25 05:23:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am also concerned on how the city planners continue to allow such developments.... where is the water going to come from to support so many people ...where is the sewerage going to go ? Our seas and beaches are already polluted from sewerage and are making people sick. I dont see new dams being built or the sewerage systems being upgraded	Vanessa	employed individual
	2026-06-25 05:30:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ellen	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 07:24:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of community open space and natural spaces for developers greed is unacceptable	Penny	retired
	2026-06-25 07:39:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	You cannot just wipe away an existing community rich in heritage culture, not to mention lots of smaller social communities within the greater area, & the loss of natural ecosystems with its wide open spaces. They will be robbing the captonians of one of the oldest & most beautiful areas within the western Cape. As someone who frequents these roads for both business & pleasure it would be a great loss.	Niki	employed individual
	2026-06-25 07:41:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Peter	
	2026-06-25 07:44:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Theresa	employed individual
	2026-06-25 07:50:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mel	self-employed
	2026-06-25 08:13:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anthony	retired
	2026-06-25 08:46:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rika	
	2026-06-25 08:50:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bronwyn	business owner
	2026-06-25 09:07:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ruhan	
	2026-06-25 09:46:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hennie	retired
	2026-06-25 09:59:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Apart from the list of potential reasons for objections, I need to indicate my concern that includes philosophical issues of development and communities. My impression is that the functions currently performed at the venue are under threat and that development will threaten the provision of these functions and further functions. We desperately need more open space with all the urbanisation and this should be considered as a long term plan. Crime may make it unsafe but the hope is that South Africans and immigrants will behave better so that people can have recreation and exercise at an open space that has fantastic views of the Table Mountain. The extension to the east of Pinelands will place more people in the area and thus a bigger demand for space as mentioned.	Adrian	retired
	2026-06-25 10:06:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Darryl	
	2026-06-25 10:18:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I continue to be deeply disconcerted by the City of Cape Towns approach to development. It poses as a pro-poor, culturally responsible, community driven, environmentally conscious governance approach that prioritises sustainable development. The recent choice to build the Amazon Tower amongst other corporate profit-driven 'development' follows broader trends which demonstrate an interest in maintaining spatial apartheid legacies for the benefit of the rich and historically privileged. Profit over people, culture, environmental sustainability is blatantly in opposition to any truly progressive people centred agenda. Any government truly concerned with transformation would not destroy a community that provides a potential model for how small business, NPO work, youth development and food security work can operate productively within practices of environmental protection. The current City of Cape Town needs to make drastic changes to its 'developmental' model for its mantra as the 'city that works for you' not to continue to be hypocritical and duplicitous.	Lyndal	employed individual
	2026-06-25 11:24:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This space is already supporting a large community and is part of an eco village. Please reserve this property heritage and continue to keep the beauty of the farm and the independent schools and home for the aged.	Claire	self-employed
	2026-06-25 11:31:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Robin	employed individual
	2026-06-25 11:40:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Walter	business owner
	2026-06-25 11:50:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mariette	self-employed
	2026-06-25 12:24:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Moulen is an unique, multi-cultural community employing hundreds of people across different sectors, and an important part of the heritage of Cape Town. Built up by community over decades, it is constantly evolving and any expansion should take place with full community and Heritage Western Cape approval and input. Generic large scale developments that change the character of Cape Town's neighbourhoods negatively impact tourism as well as quality of life of local residents. I trust that of City of Cape Town will recognise and preserve the thriving neighbourhood of Oude Moulen which demonstrates harmonious living across languages, cultures and financial status - rare in our city.	Marisa	
	2026-06-25 12:36:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Unique space that needs to be protected ! Once gone, can never be replaced.	Shirley	retired

	2026-06-25 12:36:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Unique space that needs to be protected ! Once gone, can never be replaced.	Shirley	retired
	2026-06-25 14:10:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maristela	
	2026-06-25 14:30:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The land is currently being put to very good use for the community and causing no problems (traffic or other), but because of money an underhanded plan is underway to make some people rich at the expense of the community it serves. Development that is is upfront about its plans and operates honestly is fine but misleading all is not to be tolerated. I am against this shameful operation.	Margie	
	2026-06-25 14:51:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lesley	retired
	2026-06-25 14:51:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lesley	retired
	2026-06-25 15:40:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	If this was built on lies in the 1st place, everything after is going to be a lie. Affordable means 10349 people will end up living in and destroying this most beautiful heritage space. Were Capetoinans permitted to vote on this?	Wanda	retired
	2026-06-25 16:09:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ann	retired
	2026-06-25 16:53:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	White washing by the CoCT with regards to solutions around infrastructure. The lack of regard for the existing community and the sanctuary it provides in an urban area. The loss of a green lung. The impact on wetlands and water sources (flora and funa). Too many developments are adding pressure to the same area (Riverlands, Conradie Park, King David Golf course. The unique and diverse community at OM serves the greater community. It provides jobs to a group of people who don't always fit the traditional model, with skills that are often not readily available anymore in society. The CoCT has decided that the way traffic management should work is to push people to use public transport by providing less parking than is required. It is not a solution we don't have a robust public transport system and even if one is planned the population is growing faster than the infrastructure, cars will increase not reduce. No development should be signed off without at least 1.5 parking spaces per home. There are opportunities to de-commision the Military bases around Kensington / Goodwood for dense housing. The city would still need to improve sewerage and roads but would avoid creating an urban, bottleneck on the route from the airport which impacts tourism. Currently roads are over-subscribed around the proposed developments and road widening that should have happened didn't. Putting traffic lights on Jan Smuts will not solve the problem but create more traffic chaos. At Koeberg traffic lights it becomes gridlocked at peak times because people become frustrated so move into the junction. Voortrekker Road was never designed for the level of traffic it needs to support. Berkley road has not been widened, Jan Smuts has not been widened. The N2 is at capacity for about 3/4 hours in the morning. Koeberg interchange was over capacity by the time it opened due to short term thinking. All of these are due to the CoCT not having proper, long-term planning for infrastructure and population growth. There is an opportunity for the CoCT to stop making the problems worse with short term thinking and to develop a 50/ 100 year, well thought out, well mapped, future proof plan. Lastly another consideration is handing over to developers who are about profit. So once everything is signed off and the dust has settled they push back and say that its affordably to build xyz and so costs get cut and affordable housing, excellence in building and schedules run over by years (Conradie is not even halfway, it is poorly built, there is insufficient parking already, with less than 50% complete) and you end up with an inner city, built up area which leads to social and economic issues.	Abigail	employed individual
	2026-06-25 17:16:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lesley	retired
	2026-06-25 17:36:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jen	retired
	2026-06-25 18:50:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nicole	retired
	2026-06-25 19:30:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Debbie	retired
	2026-06-25 20:06:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Beyond temporarily satisfying the enormous greed of just a few there can be no justification for this development. Shame on you!	John	retired
	2026-06-25 20:57:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mayvene	retired
	2026-06-25 21:13:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Denise	
	2026-06-25 22:55:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hildegarde	employed individual
	2026-06-25 23:05:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Public spaces and our Heritage.	Louis	retired
	2026-06-25 23:36:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The ever expanding determination by the City to densify in the few remaining green spaces, smacks of selling off our urban land to the highest bidder! The area is a haven in an urbanised area serving the community and providing employment and the City should not be allowed to rip that away!	Glenda	retired
	2026-06-26 03:08:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	What is the real "necessity" of building on this piece of land? There are many other areas that can be developmental instead, that will result in actual self sustainable projects with measurable regional development	Ivo	unemployed individual
	2026-06-26 05:36:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Green spaces are essential for any city and this space is a gem as is multi functional. Losing this to more housing and not enough infrastructural support is crazy!!	Jenie	Non-profit
	2026-06-26 06:14:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Wendy	employed individual
	2026-06-26 06:27:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Louis	business owner
	2026-06-26 07:12:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The pursuit of concrete buildings over need for green spaces is likinvvearing junk food and expecting to be healthy It's why cape town is so special- Rather build in the townships - change the shacks into beautiful urban suburbs ! It can be done !! Visualize it Make more green parks - build beautiful blocks of flats in the cape flats - not concrete boxes Imagine dream and make it right !	Doryce	business owner
	2026-06-26 07:21:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Another nail in the coffin in the ongoing destruction of our beautiful city.	Suzie	retired
	2026-06-26 07:32:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karin	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:33:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Vicky	business owner
	2026-06-26 07:36:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cheryl	
	2026-06-26 07:38:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Amanda	self-employed
	2026-06-26 08:13:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		LINDA	self-employed
	2026-06-26 08:34:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bianca	employed individual
	2026-06-26 09:31:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		B A	self-employed
	2026-06-26 09:54:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		philip	retired
	2026-06-26 10:12:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Liz	retired
	2026-06-26 10:21:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Donne	
	2026-06-26 11:04:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Barbara	retired
	2026-06-26 11:24:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carryn	employed individual
	2026-06-26 11:26:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		C	employed individual

					Oude Molen is a green space that functions as a village and is comprised of at least 40 small businesses which government both encourages and supports. It's a sanctuary for visitors - green spaces, horse riding and vegetables are sold and cafe type restaurants offer respite from the hustle and pollution of the city on its doorstep. It's a real working community. Something that should be preserved based on its historical importance For 30 years it's been run as a thriving business community Cape Town is a historical City and the present DA led COCT and Western Cape government, seems to have little regard for history and Heritage as the radical changes to it's policy of zoning show and I'm not sure how legal these changes are and they should be looked at in a legal situation. This community is now under serious threat. The Western Cape Government has applied to rezone the entire 13-hectare Oude Molen Precinct into a high-density development of 1,364 residential units, rising up to eight storeys along Alexandra Road. At the same time, less than 1km away there is a plan to take away yet another golf course Mowbray and King David(which amalgamated with Mowbray when the City stripped it of its land in Ottery. This development will also house at least 2000 affordable housing units plus shops and business. Together in a 1km area the traffic in an already highly congested area, will not move in peak hours. Why is government not paying attention to this ridiculous situation? There is no mitigation for this gridlock situation in peak hours. COCT is already threatening congestion tax on vehicles due to it's own poor town planning in this very area, failure to expand infrastructure to support this multiple thousands of living and commercial units and traffic as well as it's failure to take cognisance of environmental impact studies and traffic assessments . COCT is pursuing a C40 densification program (which as citizens we were neither informed of nor given a chance to oppose) which is stripping our beautiful City of all it's green and historical spaces which make it the beautiful City that has been nominated several times as the world's most beautiful City. The radical policy of densification is stripping our City of all it's beauty and what's most troubling is that no one on Council is listening to the resident's objections. We're just a tick box annoyance, yet we live in and function in this City and have zero control over it's apparent rampant destruction by poor town planning. Here is what this development would mean for the neighbourhood: Hundreds of jobs would be lost overnight. Around 40 businesses currently operate on the site, employing hundreds of people who have built their livelihoods there over decades. The official Socio-Economic Impact Assessment assigns all of this economic activity a value of zero. That is not an oversight, it is a deliberate choice that guarantees a positive result on paper while ignoring the human reality on the ground. Two schools serving our children would close. The application itself admits there is no plan for a government school to replace them. Where will those children go, and where will the hundreds of children moving into 1,364 new units go? There is apparently a private school being planned. How is that going to replace 2 government schools that already fail to address numbers of students in the COCT environs. The application has no answer. Alexandra Road, already gridlocked at both ends, would absorb thousands of additional vehicle trips daily. The Traffic Impact Assessment openly admits there are no credible mitigation measures. This is the same road that provides emergency access to Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 trauma unit. Minutes matter when someone is having a heart attack or stroke. A route that will be impacted more heavily by the planned development of the Mowbray golf club with even more residential units. The food gardens, the stables, the therapeutic riding programmes, the healthcare facilities, and the eco-village businesses, ALL GONE. Replaced by concrete blocks and commercial development that, based on what happened at the nearby River Club, will price its smallest units from well over R1.5 million. This is not affordable housing for the people of Pinelands, Maitland, or Langa. It never was. And here is the part that should concern every one of us: Heritage Western Cape has refused the Heritage Impact Assessment twice, and the appeal was dismissed just three weeks ago on 10 June 2026. The City of Cape Town is pushing this application forward anyway, with the comment period closing before most people even knew this existed. I strongly oppose this and the Mowbray golf course development. There is much better space for affordable housing in other less congested areas and as anyone who is a qualified town planner knows, infrastructure has to be expanded to accommodate development but there is no ability to expand roads in this highly congested area so traffic will be gridlocked for hours right next to a major highway intersection.		
	2026-06-26 11:41:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Debbie	retired
	2026-06-26 11:57:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Please do not destroy habitats and our natural eco system. Stop destroying this beautiful country full of heritage	beverley	
	2026-06-26 12:15:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carmen	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:17:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pam	retired
	2026-06-26 12:37:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nasima	retired
	2026-06-26 12:51:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Megan	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:09:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	As mentioned i oppose the development for many good reasons as mentioned, but in particular the displacement of those currently making a living at the site thereby support ing themselves and their families. Thank you.	John	
	2026-06-26 13:30:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:49:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jénitte	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:56:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is a money making scheme of the highest degree with complete disregard for the community and associations that work and protect this land.	Jénitte	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:56:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Benita	self-employed
	2026-06-26 13:56:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Benita	self-employed
	2026-06-26 14:02:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Henry	retired
	2026-06-26 14:42:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Alfred	self-employed
	2026-06-26 15:14:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This will be a huge crime and violation against our beautiful city. Only a selected few will benefit, while others will suffer loss of jobs, loss of heritage and loss of views.	Erika	self-employed
	2026-06-26 15:52:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	So many businesses and family homes will be removed and it's not fair! I do not think that it's a good idea.	Mary	employed individual
	2026-06-26 16:01:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Abdulwahab	
	2026-06-26 16:04:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Doret	self-employed
	2026-06-26 16:41:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Andrea	employed individual
	2026-06-26 17:00:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This DA COCT is making us tired but we will fight until you no longer run the Western Cape.	AbdulRagiem	
	2026-06-26 17:18:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dawn	
	2026-06-26 17:18:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dawn	
	2026-06-26 17:51:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Denise	retired
	2026-06-26 17:58:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Valerie	retired
	2026-06-26 19:25:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Susan	employed individual
	2026-06-26 20:10:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The Oude Molen precinct provides a range of services impacting on diverse groups of people. This space should be treasured as it reflects what is so needed in our city - a community that promotes sustainabilty, eco-friendly practices, micro-enterprises, provides jobs and engages and supports surrounding organisations. And has been successfully doing this for many years, in line with the original intention of this space.	Ellen	retired
	2026-06-26 20:26:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		William	retired
	2026-06-26 20:39:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Joy	employed individual
	2026-06-26 21:18:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Max	self-employed
	2026-06-26 22:29:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Robyn	self-employed

	2026-06-26 22:42:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Concern for Jobs lost, ecosystem and heritage loss and insufficiency of the current infrastructure for such a development.	Marli	self-employed
	2026-06-26 23:01:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Caitlyn	student
	2026-06-26 23:14:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I feel that this development is led by greed & not coherently considered. I have artisan friends that have lived there for years & grown a community & have also had a dear friend cared for by Robin's Nest in her last days. It would be a travesty if the City were to ride roughshod over community concerns & allows this valuable green space & communal area to be covered by multiple housing units & retail areas without consideration given to increased traffic & loss of valued space & ecological impact	Faith	retired
	2026-06-26 23:14:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I feel that this development is led by greed & not coherently considered. I have artisan friends that have lived there for years & grown a community & have also had a dear friend cared for by Robin's Nest in her last days. It would be a travesty if the City were to ride roughshod over community concerns & allows this valuable green space & communal area to be covered by multiple housing units & retail areas without consideration given to increased traffic & loss of valued space & ecological impact	Faith	retired
	2026-06-26 23:15:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jennilee	employed individual
	2026-06-27 03:20:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City of Cape Town should really consider investing in restoring the delapidated buildings and in collaboration with the big business creating a Skills resource centre equipping the unemployed youth of the Garden village and greater Maitland area. Make them employable.	Felicity	employed individual
	2026-06-27 07:54:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I strongly oppose this proposal. Oude Molen is one of Cape Town's most unique green spaces, with incredible heritage, biodiversity, and community value. Once it's replaced with high-density development, we can never get it back. We should be protecting and enhancing spaces like this for future generations, not paving over them	Tannah	
	2026-06-27 09:34:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jenna	employed individual
	2026-06-27 09:37:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lambert	self-employed
	2026-06-27 09:38:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Akshay	
	2026-06-27 09:41:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pushpa	
	2026-06-27 09:45:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Katy	self-employed
	2026-06-27 09:47:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		MARCIUS	retired
	2026-06-27 10:10:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Tania	retired
	2026-06-27 11:07:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I strongly object to the proposed rezoning of Oude Molen for a high-rise development. Oude Molen has served our community for many years as a unique, peaceful, and environmentally rich space that supports education, wellbeing, and meaningful community connection. It is home to the Waldorf and Montessori schools, where children benefit from daily access to nature, open spaces, and a nurturing environment that would be fundamentally altered by high-rise buildings. The area's natural resources, biodiversity, horse riding facilities, and strong sense of community are irreplaceable and contribute to the physical, emotional, and educational wellbeing of countless families. A development of this scale would permanently change the character of Oude Molen, increase traffic and congestion, place additional strain on local infrastructure, and undermine the very qualities that have made this space such a valuable community asset. I urge the relevant authorities to protect Oude Molen for future generations and reject the proposed rezoning.	Dariwesha	self-employed
	2026-06-27 14:54:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable services of high public value, much of it free or low cost, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Bernadene	
	2026-06-27 15:11:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It is a,disgrace that Oude Molen be rezoned cost people their lively hood, the impact of the roads and the sewage system. Only so that Fat cats can benefit to the detriment of honest people working. The unemployment rate is so high so you want to add to it.	Dawn	retired
	2026-06-27 15:40:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am horrified that the WC government is trying to go ahead with this development . There is not one thing in its favour and why look for investors overseas Cape town is already bursting at the seams and infrastructure is old and broken. officials seem to have misled the public as to what really will be built there	Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-27 15:40:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am horrified that the WC government is trying to go ahead with this development . There is not one thing in its favour and why look for investors overseas Cape town is already bursting at the seams and infrastructure is old and broken. officials seem to have misled the public as to what really will be built there	Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-27 15:53:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margaret	
	2026-06-27 17:15:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Charlotte	self-employed
	2026-06-27 17:20:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Fact: Mid 2025, permit was granted for a Community Market to be held at Oude Molen Precinct by the City of Cape Town , Department of Infrastructure and had the approval of the Oude Molen authorities. 2 WEEKS before the Market date the Department of Infrastructure Official canceled the permit and made some lame , nonsensical excuse for doing so. We know the true reason: the Market concerned has a very large following and the City of Cape Town Department of Infrastructure DID NOT WANT ANY PUBLIC ATTENTION OR FURTHER DISCOVERY PLACED ON OUDE MOLEN as they already had other intentions for the Oude Molen Precinct site !!	Verne	self-employed
	2026-06-27 17:53:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Due process is absolutely required here. Corruption and greed ride roughshod over preserving our historical heritage and our natural spaces. A generational view is required here - not a "me first, and how can I personally benefit from this?".	Genevieve	retired
	2026-06-27 17:53:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Due process is absolutely required here. Corruption and greed ride roughshod over preserving our historical heritage and our natural spaces. A generational view is required here - not a "me first, and how can I personally benefit from this?".	Genevieve	retired
	2026-06-27 18:12:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of businesses and schools. The impact on tge environment. And the loss of our few open spaces.	Rushdia	self-employed
	2026-06-27 18:53:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kate	retired
	2026-06-27 19:05:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I visit Oude Molen eco area regularly. Improves my mental health and spiritual wellbeing being. Detox my mind and soul regularly. Oude Molen has an earthy atmosphere with horses, chickens and their droppings that cannot be replaced with concrete. Or paving over. It will be an eco loss forever. Hope this place keeps it's atmosphere. ??❤️	Shafiq	employed individual
	2026-06-27 19:30:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hilary	self-employed
	2026-06-27 19:45:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kai	self-employed
	2026-06-27 19:47:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dianne	
	2026-06-27 19:48:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jonathan Bruce	self-employed
	2026-06-27 20:09:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		BOBBI	employed individual
	2026-06-27 20:38:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Zuraydah	
	2026-06-27 22:38:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gillian	employed individual
	2026-06-28 04:40:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Julia	employed individual
	2026-06-28 07:51:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Arabel	retired
	2026-06-28 08:19:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Elaine	
	2026-06-28 08:21:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Elaine	retired
	2026-06-28 08:27:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is a site that provides green space to our communities. The shops and market are examples of small traders and businesses making a living in a space that carries centuries of history. As a visitor, I come away deeply grateful that this way if life is still available. The social and economic relationships will be destroyed if this proposed development goes ahead. Why not use instead, the many pieces of government land that aren't being utilized in any meaningful way?	Steff	employed individual
	2026-06-28 09:04:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bruce	business owner
	2026-06-28 09:13:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Suzanne	retired

	2026-06-28 09:49:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I understand that the correct procedures have not been completed fully but I cannot comment with authority on that. My voice is added for the people who thrive in that space on a daily basis. Sharing their skills, teaching, earning an income and uplifting the surrounding community by providing services that are not easily available in an urban setting. The ability for children to learn sustainable skills of planting and growing food, looking after our earth and working with animals in this space should be protected for future generations. People's livelihoods are at stake and one of the only riding schools in central Cape Town will be lost. This is a space where people can go and breathe and find some relief from the stress they face every day. Please protect this valuable resource.	Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-28 09:57:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Don't destroy heritage.	Ian	retired
	2026-06-28 10:18:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Yolande	employed individual
	2026-06-28 11:19:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The loss of a very unique, well established and and much liked area in order to erect yet another characterless and eco-threatening cluster of unnecessary (except for rates generation) structures, makes no sense at all, and even less when the dubious, ignorant and indifferent process at which this decision was arrived at is taken into consideration. If anyone on the council wants to maintain the special character of this city, then they will leave areas such as this alone . In addition, there is the loss of employment and services, and the very much needed stables.	Malcolm	retired
	2026-06-28 12:36:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ginny	retired
	2026-06-28 12:51:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There are two schools , restaurants, shops, garden refuse operation , horses, swimming pool, so a lot of natural resources and activity space would be lost - the beauty of the area! Are those new plans not very destructive towards historical, grown communities? Are they not born out of greed ???	Christiane	self-employed
	2026-06-28 13:44:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Linda	
	2026-06-28 13:52:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stephany	employed individual
	2026-06-28 14:21:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Our children attend school in the village. We support all the community driven projects, establishments and activities in the area. The proposed development does not take these into account and has no foresight on what this will do to the immediate surrounding communities and the various persons and communities outside of the immediate vicinity that make use of these provisions. The type of businesses and services provided within Oude Molen are not common place around Cape Town. We seek this type of community that cares for and nurtures each other and the land. The whole ethos that Oude Molen stands for stands to be eradicated by the proposition of dense housing, loss of heritage sites and heart lead education and leadership.	Samantha	self-employed
	2026-06-28 15:26:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Debra	retired
	2026-06-28 16:30:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stefni	unemployed individual
	2026-06-28 16:59:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Theresia	unemployed individual
	2026-06-28 17:01:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Keri	self-employed
	2026-06-28 17:05:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	this feels like another guise for forced removals. please don't destroy a loved space, a valued working and living community in order to benefit a few developers and greedy capitalists.	mara	
	2026-06-28 17:51:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marie-Anne	employed individual
	2026-06-28 18:38:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Fran	self-employed
	2026-06-28 19:49:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The impact on the neighbouring areas will create massive congestion. It will radically effect normal access to the Hospital, also create problems for the Provincial ambulance service. The Council infrastructure is totally inadequate at present . The sewerage system already does not cater for the present areas needs. Robin Trust caters for a Frailcare facility (The Nest) , also a Step down Medical Unit , as well as Teaching facility for homecare nursing. Should the Council's proposed development take place these facilities would no longer cater for present and future employment resulting in many present and future job losses. There is a great shortage of schooling and no plans for additional schools. With the proposed development the present education facilities will be lost and this add to the lack of provision for essential education. The area needs the " Green Lung"". There are many other open areas in Cape Town more suitable for development. (The area of the demolished Power Station, Wingfield, Young's field and the Culemborg railway area right through to virtually Maitland.	Frank	retired
	2026-06-28 20:21:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pretorius	self-employed
	2026-06-28 21:18:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Aadilah	self-employed
	2026-06-28 22:42:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jeanne	business owner
	2026-06-29 08:22:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I'm tired of the City of Cape Town having no regard for heritage and getting away with their illegal processes in favor of the property business	Santa	
	2026-06-29 10:37:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oscar	
	2026-06-29 11:06:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Apex	employed individual
	2026-06-29 11:07:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carmine	
	2026-06-29 11:19:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This is just shocking. My anger knows no bounds. Keep this up, DA. Your arrogance is showing, you are there because of us. You seem to have forgotten that.	Colleen	retired
	2026-06-29 12:07:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margaretha	employed individual
	2026-06-29 14:39:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of trust in local planning and approval as is evident all over the Peninsula. the remaining ecosystem has become a code red.	Judy	retired
	2026-06-29 15:18:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I have personally been to the zone many times and witnessed a community that is as intertwined as the roots of the Fig Tree. Just experiencing the amazing vibrant energy of the community there is healing for my weary sole. What I experienced there is nothing that can be put on paper nor put a price on. The heritage of this place can not just be rezoned, these are things that no 'suit' will ever feel or comprehend. It is clear that the DA is being the lesser of two evils once again and rezoning land so they cat reap more rates and taxes. Just like they tried at our PHA. Their misleading lies are clear; the said the were creating jobs by going ahead with the Amazon project yet Amazon is already handing out severance packages as the lay off people. Any who wish to destroy a gem like this should be sent over that bridge on the other side, that crosses the M5, and admit themselves to Valkenburg Mental Hospital. 'Valkenburg is just around the bend.'	Anthony	employed individual
	2026-06-29 15:18:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Paul	employed individual
	2026-06-29 15:28:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Elizabeth	self-employed
	2026-06-29 16:40:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	As I have expressed concern regarding 'all of the above', I literally object to all aspects. It is outrageous that the City seems to use its muscle to override the Heritage ruling and forge ahead, and totally eradicate all that makes up Oude Molen as it currently exists. There seems to be no concern regarding all that will be lost to the local community, to those who need and use the facilities, or the preservation of this very special 'oasis' in the city. The city is carrying densification too far, riding roughshod over the wishes and needs of its citizens to cram in more and more people in tight urban spaces. As to the school, that will be an expensive private school, while the massive residential component planned will change Oude Molen forever, and the housing will not be priced within the range of those who really need it. I am opposed to this entire 'development' project - who knows how it will end up, it sounds very ambiguous, misleading and damaging.	Gaye	business owner
	2026-06-29 17:06:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Saskia	retired
	2026-06-29 17:07:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sandra	retired
	2026-06-29 18:03:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gail	retired
	2026-06-29 18:29:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Wasiefa	unemployed individual
	2026-06-29 18:35:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Laat dit wat vir jare goed gewerk het met rus. Geen nuwe ontwikkeling is nodig nie	Hettie	
	2026-06-29 18:38:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Tinie	
	2026-06-29 18:44:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Theresa	

	2026-06-29 19:39:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Maria	
	2026-06-29 20:53:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Amanda	
	2026-06-29 22:23:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Oude Molen is a valuable community and city asset, providing a range of services snd benefits to its occupants, tenants and both the immediate and regional population. This special place is an extremely unique, diverse and historical landmark with its multi-use and mixed residential/small business opportunities and the different green zones which are available to members and visitors in different ways. To destroy this - just to build more high-rise densely populated accommodation - would really be a crime! This would only benefit wealthy investors and would put even more pressure on a massively congested local road system, not to mention water, electrical and sewerage systems. There are many sites where development could take place, but Only 1 Oude Molen! Once lost, it can never be replaced!	Shannon	business owner
	2026-06-30 07:01:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Dominic	self-employed
	2026-06-30 07:25:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	1. Loss of an Irreplaceable Green Open Space The Oude Molen precinct is one of the last remaining significant green open spaces within this part of Cape Town. It provides an important environmental buffer, contributes to biodiversity, improves air quality and offers valuable recreational and educational opportunities. Once this land is developed, these benefits will be lost permanently. 2. Damage to Biodiversity and the Ecological Corridor The site forms part of the important riverine landscape between the Liesbeek and Black Rivers and contributes to the ecological integrity of the broader Two Rivers area. The proposed high-density development would fragment habitats, reduce biodiversity and permanently alter an environmentally sensitive landscape. As cities continue to lose natural areas, developments of this nature should be avoided wherever possible. 3. Flood Risk The site lies within a sensitive river system and floodplain. With climate change resulting in more frequent and severe rainfall events, replacing permeable green space with buildings, roads and hard surfaces increases the risk of flooding both on the site and in surrounding areas. The long-term environmental consequences have not been adequately addressed. 4. Traffic and Transport Traffic congestion in and around Pinelands, Observatory, Maitland and the surrounding road network is already severe during peak hours. A development of this scale will place additional pressure on roads, intersections and public infrastructure that are already under strain. I am not satisfied that the transport impacts can be adequately mitigated. 5. Pressure on Municipal Infrastructure The proposal will significantly increase demand on water, sewerage, electricity, stormwater and waste management infrastructure. Existing residents should not be expected to bear the consequences of development where municipal infrastructure is already under pressure. 6. Heritage The Oude Molen precinct is an area of exceptional historical, cultural and landscape significance. It is deeply concerning that Heritage Western Cape refused approval of the Heritage Impact Assessment on the basis that it did not comply with the requirements of Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act, and that this refusal was subsequently upheld on appeal. (Dear South Africa	Lindsay	business owner
	2026-06-30 08:32:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shahnawaz	business owner
	2026-06-30 08:32:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shahnawaz	business owner
	2026-06-30 08:49:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	My answer is still all the reason above. But you push me for one reason. Something is terribly wrong with your vision for Cape Town and the province. You are destroying it and definitely don't make it better. Do you have no shame. Thousands of people suffer because of you the DA	Jacobus Johannes	unemployed individual
	2026-06-30 09:35:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ruth	retired
	2026-06-30 10:05:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The Oude Molen Precinct is a special place with a lot of significance for many people. It would be an absolute shame to lose it to yet another development.	Paul	employed individual
	2026-06-30 10:34:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The long-standing use of the Oude Molen Precinct for community activities, care work, food growing, equine therapy and informal recreation constitutes living heritage, as defined under Section 3(2)(b) of the NHRA. These practices are inseparable from the site’s significance and their displacement represents an erosion of intangible heritage values, which the HIA fails to adequately identify or assess. Unwanted or inappropriate development of a living heritage area carries significant negative consequences that extend beyond mere physical changes, impacting social, cultural, environmental, and economic aspects of a community.	Michiel	business owner
	2026-06-30 10:52:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The city of CPT keeps on being voted as one of the best cities in the world not because its buildings or how many buildings we have BUT because of its NATURAL BEAUTY. This continue development of houses and buildings at the expense of nature in our city Must stop. The CPT government is destroying our city and its beauty. Development does not mean more buildings its mean quality of life. Go and spend that money improving townships and keep the living ecosystems alone!	Paula	self-employed
	2026-06-30 11:15:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nancy	employed individual
	2026-06-30 11:56:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lynn	retired
	2026-06-30 12:34:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Marina	self-employed
	2026-06-30 13:10:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maureen	retired
	2026-06-30 13:44:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margie	retired
	2026-06-30 13:44:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margie	retired
	2026-06-30 13:47:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Why are they rushing it? What they trying to hide? There is plenty of other places to build, go find it elsewhere.	Jane	retired
	2026-06-30 14:02:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jehaan	employed individual
	2026-06-30 14:15:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jenny	retired
	2026-06-30 15:03:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The story which is playing out now with the Oude Molen Precinct is exactly the one which are playing out with ERF 1117 on the West Coast Road. The DA totally ignored and is still ignoring similar concerns to the Oude Molen Precinct which was rsaised with ERF 1117. IT IS GOING TO COST THEM VOTES IN NOVEMBER. The DA is in charge of the Western Cape Government . (Or should I say ANC Light .) Thus this proposal - similar to ERF 1117 -can be linked directly to the DA. Link this to a response of Geordin Hill-Lewis to a question by Alec Hogg on BizNews (Mayor first, party leader second: Geordin Hill-Lewis on why Cape Town beats a cabinet seat.) Alec Hogg question Hill-Lewis on the ANC voters who are streaming to Cape Town - especially from the Eastern Cape. Hill-Lewis responded that he hopes these ANC voters will vote for him if they see how well they are being treated in Cape Town / Western Cape. Thus the only conclusion that any person can come to, is that this is a race for votes for the DA for which the rate paying citizens of Cape Town must pay - especially the ones who do not qualify for a rebate. Also refer to the the court ruling that basing rates on properety value as was done by the DA is unconstitutional. The DA smells of socialism - such a pity. This socialistic approach of the DA is not sustainable. The redistribution of wealth leads to only one thing: decline.	Christa	retired
	2026-06-30 15:03:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The story which is playing out now with the Oude Molen Precinct is exactly the one which are playing out with ERF 1117 on the West Coast Road. The DA totally ignored and is still ignoring similar concerns to the Oude Molen Precinct which was rsaised with ERF 1117. IT IS GOING TO COST THEM VOTES IN NOVEMBER. The DA is in charge of the Western Cape Government . (Or should I say ANC Light .) Thus this proposal - similar to ERF 1117 -can be linked directly to the DA. Link this to a response of Geordin Hill-Lewis to a question by Alec Hogg on BizNews (Mayor first, party leader second: Geordin Hill-Lewis on why Cape Town beats a cabinet seat.) Alec Hogg question Hill-Lewis on the ANC voters who are streaming to Cape Town - especially from the Eastern Cape. Hill-Lewis responded that he hopes these ANC voters will vote for him if they see how well they are being treated in Cape Town / Western Cape. Thus the only conclusion that any person can come to, is that this is a race for votes for the DA for which the rate paying citizens of Cape Town must pay - especially the ones who do not qualify for a rebate. Also refer to the the court ruling that basing rates on properety value as was done by the DA is unconstitutional. The DA smells of socialism - such a pity. This socialistic approach of the DA is not sustainable. The redistribution of wealth leads to only one thing: decline.	Christa	retired

	2026-06-30 15:22:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		W	retired
	2026-06-30 15:35:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sandra	self-employed
	2026-06-30 15:54:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sandi	retired
	2026-06-30 16:27:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	All of the residents ,businesses , employees, and school children will be unjustly misplaced similar to district 6 . That's is 50+ businesses and hundreds of learners and hundreds workers without a job. That is besides the indigenous khoisan group with their rightful land claim and an integral part of South African heritage	Imraan	business owner
	2026-06-30 16:36:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jennifer	employed individual
	2026-06-30 17:02:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Raeesa	student
	2026-06-30 17:58:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Erica	retired
	2026-06-30 18:07:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Find somewhere else.	Nicole	self-employed
	2026-06-30 18:18:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I believe that the Oude Molen wco village serves a deeply important role in the care and community of Pinelands and the surrounding areas and treatment centers. The area and green space also serves as a vital green lung in an already urban and densely populated part of the Western Cape. It is clear to me that not only would development of this area put the endangered leopard frogs at risk, but it would put the many people who rely on recieving different forms of therapeutic care and the hundreds of people employed there at an enormous risk. It is my belief that the Oude Molen eco village must absolutely not be developed as it is vital to the community it serves.	Grace	business owner
	2026-06-30 18:28:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maike	student
	2026-06-30 18:53:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	They need to find another way... please. Oude Molen is a precious beacon of hope. An oasis amidst the city. Hundreds of hearts will break if they do this.	Michaela	unemployed individual
	2026-06-30 19:00:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karin	self-employed
	2026-06-30 19:08:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	It would be incredibly sad to lose one of the oldest heritage sites in South Africa.	Robyn	self-employed
	2026-06-30 19:40:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maraldea	retired
	2026-06-30 21:56:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	All the concerns are equally valid to me, although this one really ‘gets to me’ because the current successful community is actually totally downplayed and ignored.	Adèle	retired
	2026-06-30 22:59:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Landi	retired
	2026-06-30 23:43:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am also deeply concerned about the fact that the Oude Molen site was pitched to investors by Western Cape Government as a 'done deal' as long ago as Nov 2025. The current seeming desperation of Province to push through with rezoning despite Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee decision on the 10th June 2026, (which officially dismissed the applicant’s appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment confirming that it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act) only seems to underscore that premature promises (and the like) were made somewhere out of the public eye and not as part of an officially sanctioned participatory process. And I would furthermore request/insist that this breach of the correct way of doing things be exposed and investigated.	Margot	retired
	2026-07-01 07:44:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michelle	retired
	2026-07-01 08:41:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gaill	retired
	2026-07-01 08:41:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Gaill	retired
	2026-07-01 09:20:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Chantel	self-employed
	2026-07-01 09:22:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rachel	self-employed
	2026-07-01 09:52:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Erasure of Living Heritage: the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Bernadette	employed individual
	2026-07-01 10:07:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Max	self-employed
	2026-07-01 10:20:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Christine	business owner
	2026-07-01 12:38:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Margriet	retired
	2026-07-01 13:39:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Shireen	employed individual
	2026-07-01 14:17:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Danielle	employed individual
	2026-07-01 14:25:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Danielle	retired
	2026-07-01 15:12:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I was part of the Oude Molen community for many years as my children went to primary school there. Shopping at the organic farmstall, buying firewood, watching the horses ride by in the mornings, breathing in the clean fresh air were highlights for us all. It would be a great injustice to deprive current and future generations from the green belt and community of Oude Molen.	Rhoda	self-employed
	2026-07-01 15:20:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Glenda	retired
	2026-07-01 18:41:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am a born & raised Capetonian, from Khoi & Malay ethnicity. We are losing way too much to developments in a city that should be preserving our heritage. Instead of looking at sites that are more suitable, this city that "works for us' is working to erase our very Capetonian identity. It is all about serving the few with money, unfortunately from a certain population group that has no historical ties to this city of ours.	Salamoedien	retired
	2026-07-01 19:50:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Big money cannot be allowed to discrete land like this. Please show that this will not be the way things are going to go..	Stacey	self-employed
	2026-07-01 22:45:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Enid	self-employed
	2026-07-01 23:19:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nasrien	self-employed
	2026-07-01 23:19:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Nasrien	self-employed
	2026-07-02 07:55:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bonni	self-employed
	2026-07-02 08:18:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jayd	employed individual
	2026-07-02 08:42:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Amierah	business owner
	2026-07-02 08:52:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The precinct is a wonderful ecological and community asset for all and nota small group of people. Processes have not been properly followed.	Helen	self-employed
	2026-07-02 11:04:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Leave this space alone! Oude Molen is a beloved space used by the public, and a community who is preserving itself. Please leave this beautiful space alone and preserve it for future generations instead of chasing a quick cash grab.	Matt	
	2026-07-02 11:16:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Faiza	retired

	2026-07-02 13:30:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Monica	retired
	2026-07-02 14:33:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I am sick and tired of arrogant, lying, greedy and corrupt officials bullying people who cannot fight back. They simply do not care about people - only profits. "This City Works For You"? No it doesn't. It works for the rich. Scumbags.	Chris	business owner
	2026-07-02 14:54:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Protection of space and avoiding yet more concrete jungle. Protection of the aninals living there.	Ros Rosalind Jean	retired
	2026-07-02 14:54:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Protection of space and avoiding yet more concrete jungle. Protection of the aninals living there.	Ros Rosalind Jean	retired
	2026-07-02 16:16:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Belinda	self-employed
	2026-07-02 17:56:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	they do not say where the horses & animals could go or if they will be pts	suzanne	retired
	2026-07-02 20:58:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Historically...this area is vert precious. Traffic issues are a concern. Having visited recently the loss to the public is great...so wonderful to see so many people involved and children learning new things. Will they offer new premises for Robin Trust. ? So many people reliant on it.	Marianne	retired
	2026-07-02 21:02:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Edna	retired
	2026-07-02 22:45:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Developing for whom exactly??? People consciously choose to live in peace and quiet away from high traffic, high paced, non communal areas - for a reason! Leave them be!!!	Pat	
	2026-07-02 23:01:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City keeps plowing ahead with hideous developments, with no concern for housing that is actually affordable and offers dignity and no concern for protecting the environment. This favouring of developers over the public is a travesty and abomination.	Rebecca	student
	2026-07-03 05:47:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Darren	business owner
	2026-07-03 14:57:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Francoise	self-employed
	2026-07-03 15:12:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Kim	
	2026-07-03 21:18:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	This place holds inherent value as it currently exists as a natural area that supports biodiversity, ecosystem services, recreation, community well-being, and cultural significance without needing alteration. It is more than just wildlife habitat, it is a shared community asset. Choosing “All of the Above” reflects that this land functions like an umbrella species in the broadest sense: protecting it safeguards not only plants, animals, water resources, and ecological processes, but also human dimensions, including recreational opportunities, cultural and historical connections, public access to open space, and the collective benefits that flow to the entire community. Just as an umbrella species protects many others by preserving its wide ranging needs, this land serves as an umbrella for diverse human and natural values that cannot be reduced to one narrow category. Its value cannot be narrowed to a single issue because development would impact multiple interconnected concerns at once: wildlife habitat, carbon storage, recreational access, water quality, cultural heritage, and the loss of public land to mention a few things. I am also concerned about potentially misleading information in the proposal and any constraints this project would place on meaningful public oversight and future decision making. Thank you for reading and listening to this public input and putting future generations first ?	Natvienna	self-employed
	2026-07-03 22:39:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Aqeela	unemployed individual
	2026-07-03 22:46:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anna	employed individual
	2026-07-05 08:37:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Karen	self-employed
	2026-07-05 12:15:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michele	retired
	2026-07-06 06:30:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Glenda	self-employed
	2026-07-06 15:32:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ted	retired
	2026-07-06 15:37:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Benjamin	retired
	2026-07-07 12:37:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations	Mark	employed individual
	2026-07-07 12:57:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable services of high public value, much of it free or low cost, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Cornelia	retired
	2026-07-09 15:52:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stella	
	2026-07-09 20:43:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Barbara	business owner
	2026-07-10 05:47:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jacomien	retired
	2026-07-15 14:55:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carina	retired
	2026-08-15 08:25:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Crystal	self-employed
	2026-08-15 19:05:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jean	retired
	2026-08-15 21:09:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Veronique	
	2026-08-17 08:09:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The City of Cape Town is literally bullying its way into the selling of public lands to "developers" without ANY due process. But still, all the shacks are still there on the flats. Go develop something else than ANOTHER mall with the same banners. Super greedy administration with no respect for laws or communities.	Julie	self-employed
	2026-08-17 12:10:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Faisal	employed individual
	2026-08-19 21:53:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	The mass defacing of Cape Town and the historic and natural heritage has to be stopped. Every bit that is lost to unscrupulous development, can never be remedied, never be reversed. The negative social and cultural impact on heritage citizens of the Cape Province is unforgivable.	Natalie	self-employed
	2026-08-22 21:27:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		dagny	
	2026-08-27 17:14:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Not every space in Capetown should be destroyed simply for the matter of accomodating people . Heritage , nature (fauna , flora as well the wetlands) around oude moulen are unique and almost completetly in vias of extintion .	Pedro	retired
	2026-08-30 10:12:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Michele	self-employed
	2026-09-01 12:13:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	There are so many valued small businesses at Oude Molen and it is tragic that they would have to move. The loss of green space is also a tragedy.	Carolyn	employed individual
	2026-09-01 17:54:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Valerie	retired
	2026-09-02 14:20:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	In addition to serving a diverse array of tenants in the Oude Moulen space, I believe that a critical overlooked service of the area is as a walking space and retreat for Vincent Pallotti hospital staff and patients. Green areas are scientifically shown to aid in quicker recovery from medical procedures and to provide significant mental and physical well-being benefits. As someone who walked in the Oude Moulen space every day for weeks as part of my recovery, I know just how integral this space is to that purpose. I saw patients and staff doing walks of the space every day and also using time with the horses in the paddock as a way to relax lower stress, and in some cases, work through significant trauma. Please deeply consider this important benefit of the space.	Julie	employed individual
	2026-09-05 06:31:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	What already exists at Oude Molen is more valuable than the prospect of housing. We have to choose between something good that already exists and something good that can be brought into existence elsewhere. Let's not uproot the efforts and lives of so many good people when we can create housing options elsewhere.	Schalk	
	2026-09-07 15:00:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Leave it be - we have far too little green space as it is.	Paul	employed individual

	2026-09-07 16:48:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Sue	employed individual
	2026-09-11 11:14:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Anthea	employed individual
	2026-09-11 21:40:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jeanette	retired
	2026-09-16 10:37:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Carlo	employed individual
	2026-09-27 16:47:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Mary	
	2026-06-23 11:23:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Louis	retired
	2026-06-23 13:38:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kathy	
	2026-06-23 13:46:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Dorrien	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:48:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Add to that done with suspicious dishonesty and duplicity.	Tim	
	2026-06-23 13:48:15	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		John	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:50:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The DA GNU is proving to be profit and revenue driven and happy to walk over citizens rights for their misguided benefit. We the citizens pay governments corrupt salaries and yet they still attempt to exclude us in decisions about our neighbourhoods and living conditions.	Chris	
	2026-06-23 13:53:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Green spaces and areas are also a requirement. government already has buildings and land which could be used elsewhere.	Matthew	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:01:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Willem	
	2026-06-23 14:01:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Why? Greed overcomes common sense.	Judy	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:06:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Oude molen is an historic place with plenty of existing public use which could be enhanced for all without building yet another massive development for affluent people.	Mark	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:17:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Leave Oude Molen alone! Stop trying to cram in supposedly "affordable" housing (which won't be affordable) into every green space that is left around Cape Town. The infrastructure cannot handle it! Do not yield to greedy developers! We do not need more high end housing and god forbid more shops. We know there are other zones that were earmarked for affordable housing but what's happening with that eh? Zones that are not sitting on a green belt or heritage site. If the demand for living so close to CT is so high, too bad. Literally, the only people we should be catering for are lower income groups in order to rectify spacial inequality and get the peeps that earn the least closer so they can stop spending half their salaries on transport. Everybody else can wait their turn or go live somewhere else. All I am seeing with wanting to push for development in Oude Molen is GREED.	Jeanine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:27:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Sandra	retired
	2026-06-23 14:28:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	COCT, the DA and Geordin H-L only interested in the property tax money this development will bring in. More money to provide free services on the Cape Flats to buy votes for the DA.	Naas	retired
	2026-06-23 14:36:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kenwin	
	2026-06-23 14:44:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Petro	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:56:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Ever since I was a child this beautiful (if highly polluted) riverine area has been a breathing space in the city. The "developers" and CT officials have pushed this through without regard for this. NO I DO NOT SUPPORT more 15 minute cities	Deirdre	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:09:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The grounds of Alexandra Hospital houses various services catering for Persons with Intellectual Disability. This is an ecosystem of support for these children and adults where they feel safe and their needs are catered for. The premises of Alexandra Hospital provides safety, yet allows them to live with independence and to thrive. The Open Circle houses 32 adults with Intellectual Disability and who have challenging behaviour on these grounds. As a NPO and PBO, we are concerned about the implications for our residents as well as all who are living sheltered on the grounds of the hospital.	Helita	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 15:09:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Stop forcing paying citizens to support greedy developers. Stop destroying nature and beauty by allowing developers/ so-called tenderpreneurs to run roughshod over the rights of citizens.	Ken	retired
	2026-06-23 15:11:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lianda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:14:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		H	
	2026-06-23 15:15:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	It is a lovely community already with a deli and a school and even an old age home. Its already being used and giving people a lively hood. We need to stop slapping buildings on our green spaces. It will just end up being another concrete eye sore	Erin	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 15:21:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	We do not want Cape Town to be turned into a high-rise city.	Jean-Michel	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:38:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Nick	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:43:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		BARBARA	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:44:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Caryn	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:48:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Joanne	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:52:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kevin	
	2026-06-23 16:21:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	There is so little open spaces that is safe and meaningful left in our city. Why disrupt something that is established and working well and cause job losses as well? And it is a Heritage site. A City that is so short sighted and misleading is not proving to be trustworthy!	Diana	retired
	2026-06-23 16:29:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Will the majority continue destroying nature & open communal spaces for people & animals to enjoy, until there is nothing left to enjoy. Densification has already destroyed so much nature, pushing other species into extinction or displacing them from their natural habitat. It's time overpopulation of people was addressed. There is no other species on this planet that has destroyed & had such a negative impact on our home, Earth! Cape Town is exploding at the seams. The land can't cope with the demands of so many people, especially when very little is done to sustain nature, to sustain life in nature. Time to address the real issue. Over population! Leave our parks, open green areas, nature reserves, wetlands etc. alone!	DJ	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 16:34:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I do not support this proposal. The Oude Molen precinct is more than just underutilised land — it is a rare public green space that forms part of our shared ecological and social fabric. Replacing it with dense, eight-storey developments risks permanently eroding biodiversity, increasing urban pressure, and diminishing the very environmental value that makes this area important to surrounding communities. This development also represents a broader concern: the gradual loss of public space to large-scale corporate or profit-driven interests. Public land should prioritise ecological sustainability, community benefit, and inclusive access — not be treated as an opportunity for intensive commercialisation. Once spaces like this are lost, they cannot be restored. There is also a serious governance issue. The Heritage Impact Assessment has already been rejected for failing to meet the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act. Proceeding with land-use approvals despite this raises concerns about due process, accountability, and whether legal safeguards designed to protect heritage and environment are being respected. We have a right, as residents and stakeholders, to protect our shared spaces. Development should not come at the cost of our environment, our heritage, or the principle that public land must serve the public good first. For these reasons, I strongly object to the rezoning and redevelopment of the Oude Molen precinct.	Fouzia	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:38:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Angeline	
	2026-06-23 16:41:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	No no no. Its an open space to be enjoyed by all.	Trudy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:44:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		RIAN	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:50:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		michael	
	2026-06-23 16:50:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		hunter	
	2026-06-23 16:53:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Dan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:10:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	As the City of Cape Town further increases density of existing suburbs and allows for development of any green areas around the city, it is becoming apparent that there is very little green space left around the city. The organisations that are tasked with protecting what is left of the green and heritage areas are put under so much pressure, that they are bullied into submission. When will this ruthless development stop? When the last bit of green space has been decimated? Looking at cities all around the world, there is a drive to remove development and restore green areas for the well being of the city, yet City of Cape Town seem determined to increase their income through any means possible. Instead of destroying what little green space is left, rather redevelop areas that have been subjected to urban decay as opposed to allowed unabated urban sprawl.	Grenville	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:14:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Craig	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:22:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Same reasons previously mentioned	Heather	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:42:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Richard	
	2026-06-23 17:49:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I do not support this development	Damien	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:49:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I do not support this development	Damien	business owner

	2026-06-23 17:49:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, "derisked" opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined. The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles.	Liza	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:54:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Liesel	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:56:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Oude Molen is a special space - a patch of nature that currently remains between tarred highways and concrete buildings. Leave this special space alone - we have enough high rise buildings going up all over Cape Town and surrounds. Is nothing sacred in Cape Town and surrounds any more!	Karen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:13:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Andre	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:21:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The current users of the land are doing very necessary functions on the public land for the good of the wider community - leave them alone to continue their good and necessary work. There are many other nearby sites that COCT could develop, like the Athlone Power station site, the King David golf course site and many more.	peter	retired
	2026-06-23 18:43:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	When open spaces like these are lost there is no provision to ever get them back. It is not an unnecessary evil, there is lots of other spaces that can be utilised.	Robert	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:44:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kim	retired
	2026-06-23 18:44:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Elzanne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:48:05	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kirsty	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:10:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Denton	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:15:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Dave	
	2026-06-23 19:43:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lindy	
	2026-06-23 20:04:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		easge	
	2026-06-23 20:17:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Neil	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:26:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The amazon building on the liesbeeck river is already a large building that should not have been allowed. It is on land that should not have been used for the monstrosity called amazon. Our green, ecological public open spaces are slowly being eroded. Now these greedy land developers want to steal another public ecologically sensitive space with no thought for THE PEOPLE!!! HANDS OFF!!!!	P	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:37:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		yusuf	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:00:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Judith	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 21:14:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 21:15:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The City needs open "green spaces" to counteract the massive urban air pollution that is haunting Cape Town. This is also a historical heritage site, as well as an ecological site for the Black River wetlands, please do not destroy an area as precious as this!	George	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:32:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:37:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Ruth	
	2026-06-23 21:39:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Michiel	business owner
	2026-06-23 21:42:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I submit this formal objection to the Oude Molen Precinct Development Framework on the following grounds. First, and most critically, this public participation process has been predetermined. In November 2025, City officials presented the Oude Molen Precinct to international investors at an investment summit, declaring in writing that heritage authorisation was completed, public participation was completed, and development rights were secured. None of those statements were true at the time. A public process conducted by an authority that has already committed to an outcome and declared it concluded is not genuine participation. It is theatre. Under the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act, administrative action taken for a predetermined purpose is reviewable and may be set aside. Second, this process is unlawful on its face. Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment on 10 June 2026 — twenty days before this comment window closes. Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act prohibits development from proceeding on heritage-affected land without statutory clearance. That clearance has been formally refused. The City cannot lawfully grant land-use rights while a Heritage Impact Assessment rejection stands on the official record. Any approval granted in these circumstances is ultra vires and legally vulnerable. Third, the public has been materially misled on three specific points. The job creation claim of 900 positions is calculated against a false baseline of zero, ignoring that the existing precinct already supports over 410 permanent jobs at the Robin Trust alone, with additional employment across two schools, eco-gardens, and artisan workshops. This development likely represents a net job loss, not a gain. The school presented as public infrastructure has been quietly reclassified in the April 2026 Motivation Report as a commercial high-fee private school after the Department of Education confirmed no public school provision is planned — a material change never disclosed to the public. And the visual models shown publicly do not represent what the law will permit. The framework fixes maximum parameters for eight-storey height and high-density bulk that legally bind all subsequent phases, leaving the public with no meaningful recourse once approved. Finally, the Alexandra Road corridor is the primary vehicle route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The project's own Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges that the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections are already critically bottlenecked. Imposing thousands of additional daily vehicle trips on this corridor without a specific emergency access impact assessment conducted with Western Cape EMS and Vincent Pallotti Hospital is a life-safety planning failure that cannot be corrected after approval. I call for immediate suspension of this process pending genuine heritage clearance, full public disclosure of all documentation presented at the November 2025 investment summit, and a fresh participation process that honestly discloses the true maximum development parameters, the real employment baseline, and the reclassification of the school provision.	Dirk	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:56:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Fahdelah	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:09:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Conrad	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 22:39:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I do not agree to the redevelopment of this vital green belt of Oude Molen. Apart from the many jobs already sustained on this site the community have provided employment for many with schools for 400 children, à vegetable garden to feed the vulnerable, Robins Trust which provides care to dementia patients and step down facility. Small businesses have been a consistent presence at the village. But for us as a family we have used the stables at Oude Molen for therapy for our son with Kendre Allies. He has provided a much needed therapeutic service for many children with special needs and others. His rescue and training of horses is exemplary! But more so is his upliftment of young boys in the community by training them to become stable hands, feeding and caring for the horses, training them to be instructors and generally giving them new hope in circumstances that otherwise would be dire. DO NOT BUILD HERE AND DESTROY À NATURAL GREEN BELT ZONE FOR PROFIT - forgetting what already exists!	Tracey	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:17:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Hands off Oude Molen, it is public land. Public means belonging to 'the people'; it does not mean belonging to 'some authoritarian entity that sways its power over the people'. Oude Molen is a heritage site which needs to be protected, not driven by profit or agenda. The province needs to allow some space for organic growth; where humanity & nature overides the agenda of 'the entity'.	Victor	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:47:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Henry	self-employed
	2026-06-24 02:11:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Dawn	retired
	2026-06-24 02:17:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Pinelands was supposed to be a garden city, not a con crete jungle	Adrian	employed individual
	2026-06-24 03:32:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Barry	business owner
	2026-06-24 03:59:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	If you are the person requiring medical care, a bottle-necked access road is a death trap. Count the jobs currently sustained. Lying about job creation is unforgiveable. Permanent jobs versus a day job.: your children's future ability to source jobs. Open spaces where people can escape city life is important for feeling human again. Think about people's need to be humane.	Beatrice	retired
	2026-06-24 04:30:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Johan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:04:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I object to this proposal entirely for all of the above reasons. No CoCT, no. Enough already.	Zhou	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 05:23:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Vicky	employed individual

	2026-06-24 05:56:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The city is so congested, water is a problem, electricity is a problem, yet the DA ignores all of this and follows its own set of rules , in order to make more money. No different than the ANC. I used to ride horses there, it is so beautiful. What an absolute disgrace to destroy it.	Jessica	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:01:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		GR	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:06:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Cora	retired
	2026-06-24 06:07:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		TONY	retired
	2026-06-24 06:17:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jannie	
	2026-06-24 06:34:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Reza	
	2026-06-24 06:48:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		D	retired
	2026-06-24 06:51:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a rush job. No proper consultation. More green natural area loss. Look around Cape Town! And more money in the pockets of the BEE crooks who will be doing the work.	Yvane	
	2026-06-24 06:52:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Mohammed	
	2026-06-24 06:55:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Theresa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:57:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lynn	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 07:01:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The city space is being irrevocably destroyed.	Gillian	retired
	2026-06-24 07:17:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Regan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:32:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Naeemah	retired
	2026-06-24 07:32:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jorgen	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:44:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	There are other areas that could be developed instead of this one, eg the Transnet property along the N1 just before town	Michelle	retired
	2026-06-24 07:45:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Donnie	
	2026-06-24 07:52:36	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Jacqueline	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:52:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jenni	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:53:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Sam	retired
	2026-06-24 07:58:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Thalia	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:09:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Siobhan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:11:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	There seems to be something not quite right about what is happening at the city. This another situation where public land is being sold off to private developers. The city has no plan to deal with the negatives social outcomes of another development. Water, sewerage, traffic etc. They are completely failing currently. This is another case of privatising the profits and socialising the costs. I don't want to say there is corruption but their current plan doesn't sit well.	Grant	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:13:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	NOT IN IN FAVOUR OF DEVELOPMENT	ENAYET	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:18:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Caro	retired
	2026-06-24 08:29:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	It's forever a loss of green space.a rape of the environment	willem	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:34:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Katherine	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:35:28	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Gareth	
	2026-06-24 08:37:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		S	retired
	2026-06-24 08:40:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Oude Molen has been an environmental and ecological green space in a city that is rapidly becoming paved over. I think it is vitally important. Because open space is worth more than money, Leave this beautiful space alone. Thank you	Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:41:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is the only urban space between Maitland, Garden Village, Ndabeni and Pinelands that has a safe space for different activities and outdoor activities. City of Cape Town is stealing all the safe spaces and giving to property developers and not considering the communities.	Lisa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:46:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Judy	
	2026-06-24 08:52:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Simon	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:54:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jo-mari	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:02:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:04:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Mary	retired
	2026-06-24 09:36:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		marc	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:37:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Buyelwa Eunice	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:44:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Bianca	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:45:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Thomas	retired
	2026-06-24 09:48:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	With this proposed development the city is relentlessly pursuing their strategy of high density urban space development. This is apparently in order to address the shortage of housing and to attract even more people to live in Cape Town permanently. In my opinion, this strategy leads to overcrowding, overloading of the already inadequate infrastructure and a general loss of what is Cape Town known for, namely, beauty, free lifestyle, outdoor activities, land- and seascapes, etc. Architects and developers might be creating something nice and useful. But who are they to decide what is nice and beautiful? They are doing it according what their investors are perceiving as nice and useful, and above all to make money. Stop this high density housing development in Cape Town. You are making Cape Town an ugly concrete, steel and glass jungle with a town planning which only considers developers and investors, but no or very little consideration for the people who have to endure the consequences of your actions.	Manfred	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:54:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Mark	retired
	2026-06-24 09:55:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Belinda	retired
	2026-06-24 10:26:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		kenneth	retired
	2026-06-24 10:27:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Morna	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:40:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Once the space is gone it's gone. The reason for living in pinelands is because of the green spaces and natural areas. Building it up more and more is the saddest thing ever.	Catriona	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:24:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	To develop because there is 'space available' and it is a 'good financial decision' will not be able to restore everything that will be lost to the wayside to get there. Wetlands loss - unacceptable any place on Earth Lack of honesty - unacceptable - learn integrity Visual degradation - consider the humans living there Traffic pressure - you are not thinking of the future problems this will cause Become someone with integrity	Nikki	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:29:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		hassan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:33:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Continued loss of public land (Open Spaces) is known to affect the health of the community negatively, both humans, animals and plant life. Add poor planning and corruption of correct processes and this proposal is criminal in its intent. Stop raping our land.	Rob	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:35:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Michelle	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:08:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Once again the government wants to take over public land where everything is in harmony - only to come and mess up a private ecosystem which works without them. A definite no to this plan. Keep this area private and out of the clutches of the government.	Rudi	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:23:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Frederic	retired
	2026-06-24 12:25:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Beaulah	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:48:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		kirsten	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:53:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Yumna	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:10:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		James	retired
	2026-06-24 13:16:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lizette	retired

	2026-06-24 13:16:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Anne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:33:54	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The ability of the governing bodies to destroy every important site in South Africa is unbelievable. They wish to take land that has a special job for the city and that is NOT playing Golf. It is part of the natural structure to clean the air and support nature. It is obvious that they have absolutely no under standing of nature and only see money in every item around them.	Chris	retired
	2026-06-24 13:38:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I feel that this over development that the City is pushing from all angles on us as residents is unacceptable. We as a residents of this city have to deal with traffic issues that are not dealt with by the city at all, the city is choking with it's traffic issues. We need public open spaces andf we have to respect Heritage. NO!!	Lorette	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:18:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The neoliberal race for "development at all costs" will ruin cape town as a place to live and as a tourist destination. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Tim	
	2026-06-24 14:19:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Francois	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:20:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I am writing to register my strong opposition to the proposed rezoning of Oude Moulin. With increasing densification across Cape Town, it is vital that the few remaining green areas are preserved for public use. Redevelopment efforts should focus on derelict and underutilized properties rather than sacrificing precious open spaces that contribute immeasurably to the health and wellbeing of our communities. Green areas are not a luxury; they are essential. They provide: Public health benefits: Access to nature reduces stress, improves mental health, and encourages physical activity. Environmental resilience: Green spaces help mitigate urban heat, improve air quality, and support biodiversity. Community value: Parks and open areas foster social cohesion, offering safe spaces for families, children, and the elderly. Oude Moulen is one of the very few remaining green lungs in the city. Once lost to development, it cannot be reclaimed. I urge the government to reconsider this proposal and instead prioritize the redevelopment of derelict properties, which would meet housing and infrastructure needs without eroding the natural heritage and wellbeing of Cape Town's residents. Sincerely, Gail	Gail	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:20:33	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I am writing to register my strong opposition to the proposed rezoning of Oude Moulin. With increasing densification across Cape Town, it is vital that the few remaining green areas are preserved for public use. Redevelopment efforts should focus on derelict and underutilized properties rather than sacrificing precious open spaces that contribute immeasurably to the health and wellbeing of our communities. Green areas are not a luxury; they are essential. They provide: Public health benefits: Access to nature reduces stress, improves mental health, and encourages physical activity. Environmental resilience: Green spaces help mitigate urban heat, improve air quality, and support biodiversity. Community value: Parks and open areas foster social cohesion, offering safe spaces for families, children, and the elderly. Oude Moulen is one of the very few remaining green lungs in the city. Once lost to development, it cannot be reclaimed. I urge the government to reconsider this proposal and instead prioritize the redevelopment of derelict properties, which would meet housing and infrastructure needs without eroding the natural heritage and wellbeing of Cape Town's residents. Sincerely, Gail	Gail	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:45:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lizet	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:31:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Rachel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:31:47	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Rachel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:52:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jenny	retired
	2026-06-24 16:00:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Michael	business owner
	2026-06-24 16:24:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Susanne	
	2026-06-24 17:04:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This will be the loss of yet another green space - a park even - to be converted into more dwellings, which will add to the densification to a Cape Town already groaning under the current load of houses and apartment blocks. The occupants of each of the new dwellings in the proposal are likely have one or more vehicles that will clog roads already at a near standstill during peak times, adding to the mayhem as increasingly aggressive drivers continue running red lights and/or entering junctions with no means of exit. It isn't sustainable. We are one of the most congested cities in the world and adding to the congestion isn't sustainable.	Philip	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:09:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Carol	employed individual
	2026-06-24 17:23:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	1. You will destroy what nature there is, the horse riding options, etc. 2. All those people that are employed at those businesses will lose their jobs permanently. 3. It will have an influence on Alexander rd and the access to the hospital. There are probably loads more to mention, but this is a start.	Marilyn	employed individual
	2026-06-24 18:38:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the residents of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. I have been a visitor to this area for many years, walking here, attending classes, and looking at the horses. This is a special place of peace and contemplation that is open to poor people to relax. Selling it off to investors to develop something for private residential and business use is not in the interest of residents of Cape Town.	Tracey	
	2026-06-24 19:43:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Pauline	employed individual
	2026-06-24 21:59:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The Western Cape Government is wasting tax payers money on appeals. If you would work with existing tenants the space could be even better and generate more income.	Ann-Mari	student
	2026-06-24 22:39:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Niel	retired
	2026-06-25 06:21:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Quinton	Non-profit
	2026-06-25 06:23:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Denise	
	2026-06-25 06:23:42	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Denise	
	2026-06-25 07:38:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Cleone	retired
	2026-06-25 07:42:56	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Marco	employed individual
	2026-06-25 09:06:06	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Liani	
	2026-06-25 09:58:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Who is making the money out of this development. High dencity affordable five story housing units will create another slum area like Lavender Hill or Elsies River and not improve peoples lives The previous racial nationalist government by moving the coloured people into there own areas did not improve peoples lives . This proposed housing development will not benefit the people of Cape Town , only the mass of blacks moving south in search of work.	Gordon	business owner
	2026-06-25 10:07:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Helen	retired
	2026-06-25 11:33:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Charlotte	retired
	2026-06-25 11:52:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The City congestion is at an all time high and public open space is not to be cannibalized for profit.	david	self-employed
	2026-06-25 11:55:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	The runaway of development is preposterous. The City/Major are always looking to take hold of areas that are already working, providing jobs, are greenbelts - the list is endless. You are turning Cape Town into a future slum town. You are driving Cape Townians out. You are stuffing up BIG time - all for money and votes. Stop it already!! See other areas in the world where this leads to disaster. LEARN!!!	Vanessa	self-employed
	2026-06-25 14:46:29	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Oude Moulen is such a valuable resource to the local residents, as well as further afield residents. There are amazing things for kids to do here, riding horses, allotment gardening, and enjoying the swimming pool in the warmer months. The organic deli and restaurant is so widely supported and loved by all who visit Oude Moulen. Not to mention the schools and the care facility at the Robins Trust. Where will they all move to?? I am a resident of Pinelands and I need to go to Oude Moulen OFTEN - there are some great businesses there and it is an incredibly important space for the thousands of people who visit there. Being on the Black River, having some open GREEN space in this incredibly vehicle congested area of the N2/M5 junction is so important for birds and other wildlife - i simply cannot see the benefit of MORE concrete, more housing, more retail, taking over this tiny area. PLEASE leave Oude Moulen as it is and look for alternative land to develop!! We beg you!!!	Giselle	business owner
	2026-06-25 15:49:50	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Linda	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 16:15:31	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Leizel	employed individual
	2026-06-25 22:13:59	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Brian	retired
	2026-06-25 23:12:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Jacqueline	retired
	2026-06-26 05:47:02	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I do not support this application. It is premature, procedurally unlawful, and based on a fundamentally incomplete assessment of impacts. The Heritage Impact Assessment was refused twice by Heritage Western Cape and the applicant's appeal was dismissed on 10 June 2026. The application proceeds without lawful heritage authorisation in direct contravention of Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. The proposal was materially changed after public participation closed. No Social Impact Assessment has been provided, despite the proposed displacement of long-established community services, healthcare facilities, schools, food gardens, equestrian facilities, and hundreds of jobs built over three decades — among them Robin Trust's 410 employees and R12 million annual wage bill, to which the Socio-Economic Impact Assessment assigns zero economic value. The affordable housing claims misrepresent the actual floor area allocation: affordable units represent only 18% of total GLA, not one third. No binding affordability commitments, price thresholds, or allocation mechanisms are proposed. The existing businesses, livelihoods, and community assets at Oude Molen are irreplaceable. The development would permanently destroy one of Cape Town's last large, publicly accessible urban green landscapes while key statutory, heritage, social, and infrastructure processes remain unresolved or actively rejected. This application should be refused.	Karen	self-employed

	2026-06-26 06:58:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Stephan	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:36:37	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Tina	retired
	2026-06-26 08:15:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Raymond	
	2026-06-26 12:09:12	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Margie	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:25:58	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	It is hard to choose from the various reasons for opposing this development, as I hold many of them in equal importance. While I fully support the urgent need to land for housing development purposes, this does not mean all public land should be handed over to developers. The City is busy with two other developments in the area - the golf course and Conradie. These two developments will go a long way to providing the type of urgently needed housing in the inner City area. Oude Molen is a very different site with a very different history. To destroy this unique space and lose it forever for future generations is short sited in the extreme and a reflection of misdirected public policy. The DA's policy on development has undergone a very welcome moderation from what appeared to be development purely for the purpose of generating funds, to development which takes into account the very urgent needs of both poor communities and young professionals and families who are struggling to get into the housing market. However, the ongoing privatisation and reliance on developers (Amazon being a prime example) as a major component of that process undermines long term housing security for all. And targeting easy wins (Riverside development & Oude Molen) is cynical in the extreme. These sites do not have wealthy vested interests and the communities who use or live in these sites are less able to defend the encroachments into their areas. The blatant ignoring of genuine consultative processes and the cynical ignoring of the law in going ahead with the rezoning, misrepresenting the nature of the development and advertising it well before any processes were completed suggests a City administration and political leadership who have scant respect for the electorate. The DA led City should beware of becoming arrogant about their voter support. The bar in local government is very low and just because the City does well in delivering part of its mandate, their behaviour wrt Oude Molen (and the Riverside site before that) may not push voters towards another party, but may well result in soe current supporters siply staying home on election day.	Justine	self-employed
	2026-06-26 13:04:34	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Ilonja	retired
	2026-06-26 14:17:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Sue	self-employed
	2026-06-26 18:27:04	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kirsten	employed individual
	2026-06-27 12:39:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Melissa	
	2026-06-27 17:00:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Isabel	Non-profit
	2026-06-27 23:22:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Wolfgang	employed individual
	2026-06-28 05:15:16	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Once " green lung" open space is removed by over development, it is impossible to get back. Also as mentioned, traffic in this area is currently an absolute shambles - now add a few thousand more vehicles and it will be a disaster	Derek	retired
	2026-06-28 07:48:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Nina	retired
	2026-06-28 10:54:13	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. Furthermore this ignores the potential impact on public health to the residents and workers in the area. Increasing time in traffic, decreased access to open spaces (exercise and movement) and decreased socialisation opportunities currently offered in this area will be lost. This will have significant long term effects on the health of city residents.	Caroline	employed individual
	2026-06-28 12:05:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Kate	retired
	2026-06-28 12:27:55	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	All NO answers and reservations are relevant. We do not want these developments. We do not want what is happening here and all over the City. It feels like our city is being stolen from us. Our major and premium should focus on what the City officials are trying to do and what the City is doing, given that this is the first time there has not been a clear audit, and the reasons for this. NO to any further developments in Pinelands and in areas that have open spaces for very real reasons.	Stephanie	self-employed
	2026-06-28 14:59:01	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Storm	
	2026-06-28 15:42:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Toni	
	2026-06-28 18:28:22	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Andre	
	2026-06-28 22:17:14	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Mike	employed individual
	2026-06-28 23:10:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	We have to stop developers from bulldozing their way through due process and destroying what makes Cape Town unique and a place to call home and for others to visit. We do not need more developed spaces. What the city needs is more apprenticeship schools where young unemployed Captonians can learn skills that will help build industry in the Mother City (welding, fitting & turning, sheetmetal work, electrical work, automotive-mechanics, bricklaying, landscaping, aborists, etc, etc ....there are many more) - this is where the effort should be going, not into new development that take away the dwindling green belts and lungs of the city. We definitely do not need more office blocks or high-density developments of the kind seen at the River Club, and certainly not in the Oude Molen. Precinct. There are plenty of other built-up areas crying out for re-development where it could be used as a force for good, not personal gain. Stop these 'fat cats' leaching off the land while so many live on the breadline without the support they need to improve their standard of living. Teach people to fish, and they don't go hungy!	John	business owner
	2026-06-29 00:26:09	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Once again, the Cape Independence Party (CAPEXIT) opposes the DA-run governments of Cape Town and the Western Cape as they continue relentlessly to wipe out and destroy the natural beauty of Cape Town to promote their agenda of high density low cost housing development projects. Cape Town is surrounded by ocean, with an immovable beautiful mountain in our centre. Unlike places like Johannesburg, we do not have endless kilometres of land running into the distance to support populations of millions and millions, nor do we have an industrial commercial economy to employ millions and millions. Cape Town has an unemplyment rate of over 30% in the Cape Flats, and we cannot continue to import people and economic migrants from other parts of South Africa and Africa to take up what little land and resources we have left, when we cannot yet look after our own people first. Nevermind that on top of all of this Cape Town is a unique tourist destination of the world. With the DA continually trying to turn us into a high rise, overpopulated and overpolluted urban slum, Cape Town will cease to be what has made it unique to the world for centuries. Cape Town as we know it will be gone forever if the DA is allowed to continue forcing through high density development projects like yet again they are proposing here for the famous old Oude Molen.	Jack	Non-profit
	2026-06-29 00:26:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Once again, the Cape Independence Party (CAPEXIT) opposes the DA-run governments of Cape Town and the Western Cape as they continue relentlessly to wipe out and destroy the natural beauty of Cape Town to promote their agenda of high density low cost housing development projects. Cape Town is surrounded by ocean, with an immovable beautiful mountain in our centre. Unlike places like Johannesburg, we do not have endless kilometres of land running into the distance to support populations of millions and millions, nor do we have an industrial commercial economy to employ millions and millions. Cape Town has an unemplyment rate of over 30% in the Cape Flats, and we cannot continue to import people and economic migrants from other parts of South Africa and Africa to take up what little land and resources we have left, when we cannot yet look after our own people first. Nevermind that on top of all of this Cape Town is a unique tourist destination of the world. With the DA continually trying to turn us into a high rise, overpopulated and overpolluted urban slum, Cape Town will cease to be what has made it unique to the world for centuries. Cape Town as we know it will be gone forever if the DA is allowed to continue forcing through high density development projects like yet again they are proposing here for the famous old Oude Molen.	Jack	Non-profit
	2026-06-29 13:21:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	My Mother is in The Nest, the only dementia care facilty that we could afford or even find close to Pinelands where my parents have lived for close to 60 years. The care she receives is outstanding and this is because this facility trains carers in this field. She and all the other patients will have nowhere else to go if this closes.	Dominique	employed individual
	2026-06-29 16:05:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Alison	employed individual
	2026-06-30 06:33:25	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	8	Blain	employed individual
	2026-06-30 07:38:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Ebrahim	self-employed
	2026-06-30 07:50:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I lived in Cape Town for 16 years. I was regularly visiting and participating in activities in this place, patronizing the Cafe. I brought my children , friends and family here. I was always so happy to think that one of our city's colonial farms still existed for all to enjoy. The pool as well. I feel the initiatives over the years which support the environment, indigenous communities , the aged , disabled and a multitude of small.businesses as well as tourism will all be lost. High rise building will disfigure the currently beautiful view of the mountains and rivers.	Sylvie	employed individual
	2026-06-30 07:54:17	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Movement in and out of Pinelands and surrounding areas is already crippled by excessive traffic clogging the roads. Nothing is ever done to address this issue. These new developments are usually totally unsympathetic to the surroundings. This looks like a entitled comment, however there is value in an area's esthetic too. The natural space can not ever be reclaimed once the concrete and bulldozers arrive. The culture and livelyhoods of those displaced by this development proposal matter! I do not agree with this development.	Gary	employed individual
	2026-06-30 08:00:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		sonet	employed individual
	2026-06-30 12:42:57	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Belinda	employed individual
	2026-06-30 17:34:52	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Julie	self-employed
	2026-06-30 17:40:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Oude Molen is a unique urban space which is a "green home" to several groups, including schools, horseriders, stores selling organic produce and more. Crucially, the rehab facility includes a place for patients with dementia, which is a burgeoning condition with very few facilities. The space is enjoyed by groups across the community and the socioeconomic spectrum. It MUST be saved and retained.	Marian	retired
	2026-06-30 17:56:30	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Leave this area alone for youngsters to learn to ride horses and enjoy a large open space. Especially if they live in tiny homes.	Norma-Jean	retired
	2026-06-30 18:06:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I believe this open area and it's usage is needed in this area to maintain a cooling of the environment and upkeep of the Eco system	Patricia	retired
	2026-06-30 19:39:38	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Inita	self-employed

	2026-06-30 21:02:11	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Impossible to go back once bulldozers come. This is healing sacred natural land that should be protected and developed organically to benefit lower income communities with special skills development and work opportunities with a focus on nature, entrepreneurship and culture.	Eloise	
	2026-06-30 21:05:07	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Moegamad Zubayr	
	2026-06-30 22:57:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lauren	self-employed
	2026-07-01 07:50:44	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Remy	Non-profit
	2026-07-01 10:24:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Justin	employed individual
	2026-07-01 17:02:53	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Shane	
	2026-07-01 17:18:51	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Ingrid	
	2026-07-01 17:58:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Margaret	retired
	2026-07-01 21:04:45	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Cheryl	self-employed
	2026-07-02 07:29:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Shana	business owner
	2026-07-02 07:29:03	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Shana	business owner
	2026-07-02 08:12:39	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Annette	self-employed
	2026-07-02 08:33:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	As a professional architect I feel that public space is of such great importance as as much as there is absolutely a need for more housing one cannot simply take up an existing green space and turn it into housing. There is a reason the green spaces are where they are. It goes back to the original planning in the city and suburbs. By proposing higher density housing one would need to keep the existing green spaces and add more. Every apartment would not have their own garden so the apartments themselves need access to an even bigger green space. Unless we are promoting people staying indoors. Look at precedent like Brasilia. They densities but open spaces were integral to each housing strip. Move into an open undeveloped space and do the town planning and infrastructure planning properly. It's not about making money or doing things cheaply it's about designing the infrastructure to withstand densification including sufficient new green space. (Sacap Prof arch 20977)	Athena	self-employed
	2026-07-02 08:55:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Greta	self-employed
	2026-07-02 10:59:00	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		David	self-employed
	2026-07-02 12:01:46	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	All of the above	Charne	employed individual
	2026-07-02 12:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Pinelands, originally the first Garden city is fast becoming greatly overpopulated.	Angus	
	2026-07-02 21:42:40	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Simonette	retired
	2026-07-02 23:56:18	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Deidre	employed individual
	2026-07-03 07:36:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	We already have lost our green spaces. WHY even consider this? First look at how other countries are struggling to create green space. This is a NO!!!!!	Adele	employed individual
	2026-07-03 12:02:41	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Lauren-Leigh	employed individual
	2026-07-10 16:47:24	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Etienne	business owner
	2026-08-13 10:16:08	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Denise	self-employed
	2026-08-15 06:45:48	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Johann	
	2026-08-18 19:46:43	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Riana	unemployed individual
	2026-08-21 11:03:32	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Nicole	unemployed individual
	2026-09-03 12:19:19	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		RACHEL	self-employed
	2026-09-04 18:59:27	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Mateen	
	2026-09-07 17:29:21	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	City of CT is building on or want to develop every bit of green space. This is a thriving community and a breath of fresh air close to the city. This area is so congested and couldn't carry more traffic of any sort.	kay	
	2026-09-07 23:00:35	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This rezoning should be refused. Oude Molen is public land that already works: it houses care for dementia patients, therapy for disabled children, schools, gardens and a living cultural landscape. Heritage Western Cape has already rejected the impact assessment, yet officials are pushing ahead as if that ruling does not exist. Affordable-housing promises are non-binding fine print, while the real cost is lost open space, extra traffic on already strained roads, and the erasure of a community that costs the city nothing. Density is not automatically justice. Do not sell a functioning public asset for a speculative high-rise precinct that ignores heritage law and existing public value.	Jaime	self-employed
	2026-09-08 06:35:10	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Pamela	retired
	2026-09-21 10:16:20	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Michael	employed individual
	2026-09-27 20:28:23	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	Over densification of population , WHY ??????	Heinrich	
	2026-09-28 08:59:49	No I do not	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	I vehemently oppose rezoning this heritage area .	Grant	employed individual
	2026-06-23 11:44:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Di	retired
	2026-06-23 13:36:09	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sue	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:55:21	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	It seems the City of Cape Town is bending the rules for a number of projects throughout the city to satisfy the needs of the wealthy and elite. The needs of the masses have been sidelined, and in some instances, simply declined. The city needs to prioritize accordingly thus I vehemently reject this Oude Molen project.	Zunaid	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:19:00	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		John	retired
	2026-06-23 14:21:01	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sharon	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:21:02	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sharon	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:28:01	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	It seems that the City has used exceptionally devious means to try to get this project through, advertising it to potential investors and telling them that the Public participation process was completed in 2025! The lip service paid to the Heritage value of the site and the fact that the Eco-village is an existing community and a valuable green lung for the City. The very fact that corners have been cut should bring punitive measures into play to make them go back to the drawing board and start from scratch with proper audit each step of the way to ensure they follow an honest process!	Andrew	retired
	2026-06-23 14:29:59	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Marius	
	2026-06-23 15:11:30	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Get all authorisations into place first. Better yet, stop wasting your time on destructive projects, and do something constructive.	Carl	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:00:02	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Hierdie kom weer eens voor as 'n oppertunistiese, onder die tafel deur onderhandeling, sonder dat die waarheid ten volle ondersoek is. Wie gaan werklik hierdeur baat? Daardie roetes is reeds daagliks oorlaai met verkeer.	Ronél	retired
	2026-06-23 16:04:18	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Kenneth	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:09	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Elza	
	2026-06-23 16:36:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		reg	retired
	2026-06-23 16:36:34	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		reg	retired
	2026-06-23 16:54:40	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I am of the opinion that our Heritage sites/buildings are like trees that needs to be removed. The insight of the officials concerned leave a lot to the imagination. Firstly how many jobs will be created and for what period of time. Permanently?? How many families will be accommodated? That said, adding to congestion is another problem. Words look good on paper but not in actual practice.	Cathy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:55:37	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Any time information is incomplete or distorted is a cause for concern.	Aletta	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:08:32	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Uys	retired
	2026-06-23 17:19:14	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		enrico	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 17:19:14	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		enrico	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 17:23:57	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	When will we learn to follow and obey laws and procedures in our country - especially starting at the minor levels? New York proved many years ago that when the correct minor laws and procedures are complied with and enforced general law and order improves.	Clive	
	2026-06-23 17:27:38	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	That piece of road is already too congested	Patrick	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 17:29:13	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Anthony	retired
	2026-06-23 17:32:20	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I get Cape Town needs development but tourist come to see the nature don't damage the nature. Sky scrapers and dens commercial development is not worth it	Willem	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:41:22	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	It would be a travesty toose this heritage sight and all that it offers in its unique way.	Madeleine	retired
	2026-06-23 17:41:24	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	It would be a travesty toose this heritage sight and all that it offers in its unique way.	Madeleine	retired

	2026-06-23 17:42:01	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Michele	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:46:01	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	My 2 biggest concerns about this is the added traffic on the road to the hospital and the dishonesty throughout the process.	L	
	2026-06-23 17:51:38	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Ruth	retired
	2026-06-23 17:53:09	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Herman	retired
	2026-06-23 18:14:02	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		heleen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:14:02	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		heleen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:17:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Jan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:52:13	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	In my humble opinion, this is theft, no matter how they try spin this.	Ray	retired
	2026-06-23 19:00:53	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	As a Pinelands resident who bought a Heritage home 15 years ago, I am outraged at how Heritage laws and procedures are regularly violated by developers who have little regard for the law and prioritise profits. Oude Molen is a unique area, supporting families through schools and community owned businesses. Do not allow this development to proceed and ignore all the important evidence presented against it!!!	Kehiloe	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:13:13	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Stephen	
	2026-06-23 19:44:30	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Willem	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:46:57	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Gerhard	retired
	2026-06-23 19:49:34	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Riana	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:54:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Wakes	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:55:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The DA keeps on disappointing the voters and the citizens of Cape Town. So sick of Hill-Lewis and his woke agenda! I am starting to believe that he is even worse than Steenhuizen. Stop ruining Cape Town!!!!	Nicky	
	2026-06-23 20:42:18	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	After thorough investigations by reputable official bodies it was found that official project documentation reveals deep structural flaws, misreported metrics, and direct evidence of predetermination. I am totally against and reject this project	Boudine	retired
	2026-06-23 21:15:50	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Richard	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:53:38	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I object to the proposed redevelopment because the Visual Impact Assessment itself acknowledges that Oude Molen is a cultural landscape of high heritage significance with a unique bucolic character, important visual relationships, tangible and intangible heritage value, and proposed heritage protection status. The report further acknowledges that the development will result in noticeable changes to the site's character, visual intrusions, obstruction of views, potential loss of landscape features, and the possibility that new development may overwhelm historic buildings and spaces. Despite these findings, approval is recommended before critical architectural and design details have been determined. The proposal therefore risks causing irreversible harm to the heritage landscape and sense of place that make Oude Molen significant.	Jacobus	retired
	2026-06-23 21:57:28	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Marius and Wilna	retired
	2026-06-23 22:45:39	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Invalid Approval Process: The City cannot legally approve the development because the required heritage assessment (HIA) was rejected under South Africa's heritage laws (Section 38(3) of the NHRA). Flawed Heritage Assessment: The applicant used a narrow definition of heritage that ignored "living heritage" (intangible cultural aspects), which is legally required. Ignoring Precedent: The application disregards legal obligations established by the River Club court case, which mandates the inclusion of intangible heritage and mandatory consultation with Indigenous First Nations groups. False Endorsement: Formal objections from multiple recognized Khoe and San houses have disproven the developer's initial claims of Indigenous support, arguing instead that the project would destroy an unbroken cultural landscape.	Bill	business owner
	2026-06-23 22:46:19	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Hanli	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:07:18	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Rob	retired
	2026-06-24 03:57:56	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Davdi	business owner
	2026-06-24 04:03:00	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Mahdenie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 04:09:26	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	This is an impotant resource for people in the area. Insensitive land development will destroy the character and I'm concerned about all the regulations that are being flouted and short cuts being taken to earn a quick buck for the developers	Marianne	retired
	2026-06-24 04:43:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Eric	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:15:34	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Gerald	retired
	2026-06-24 05:23:03	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		F	retired
	2026-06-24 06:24:10	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Helen	retired
	2026-06-24 06:28:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Rassie	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:46:20	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		john	retired
	2026-06-24 06:55:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		anton	retired
	2026-06-24 07:30:59	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Vanya	retired
	2026-06-24 07:44:05	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:58:53	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		frikkie	
	2026-06-24 08:02:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Owen	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 08:08:39	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	People density should not be increased. Instead they should be be assisted by improving transport, distribution and digital means to earn incomes and live lives not so on top of one another. Urbanisation starts out as desirable but builds up to squalor and suffering.	James	retired
	2026-06-24 08:15:41	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Douglas	retired
	2026-06-24 08:16:11	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Anna	retired
	2026-06-24 08:21:04	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Deeply concerned about the proposed development and this push to rezone while heritage has not approved the plans. WCG is wasting our money with endless appeals. The proposed development completely neglects the wildlife, trees, indigenous people, small businesses, schools , spiritual and religious practices on the site that have been in place for ddecades. The poposal misleads the public, a very small amount of space is for affordable housing and there are no clear sustainability plans. To allow this development to go forward is unconscionable and completely against the publics best interest.	Claire	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:28:26	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Amanda	retired
	2026-06-24 08:29:13	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Alan	retired
	2026-06-24 08:29:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	It is time the city started obeying all the laws as set out. If they create illegally how will the govern illegal activities?	Rob	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:06:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Bronwyn	
	2026-06-24 09:46:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Keith	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:03:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Nadia	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:26:18	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Joline	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:34:05	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Jan	retired
	2026-06-24 10:37:39	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		James	
	2026-06-24 10:40:40	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Bianca	
	2026-06-24 11:19:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		WA	retired
	2026-06-24 11:31:13	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Anne	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:31:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Lost of public land and spaces to private sector selfish interest	Gezani Max	retired
	2026-06-24 11:36:11	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Tim	retired
	2026-06-24 12:07:34	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The process must be transparent. In addition, heritage sites must be preserved along with predetermined green spaces.	Karin	retired
	2026-06-24 12:51:10	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I am really so tired of everyone and anyone ignoring Heritage in Cape Town. I wish Heritage could be better supported and the regulations strictly imposed with harsh consequences if not adhered to. Look at Walmer Estate for example .. beautiful old houses being renovated with modern facades. It is loosing its character completely.	Christa	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 12:51:11	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I am really so tired of everyone and anyone ignoring Heritage in Cape Town. I wish Heritage could be better supported and the regulations strictly imposed with harsh consequences if not adhered to. Look at Walmer Estate for example .. beautiful old houses being renovated with modern facades. It is loosing its character completely.	Christa	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 13:05:46	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Douglas	
	2026-06-24 13:07:21	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Willem	retired

					I object to the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct on procedural, legal, and heritage grounds. 1. HERITAGE PROCESS IS PREMATURE AND LEGALLY UNRESOLVED On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee upheld the rejection of the developer's Heritage Impact Assessment, finding it non-compliant with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. This is the second time the HIA has been rejected; the first rejection found the submission had "not sufficiently investigated the intangible and living heritage" of the site. Proceeding with rezoning while the statutory heritage process stands unresolved is premature and contrary to the sequencing the NHRA requires. SAHRA is still actively assessing Grade I National Heritage status for the Two Rivers Urban Park, of which Oude Molen forms part. The draft HIA's claim that SAHRA "rejected" this grading application misstates the record: the case it cites (16907) concerned a separate Provisional Protection application for the neighbouring River Club site, not the TRUP nomination. I ask that no land-use decision be taken until this national grading process concludes. The HIA's heritage assessment also relies on a restrictive "vertical" reading of living heritage, treating practices that began post-1994 as ineligible for protection. This misapplies the law. The NHRA and UNESCO recognise a "horizontal" understanding of living heritage: cultural transmission through skills, mentorship, and renewal across communities, regardless of when a specific practice began. The equine-assisted therapy, indigenous food forest, Khoisan ceremonial use of the Liesbeek/Black River confluence, and multi-generational care services at Oude Molen all qualify under this standard and have not been properly assessed. 2. INADEQUATE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IS A RECOGNISED GROUND FOR SETTING ASIDE THIS PROCESS South African courts have repeatedly set aside government decisions for inadequate public participation. In Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly (2006), the Constitutional Court held all interested parties must have a real opportunity to be heard and seriously considered, or the process is unconstitutional. In Mogale v Speaker of the National Assembly (2023), legislation was declared invalid for insufficient notice and lack of accessibility in consultation, defects evident here too. In the Shell seismic survey litigation (SCA, 2024), inadequate notification that communities could not access or understand was enough to halt proceedings. Specific defects here include: a 2024 public database that appears to disregard concerns OMEVTA had already raised; a lack of written feedback to submissions; restricted access to LO 14/23 tender documentation, which should be available via official e-Tender portals under PFMA SCM Instruction No. 09 of 2022; and framework illustrations that do not depict the legally permitted maximum height and bulk, creating a misleading impression of true density and visual impact. Because later planning phases will be legally bound to operate within whatever maxima are approved now, meaningful public influence narrows sharply once this framework is locked in. 3. THE PROCESS APPEARS PREDETERMINED An official Western Cape Government document presented to investors at the November 2025 Investment Summit stated heritage authorisation had been "completed by July 2025" and public participation "has been completed." Both statements were false when made: the HIA had already been found non-compliant months earlier, and the commenting window remained open. Presenting unsecured rights as a finalised asset to investors while statutory participation was still legally open suggests the outcome has already been decided administratively. This pattern is not unique to Oude Molen: the concurrent Mowbray Golf Course disposal drew the same complaint, parcels approved for release before any statutory PPP took place. 4. AN EXISTING COMMUNITY WITH OVER THREE DECADES OF DOCUMENTED CONTINUITY The Province's submission characterises the site as "underutilised." Tenants and independent sources dispute this. The community has operated since at least the early 1990s, when remaining medical staff at the downsized Valkenberg site invited craft workers and gardeners to occupy the vacant western wing, an occupation later formalised through leases. It was independently documented in 2016 (Mail & Guardian, Sudley Adams Memorial Award) and in peer-reviewed academic literature (Houssay-Holzschuch et al., Cybergeo, 2018) describing it as a "child of the political transition," with fieldwork dating to 2009-2015, well before the current dispute. It currently sustains: the Robin Trust, providing permanent dementia and Alzheimer's care alongside SETA-accredited caregiver training drawing students mainly from the Cape Flats; Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School; equine-assisted therapy at the Oude Molen Stables; food gardens run in partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department; and the Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, including an indigenous food forest reported by tenants to exceed 800 trees, more than the 517 recorded in the Impact Assessment. Whichever characterisation of the site is preferred, this discrepancy in tree counts should be independently verified before any vegetation-clearing decision is taken. 5. LEASE STATUS IS UNCLEAR AND SHOULD BE CLARIFIED IN WRITING The proposal package describes existing tenancies as informal "tacit leases" on a month-to-month or three-monthly basis, all subject to a redevelopment clause, with no fixed term stated. OMEVTA's own account describes a lease expiring September/October 2025, with renewal tied to a legitimate, legally completed development plan rather than to rights being granted. These two accounts of the same lease arrangement do not match. Given that tenant security and investment decisions depend on this, I ask that the WCG state in writing, unambiguously, the actual lease terms, the renewal mechanism, and the basis on which any termination could occur. 6. AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMMITMENTS ARE NON-BINDING The widely promoted one-third affordable and social housing allocation is, by the application's own fine print, conditional on future financial feasibility assessments, with no enforceable mechanism requiring delivery once development rights are secured. I ask that this non-binding status be stated plainly rather than used as the primary public justification for the scale of this proposal. 7. NO INDEPENDENT EIA AND UNRESOLVED INFRASTRUCTURE QUESTIONS No independent Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted for a site bordering the Black River wetland, habitat for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Cumulative infrastructure strain alongside Conradie Park, Riverlands, and the Mowbray disposal has not been independently audited, and the transport model depends on a proposed rail station with no confirmed PRASA funding. I ask for a full independent EIA and infrastructure audit before rezoning proceeds. RECOMMENDATION I ask the City and the Western Cape Government to: (a) halt rezoning pending the SAHRA Grade I assessment and any heritage appeal; (b) commission a properly resourced public participation process integrating prior community input rather than a fresh, disconnected database; (c) commission an independent EIA and infrastructure audit; and (d) clarify in writing the actual lease terms and renewal mechanism, the binding status of the affordable housing commitment, and the tree and heritage resource counts in the HIA. Oude Molen should remain in public hands, managed under principles that build on its documented decades of social, therapeutic, educational, and ecological contribution to Cape Town.		
	2026-06-24 14:11:24	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Marcel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:11:27	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	That once again an attempt it being made to simply destroy heritage is outrageous! This must be stopped.	Roland	
	2026-06-24 14:14:57	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The Oude Molen precinct should be left as is and NOT be used for development - especially HIGH DENSITY.	Jonnie	retired
	2026-06-24 14:23:38	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	High density development in such a historical area despite clear stop signs from heritage watchbodies is doing more damage than good - we need green spaces and our ties with history	Sonja	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:37:46	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sandra	retired
	2026-06-24 14:50:46	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Cape Town municipality WAS the best run in the whole country. Now the DA is ruining it by allowing over development, grabbing land they have no right to. Having no regard for Heritage sites and allowing developers painting lovely pictures of what they intend to build and they end up building exactly the opposite. Shame on you Cape Town.	Shirley	retired
	2026-06-24 14:54:20	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The PUBLIC DOCUMENT THAT WAS RELEASED BY THE DEVELOPERS ARE ALL LIES. AND I HOPE IT WILL COME BACK TO BITE THEM. MY MAIN CONCERN IS THAT THERE ARE HARDLY ANY GREEN BELTS/GREEN SPACES LEFT AND TRAFFIC IN THAT AREA IS ALREADY A NIGHTMARE. IMPACT STUDIES ARE MANIPULATED.	Gerda	business owner
	2026-06-24 15:21:49	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	1. Bypassing Heritage Law 2. The Visual Parameter Trap 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2) 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access 6. Proof of Predetermination This smacks of official corruption on al levels.	joan	retired
	2026-06-24 15:28:21	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-24 15:28:22	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-24 15:28:22	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-24 15:44:20	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	There is deception big time	Helena	
	2026-06-24 16:13:24	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Dale	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:31:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Françoise	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:52:10	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Really?!? do something better, restore and incorporate the heritage.	André	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 18:24:28	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	City must practice what it preaches! The rules and laws apply to everyone.	Michael Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 18:33:59	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I have been living in Pinelands since 1981' This proposed development and the Mowbray Golf Club ten year plan for development will destroy the Garden City of Pinelands and all it stands for. The City Council has so much other land it could develop. Why cause chaos in one of the best suburbs in Cape Town. The traffic is already at breaking point. Someone is getting paid behind the scenes and if these developments go ahead, there will be a mass exodus of influential people and funds out of S.A.	Tony	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:55:56	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Eureka	retired
	2026-06-24 19:34:10	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Warren	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:35:57	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Bruce	employed individual
	2026-06-24 21:30:54	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Judi	retired
	2026-06-24 21:54:04	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Jenny	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:00:52	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Due process has not been followed and you do not have the publics best interest at heart.	Iny	student
	2026-06-24 23:17:52	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Naomi	
	2026-06-25 08:28:26	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Johan	retired

	2026-06-25 11:27:28	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Karen	self-employed
	2026-06-25 12:47:05	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Wayne	retired
	2026-06-25 13:08:33	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Veronica	retired
	2026-06-25 14:52:46	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Keith	business owner
	2026-06-25 15:24:37	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Astrid	business owner
	2026-06-25 17:28:49	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Other, government-owned lands (for instance, like Youngsfield and Wingfield army grounds) can be used. There is already too little green areas in the Cape around Cape Town and suburbs, eliminating or reducing existing green areas is just wrong and not future-orientated for a greener world.	Dietrich	retired
	2026-06-26 07:23:43	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The developers are known to me and are very corrupt individuals, whose only interest is their own enrichment . I do not support them in because they have a history of corrupting officials for their own gain.	Lorien	business owner
	2026-06-26 13:00:45	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Thelma	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:25:58	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sarah	
	2026-06-26 19:02:42	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Slav	
	2026-06-26 19:17:51	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Simone	unemployed individual
	2026-06-26 21:58:17	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Hester	employed individual
	2026-06-27 12:30:28	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Margie	retired
	2026-06-27 15:31:38	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Irene	
	2026-06-27 17:43:40	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The development of the site could be done in a way that balances the need for social housing with the retention of the living heritage of the site. However, it is clear the Province have pre-determined how they plan to develop the site in a way that will trash the ecovillage and pay lip service to the highly significant heritage resources on site. The fact that Provincial Investment Summit could promise developers that the site would be "derisked, with secured development rights, a detailed precinct plan, and a clear financing strategy" by May 2026 shows the authorities had no intention of taking any public participation seriously. We do need the integration of affordable housing and commercial spaces with the living heritage of the site. Just not the way the province does it...	Leslie	employed individual
	2026-06-27 18:16:35	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Suzanne	self-employed
	2026-06-27 20:49:16	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Miriam	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 23:50:53	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Brigid	employed individual
	2026-06-28 08:59:32	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	We are needing to go in the opposite direction, this is not going to support community in any way. Community needs more green spaces for the ecosystem to thrive as a thriving ecosystem has many beneficial resources for communities including medicinal plants and foods. This is rather a selfless act and there needs to be more responsibility in community if we really have the intention of building a regenerative world	Kayleigh	self-employed
	2026-06-28 10:16:29	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Belinda	self-employed
	2026-06-28 10:16:30	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Belinda	self-employed
	2026-06-28 11:01:27	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I grew up in Pinelands. The proposed rezoning is a disgrace. It is clear that the aurhorities do not care about everyone that will be negatively impacted by this proposed rezoning. It's shocking.	Sonja	retired
	2026-06-29 07:44:11	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Brigitte	retired
	2026-06-29 10:18:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Financial gain is not the most important aspect of life. Preserving Cultural heritage and beacons of precious memories of hundreds of thousands of people who lived and studied in Stellenbosch serves as a gift to those that are still alive and future generations.	Azetta	retired
	2026-06-29 12:18:21	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Mike	self-employed
	2026-06-29 12:18:24	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Mike	self-employed
	2026-06-29 13:06:18	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		werner	
	2026-06-29 13:18:14	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	I am a Khoi-san activist fighting to reclaim ansestorial land and this includes Oude Moulen.	Frederick	retired
	2026-06-29 13:56:08	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	As a Pinelands resident who had to wait over a year for plan approval and the process trundled at a pedestrian pace through Heritage, Traffic etc. it is totally unacceptable that the City can bulldozer these checks and balances. Added to that is the huge developments, done and planned, (Conradie, Clyde Pinelands and Oude Molen), WITH NO TRAFFIC INFRASTRUCTURE changes.	Elise	
	2026-06-29 15:33:31	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Whatever happened to Heritage decesions being final	Sherina	retired
	2026-06-30 09:10:47	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Colleen	retired
	2026-06-30 10:58:11	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Christy	employed individual
	2026-06-30 11:09:02	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Riana	
	2026-06-30 20:17:59	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Roxanne	self-employed
	2026-06-30 20:36:00	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sharon	
	2026-07-01 21:35:57	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		emraan	employed individual
	2026-07-02 01:06:21	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Michel	self-employed
	2026-07-03 16:23:34	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	The proposed developers are known corrupt people who have destroyed other heritage parts of Cape town with their uncapped greed.	Lorien	business owner
	2026-09-07 13:11:20	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	No Government overreach.	Pieter	
	2026-09-08 09:32:51	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sally	
	2026-09-27 07:38:05	No I do not	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Gustav	employed individual
	2026-06-23 12:47:55	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Armand	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 12:53:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We need to protect our heritage and not destroy it for financial gain.	Johannes	retired
	2026-06-23 13:50:53	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Security & Operational Strains on Valkenberg Hospital: The proposal demolishes the historic secure buffer zone for Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital, placing vulnerable psychiatric patients at risk and severing long-standing land-based therapeutic and occupational therapy integrations.	Marianne	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:07:10	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	All the green spaces are rapidly disappearing along the black river. We cannot put big buildings in every little space. Mentally and emotionally we all suffer from this densification. There is a thriving community at Oude Molen already and there seems to be a good balance between the little businesses and nature. Why spoil it? Already we see at Amazon, where there were nicely landscaped areas, they are now squashing more buildings in. It's really not acceptable.	Lynne	retired
	2026-06-23 14:07:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The entire project is a concern. It seems all rules and requirements have been ignored. I dont see anything about a public and traffic impact study has been undertaken. I do not nor will not support this project at all	Amanda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:14:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Aukje	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:14:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Janine	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:33:28	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	People that are all barging their way into Cape Town have no rights here. They can go and live in all the other open spaces outside Cape Town. This space is a flood plain, like Mowbray golf course. You cannot build on flood plains and ruin the eco system. Voertsek back to where you came from.	Johan	retired
	2026-06-23 14:37:03	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Joe	
	2026-06-23 14:39:37	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Alex	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:41:05	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Dave	
	2026-06-23 14:41:06	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Dave	
	2026-06-23 14:45:30	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:48:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	It is a shame that this beautiful space will be destroyed by buildings	Esolda	retired
	2026-06-23 14:48:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	It is a shame that this beautiful space will be destroyed by buildings	Esolda	retired

	2026-06-23 14:52:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	N/a	Belinda	retired
	2026-06-23 14:54:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Riana	
	2026-06-23 14:58:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Rosely	
	2026-06-23 15:14:00	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Disappointing - there seems to be no value placed on a poorer community that is running extremely well, in spite of numerous difficulties. And very definitely no consideration for the ecology of this green belt and heritage site. And we say we are a nature loving city!!	kay	retired
	2026-06-23 15:17:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Marc	
	2026-06-23 15:22:13	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Petrie	
	2026-06-23 15:22:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Katja	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:44:58	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Bianca Lucille	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:56:05	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Sally	retired
	2026-06-23 16:05:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Cape Town is in the process of pushing developments through at the expense of its citizens.	Lincoln	retired
	2026-06-23 16:07:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Francois	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:11:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Robbyn	
	2026-06-23 16:19:44	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		David	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Oude Molen should be preserved because it protects important heritage, green space, biodiversity, and community activities that cannot be replaced once lost. It supports numerous community organisations, schools, small businesses, and environmental initiatives. Large-scale development would fundamentally change the character of the area and could harm its cultural and environmental value.	Tiva	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:27:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Follow the money, these plans always have a trail to follow.	Caryn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:52:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The Oude Molen Eco Village Pinelands has the most First Nation Heritage that the Da government is trying to wipe out as the Kraal of the Goringhaicona was established here at Oude Molen eco village Pinelands and the Valk family gave the ground to Public works in a trust as safe keeping to return it to the Goringhaiqua Goringhaicona which is HRH KHOEBAHA ARAN GORINGHAIQUA GORINGHAICONA living at present on the presinct and the Promation with Britain and Holland the whole Cape Colony was giving back to the Goringhaiqua Goringhaicona, Roman Dutch law is based on the God order the pope basing its law on the law of the sea and it based on previous or law of the past, so the Proclamation between British and Holland is law of the past and law of the sea which must be binding to the City of Cape town and Da government, the Rigtersvelt case is another example the Constitutional court brought and base my defence of Oude Molen eco village Pinelands on that, also Humanitarian law say illegal occupation and illegal invasion apone the land is illegal so International criminal court need to intervene as Holland and Britain etc ìnvaided our Sovereign Heritage land illegally and as part of the Goraichoqua and also British Royalty i as Sovereign been declare the Da government and Ciry of Cape Town to illegal occupy and illegal invaided illegal right our the land and call for International intervention	HRH Khoebaha	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 16:59:24	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wendy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:59:24	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wendy	retired
	2026-06-23 17:00:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Michelle	retired
	2026-06-23 17:02:37	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This is yet another development that has not been thought out clearly, nor is there a clear environmental impact study. We need to preserve what little urban lungs we have & building here would be disastrous. Never mind the impact on traffic and a sewage system that is already overloaded. I also do not believe the proposed upgrades to the local waste water treat works would adequately accommodate new infrastructure.	Carol	retired
	2026-06-23 17:03:02	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Craig	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:31:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Mark	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:35:13	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	It is extremely short-sighted to want to use this heritage and important environmental area for high-density development. Clearly impact studies have not been done to determine the effect that additional transport needs will have on the routes for ambulances to get trauma patients to the hospital - it is already a very busy route which is often backed up with queuing traffic at peak times. The public deserve an honest account of all the potential problems.	Anne	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:42:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This proposed devolopmen is flawed from start to end. Red flags all over. Smell like corruption in the making! Who will benefit ? NOT the environment, health facilities, Not children needing proper affordable schooling facilities, Not job creation but ONLY fat cats filling their own pockets. NO stop the noncence. People are not fools like you think.	Lourens	retired
	2026-06-23 17:44:25	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We lost the wetlands and historical open space to Riverlands. Oude Moulen is an essential green lung/ wetland and historic space full of character. It would be a travesty and a tragedy to lose this space too !	Fiona	retired
	2026-06-23 17:44:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lorinda	retired
	2026-06-23 18:03:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Dries	
	2026-06-23 18:18:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The CoCT's determination to develop at all cost is unsustainable, arrogant and exacts a price on the environment, and communities, and destroys civic trust. Cape Town is already an overdeveloped mess, and now the few remaining green spaces are being targeted, with using dishonesty. greed, lawlessness and arrogance as the toolkit.	Claire	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:18:59	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Angela	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:36:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Ferhana	retired
	2026-06-23 19:11:59	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jen	retired
	2026-06-23 19:20:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Loss of valuable green space and environmental impact on local wildlife	Lynelle	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:20:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jo	
	2026-06-23 19:24:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Janine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:25:44	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Thomas	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:36:36	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Anna	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:41:48	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Brigitte	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:45:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Keith	retired
	2026-06-23 19:46:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Among other highlighted issues with this development, environmental impact should also be accounted for. There does not seem to be an environmental impact assessment report for the development, and it borders an ecologically important area that already experiences pressure from urban developments	Christelle	employed individual

	2026-06-23 21:09:43	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Catherine	retired
	2026-06-23 21:25:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gillian Suzanne	retired
	2026-06-23 21:27:02	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I am so disappointed in the City of Cape Town. This stinks of corruption.	Janine	retired
	2026-06-23 21:29:58	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Nawhaal	retired
	2026-06-23 21:43:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gavin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:09:22	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Rene	
	2026-06-23 22:10:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I am concerned about the fauna that use this land as part of their breeding and as a corridor for moving through. It is an area of a diversity of Cape Flats Sand Fynbos that is endangered. Cape Town needs more green open spaces where the fynbos is restored and rehabilitated. I am concerned that it is a space important to the Koi San people and they should be given some of the land and I believe it is a space that could be more utilised as an actual eco village.	Wendy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:30:08	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lynette	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:40:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Verena	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:46:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Sannie	retired
	2026-06-24 03:56:22	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Why doesn't the city develop the king david golf club instead of using this public ecological beneficial project. The process smacks of corruption. Why do citizens need to file process and over heritage laws but the city can reject them?	Ibrahim	business owner
	2026-06-24 04:24:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Soomaiya	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 04:28:06	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gretchen	retired
	2026-06-24 05:13:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		JC	retired
	2026-06-24 05:24:20	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Danie	retired
	2026-06-24 05:26:48	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Coenraad	retired
	2026-06-24 06:06:10	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We should be conserving our environment for ourselves and the future generations. Yes, there is an urgent need for housing but not at the expense of our environment or our heritage. As these changes will impact the road which services an emergency facility, how can this development be justified. Yes we need more schools but why does it have to be a private school and not a government school which provides all that a private school would provide at an affordable cost to parents. Please can we stop the chasing of money at the expense of integrity, our environment and our people. We the people of Cape Town deserve so much more than what we are receiving from officials at all levels of government.	Rae	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:15:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Fanie	retired
	2026-06-24 06:25:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Not only is it a threat to the enviorment traffic will be a logistical nightmare. Leave Oude Molen alone!	Nikki	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:30:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		D	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 06:32:28	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Hameda	
	2026-06-24 06:41:55	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	There is already a flourish economic hub here catering to middle class community needs. It is also too close to a major highway intersection and increased traffic will exacerbate the existing congestion and make quick emergency access to a major hospital far harder. There is also the added proximity to a green belt, waterway and the impact it will have on the ecosystem here. This development is neither needed or wanted by the community.	Cheryl	retired
	2026-06-24 06:42:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Natashia	
	2026-06-24 06:49:43	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Suewellyn	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:05:22	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Green belts and conserved areas linking through the city and suburbs must be preserved	Laura	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:05:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Heletje	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:07:00	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Chrisna	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:08:00	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	A section of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, however long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Along with two holistic schools, eco-gardens and artisans, the area already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. The ecological damage will also be irreversible.	Toni	retired
	2026-06-24 07:09:05	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gail	
	2026-06-24 07:09:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gail	retired
	2026-06-24 07:12:49	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	It's just plain greedy and unnecessary.	Michele	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:22:42	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Josias	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:41:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lynda	retired
	2026-06-24 07:41:39	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lynda	retired
	2026-06-24 07:44:27	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Neil	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:49:58	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Natalija	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:53:37	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I object in the strongest terms to the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct, on the following grounds: This process is unlawful. On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment, confirming it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. The City of Cape Town is proceeding with a land-use application anyway. This is not an administrative oversight — it is deliberate. Western Cape Government materials presented to investors at the November 2025 Investment Summit explicitly described the project as "derisked" with completed heritage authorisations, while the HIA had already been found non-compliant. The outcome has been predetermined. The public participation process is a formality being conducted in bad faith. The infrastructure does not exist. This proposal is one of several concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor. No independent cumulative infrastructure audit has been conducted. Local schools are already over-capacity. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical bottlenecks on Alexandra Road — directly threatening emergency access to Vincent Pallotti's trauma unit. The transport framework depends on a new train station for which PRASA has made no funding commitment whatsoever. Approving this development without confirmed infrastructure is reckless. The environmental harm is irreversible. The site borders the Black River wetland, a habitat for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. No Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted. The cumulative ecological impact of this and neighbouring developments on the wetland system has not been evaluated at all. This is publicly owned land. It must not be permanently alienated for private commercial gain on the basis of a rejected heritage process, unverified housing promises, and infrastructure that does not exist.	Ulrike	
	2026-06-24 07:53:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jo-Ann	retired
	2026-06-24 07:54:49	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Linda	retired
	2026-06-24 08:00:58	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lorraine	
	2026-06-24 08:05:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Destroying the ecology, beauty and the history of the Cape for political gain is totally idiotic. Soon there will be absolutely nothing in the Western Cape to be proud of. Bring in influx control like Switzerland!	Elaine	retired

	2026-06-24 08:08:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		SUE	retired
	2026-06-24 08:14:55	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We need open green areas in Cape Town and this proposed development will result in even more congestion and huge pressure on infrastructure. There is already a development on the opposite side of the M5 that didn't follow heritage protocol and proceeded with many legal battles that followed. There are other open areas that are not utilised for any purpose that can be used for new development. Why take away environmentally sensitive green area that already adds value to a community when there are many other unutilised baron areas that can be developed. It is almost like the City of Cape Town purposely wants to stir up communities instead of development in areas that could use new resources.	Jason	
	2026-06-24 08:22:12	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles.	Janet	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 08:31:28	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Rudi	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:37:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Diane	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:37:49	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		WAYNE	retired
	2026-06-24 08:38:17	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The eco-system of the wetelands is an intricate habitat with water systems that are crucial for the organisms to live in. Once open spaces have been urbanised, one can't reverse it. Stop it please	Andrea	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:45:15	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Anthony	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:48:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Shireen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:53:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This project's negative effect on the environment far outweighs any positives - it will be another step in the wrong direction of turning the city into a concrete jungle.	Lucas	retired
	2026-06-24 08:56:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The City of Cape Town/ Western Cape Government are destroying our beautiful city in their quest to rake in money for their coffers.	Barbara	
	2026-06-24 08:56:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:00:09	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Neville	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:00:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Mike	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:02:08	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I really have concerns for all. They lied about it, they are quiet with the public input and considerations and they refuse to give the entire plans. But what will happen to the ecosystem once it is done? Wetlands are delicate, the slightest misplaced dust sets the ecosystem in a haywire. You're not only losing a beautiful public, open space but you are also losing a delicate balance of the environment and wildlife that live there.	Tara	
	2026-06-24 09:02:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Andree	
	2026-06-24 09:04:20	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lenie	retired
	2026-06-24 09:19:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Sule	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:21:15	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Patrick	
	2026-06-24 09:26:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Anna	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:30:53	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gavin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:49:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Di	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:50:08	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Salome	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:54:32	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		GADIJA	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:57:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I am very much against this proposal. Without a doubt it would result in a loss of an existing community ecosystem and historical continuity, as the adjacent Riverlands development has already shown. There is already too much development in the surrounds (Riverlands, Amazon headquarters and more), putting tremendous strain on the infrastructure and densifying an area that is public and open land and should remain as such.	Martie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:14:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Samantha	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:14:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Taking away urban green spaces deprives cities of crucial defenses against climate change, severe biodiversity loss, and declining public health. Preserving these areas is essential for cooling neighborhoods, filtering air pollution, managing stormwater, and providing accessible spaces for physical and mental well-being.	Jessica	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:21:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Alan	retired
	2026-06-24 10:29:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jabulile Pearl	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:33:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Trauma victim delays are completely unacceptable	Cassandra	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:55:23	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Gavin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:58:03	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Pushing land-use approvals through while the HIA stands officially rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA is premature and legally non-compliant. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of First Nations endorsement as false. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. An area-wide Heritage Impact Assessment for the broader, deeply historic Two Rivers Urban Park landscape was never completed. The overarching heritage process was abruptly suspended, and the Local Spatial Development Framework was pushed through regardless. By deferring heritage impacts to individual sites and then choosing to ignore site-level rejections, the City is systematically fragmenting a contiguous cultural landscape without evaluating cumulative, landscape-scale damage.	Susanne	
	2026-06-24 10:58:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jane	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 11:12:17	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Johan	
	2026-06-24 11:14:30	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Get rid off this tunnel vision council	Ebrahim	
	2026-06-24 11:24:17	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Bernike	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:32:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Shakier	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:33:56	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Ilona	retired
	2026-06-24 11:48:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cathy	business owner
	2026-06-24 12:13:32	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Why always tamper with things best left alone??	Suné	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:13:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Why always tamper with things best left alone??	Suné	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:22:25	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cheryl	

	2026-06-24 13:18:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I hereby lodge an unequivocal rejection of the City of Cape Town's proposed development framework to rezone the 13-hectare, publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. This plan poses an unacceptable risk to the Black River wetland, a fragile ecological system already under pressure. The framework's sweeping rezoning disregards the environmental and ecological threats that intensified development would bring to this wetland and its surrounding habitats. Publicly owned land adjacent to such sensitive ecosystems must be safeguarded, not sacrificed. Beyond the ecological danger, the proposal is fundamentally flawed: It prioritizes private development interests over public trust. It undermines heritage and community value tied to the precinct. It erodes democratic accountability by rushing approval and limiting genuine consultation. The City's haste to push this rezoning through is not "progress." Progress is measured by sustainability, inclusivity, and stewardship of shared resources. Handing over public land for speculative development at the expense of ecological integrity is the opposite. Accordingly, I demand that the proposed framework be withdrawn in full. The Oude Molen Precinct must remain publicly owned, transparently managed, and preserved for future generations — with the Black River wetland protected as a vital ecological asset.	Jade	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:20:29	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I hereby lodge an unequivocal rejection of the City of Cape Town's proposed development framework to rezone the 13-hectare, publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. This plan poses an unacceptable risk to the Black River wetland, a fragile ecological system already under pressure. The framework's sweeping rezoning disregards the environmental and ecological threats that intensified development would bring to this wetland and its surrounding habitats. Publicly owned land adjacent to such sensitive ecosystems must be safeguarded, not sacrificed. Beyond the ecological danger, the proposal is fundamentally flawed: It prioritizes private development interests over public trust. It undermines heritage and community value tied to the precinct. It erodes democratic accountability by rushing approval and limiting genuine consultation. The City's haste to push this rezoning through is not "progress." Progress is measured by sustainability, inclusivity, and stewardship of shared resources. Handing over public land for speculative development at the expense of ecological integrity is the opposite. Accordingly, I demand that the proposed framework be withdrawn in full. The Oude Molen Precinct must remain publicly owned, transparently managed, and preserved for future generations — with the Black River wetland protected as a vital ecological asset.	Danie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:22:15	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I hereby lodge an unequivocal rejection of the City of Cape Town's proposed development framework to rezone the 13-hectare, publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. This plan poses an unacceptable risk to the Black River wetland, a fragile ecological system already under pressure. The framework's sweeping rezoning disregards the environmental and ecological threats that intensified development would bring to this wetland and its surrounding habitats. Publicly owned land adjacent to such sensitive ecosystems must be safeguarded, not sacrificed. Beyond the ecological danger, the proposal is fundamentally flawed: It prioritizes private development interests over public trust. It undermines heritage and community value tied to the precinct. It erodes democratic accountability by rushing approval and limiting genuine consultation. The City's haste to push this rezoning through is not "progress." Progress is measured by sustainability, inclusivity, and stewardship of shared resources. Handing over public land for speculative development at the expense of ecological integrity is the opposite. Accordingly, I demand that the proposed framework be withdrawn in full. The Oude Molen Precinct must remain publicly owned, transparently managed, and preserved for future generations — with the Black River wetland protected as a vital ecological asset.	Natasha	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:38:08	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Laurette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:48:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Hester Johanna	retired
	2026-06-24 13:54:27	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wade	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:56:56	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We cannot afford to lose the existing Oude Molen projects like the Robin Trust and the eco-gardens. We cannot afford to lose more of our wild spaces and wetlands.	Athalie	
	2026-06-24 13:56:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We cannot afford to lose the existing Oude Molen projects like the Robin Trust and the eco-gardens. We cannot afford to lose more of our wild spaces and wetlands.	Athalie	
	2026-06-24 14:00:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Michelle	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 14:17:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		James	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 14:36:17	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Richard	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:04:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	There are MANY problems with this development. Aren't they (ie. DA/WC Gov) happy with Conradie Park? Is it not delivering? Why do they want another massive multi-use, biodiverse (or whatever clever wording they use) development at the other end of Pinelands?! It's not needed and not wwanted. As a lifelong Pinelands resident, I can certainly speak for many others, in saying that we are sick and tired of local/national government forcing their way into every open, green area in and around Greater Cape Town. Why are they doing this? Who's getting the back-handers? Which individuals are benefitting? It won't be Pinelands, or Oude Molen Eco Village, or Maitland Garden Village, or Maitland... or Thornton - heck not even Little Mowbray will benefit! Humans and animals will suffer. Noise levels, traffic conjestion will increase. Wildlife, water pressure, sanitation efficiency will decrease. Same goes for the King David golf course proposed development. It must not happen. No, no, no!	Jean	
	2026-06-24 15:10:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Abraham	
	2026-06-24 15:12:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	It is shocking that the City appears to regard this plan as "rubber stamped" before the public has had a chance to respond. Of course the City needs more housing, and plenty more affordable units. However, why choose land which is one of the few remaining heritage areas still actively and productively in use by community members - and in a wetland area to boot. Cape Town has an abundance of Golf courses, used by an elite few, who could certainly make do with one less course.	Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-24 15:59:53	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wendy	retired
	2026-06-24 16:10:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	As a concerned homeowner in Mowbray, it seems flagrantly obvious that the City of Cape Town is rushing to develop about every piece of land it can put its hands on regardless of ecological impacts it could have on the area. When the rivers flood, AND THEY WILL at some point in the future, we homeowners of the surrounding areas are at risk of loosing everything because of the greedy choice you make.	Julie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 16:31:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Elleanor	retired
	2026-06-24 16:40:48	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jill	retired
	2026-06-24 17:16:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jacqueline	retired
	2026-06-24 18:00:12	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Russ	
	2026-06-24 18:39:44	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		PETER	employed individual

	2026-06-24 20:03:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	John	
	2026-06-24 20:11:33	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Bianca	
	2026-06-24 20:11:55	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Yvonne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:13:29	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Elizabeth	
	2026-06-24 20:54:45	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	A few getting wealthy at everyone else's expense. The pressure on the Vincent Pallotti Hospital and danger to emergency patient lives, the upcoming gridlock, the seemingly corrupt process - predetermination and incomplete assessments, the damage to the ecology. Just a big mess.	Mrs S	self-employed
	2026-06-24 21:08:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee formally rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public's ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients' lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was "completed by July 2025," public participation was finished, and development rights were "secured." This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Elize	

	2026-06-24 21:10:07	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Cape Town is fast loosing the beauty and quality of life it is prized for. The eco system is strained beyond survival already.	Johann	self-employed
	2026-06-24 21:42:40	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Claudette	business owner
	2026-06-24 22:50:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Dietlind	business owner
	2026-06-25 07:31:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Hands off our ecologically sensitive sites as well as our heritage sites. Our once gracious city is being sold off & destroyed by avaricious city officials	Irene	retired
	2026-06-25 07:40:25	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jacques	employed individual
	2026-06-25 08:06:12	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Mariëtte	retired
	2026-06-25 09:48:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Georgie	self-employed
	2026-06-25 10:26:28	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Louis	retired
	2026-06-25 12:08:09	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Fanie	retired
	2026-06-25 12:20:10	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The City of Cape Town and the Major does not care about the consequences of their plans. City of Cape Town is run down and it is hiding the real problems of the City. Why does the Major want to disrupt the ecology of nature to gain more money? Its all about wanting more and more money and he proves that he has no consideration of the the environment. Stop PLEASING the Rich and start taking responsibility for your actions Mr Major. City of Cape Town is failing the people. Leave nature the way it is.	Jenna	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 12:36:29	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This is a sensitive wetland and riverbank site. An Independent Ecological Assessment needs to be made before even considering development of the area. It is vital we take care of our river systems in order to look after our people.	Gisela	
	2026-06-25 12:43:24	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Kathy	retired
	2026-06-25 13:06:40	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Yvonne	employed individual
	2026-06-25 13:56:40	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		shornay	self-employed
	2026-06-25 14:02:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We need to protect our local wildlife and local ecosystems. Safe green spaces are not a luxury, they are a necessity for health and wellness. There is also not enough surrounding infrastructure to support this absurd idea.	Andrea	
	2026-06-25 14:32:09	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	to the Black River wetland The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Christa	employed individual
	2026-06-25 14:40:00	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Herman	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 16:25:23	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lielie	retired
	2026-06-25 17:47:15	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Vix	self-employed
	2026-06-25 18:18:36	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Helga	retired
	2026-06-25 20:30:03	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jo	retired
	2026-06-25 21:10:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	and on top of all that, we do not need any more consumerism, more stuff, more buildings sending out more stuff we don't need. We need air, we need grass, , water, rivers, trees, NATURE!!! Not more billionaires !!!	Christel	self-employed
	2026-06-25 21:40:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	So government wants to - once again - just take whatever the hell they want. They should be stopped. Why don't they start using government land to build units/housing. They should be forced to call referendums for every stupid idea they get. The PEOPLE should have a say in these decisions and give their opinion. Every time government wants to do something they just don't give a hell about the public whose taxes pay part of their salaries.	Sarie	retired
	2026-06-26 04:30:06	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	There is no need to build more buildings on the area. Black river has too much pressure on it already. More vehicles on already pressured roads. Greedy developers will damage the heritage site. Stop it now	Pat	retired
	2026-06-26 10:38:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wendy	
	2026-06-26 12:30:15	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		James	retired
	2026-06-26 12:30:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		James	retired
	2026-06-26 12:49:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The 13-hectare precinct directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland ecosystem. Despite introducing high-density, five-storey concrete blocks, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted for this proposal. The site is a critical urban green lung and acts as a vital, documented habitat for protected local wildlife, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological damage to the wetland system side-by-side with neighbouring mega-developments poses an immediate, unmitigated threat to urban biodiversity.	Cathy	employed individual
	2026-06-26 13:22:27	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Together with the proposed development of King David Mowbray golf course and Clyde Pinelands soccer club fields, and following on the dense development of the Conradie Park site, the pressure on Pinelands as a long established mixed residential suburban environment offering a decent quality of life with plenty of green space, these 3 developments will turn it into a high density urban environment with I catered for pressure on road access and egress. The flouting of heritage and wetland conservation is unconscionable. Better town planning should make provision for QUALITY OF LIFE for all instead of high densification and urbanisation at the expense of healthy and affordable living environments for young professionals, families and senior citizens. Turning this entire area into a mini city is not the way to go - go further out to create mixed use mini cities with commercial and light industry side by side with high density residential 'units'.	Wendy	self-employed
	2026-06-26 15:00:23	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	With the river club wetland already taken over by urbanisation and King David Golf Estate being eyed by the City of Cape Town for rezoning and redevelopment, they now want Oude Molen as well. When will the city stop destroying our green spaces and biodiversity.	Dagmar	employed individual
	2026-06-26 15:23:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Angela	employed individual
	2026-06-26 21:38:23	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Oude Molen is an Old Heritage place, and the area should be left alone. I do not agree at all to develop the area with low-cost / affordable housing	Volker	retired
	2026-06-27 07:57:41	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We do not need another high rise complex killing off our natural landscape. I object to this development as it does not support any form of community development on land that is supposed to be for public benefit. There are plenty of commercial buildings that are falling apart in Cape Town and Woodstock that can be upgraded and used. It seems that the only real motivation for this development is for the short term financial gain of the developer and their associates.	Nicole	employed individual
	2026-06-27 10:00:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Kelly	retired
	2026-06-27 10:00:36	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Kelly	retired
	2026-06-27 10:21:51	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Saranne	employed individual
	2026-06-27 10:24:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Stephne	employed individual
	2026-06-27 13:40:11	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	I lived there for years, and I do know how important this little piece of land is to the people of garden village. The horses and especially the community garden. Not even to mention the birdlife. This is a very important piece of land for the ecosystem of Capetown. More needs to be done to protect this. Building and development will destroy this and it will be gone forever.	Mariette	self-employed
	2026-06-27 13:43:56	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Dieter	retired
	2026-06-27 17:28:26	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Adinda	retired
	2026-06-27 20:59:05	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Fiona	retired

	2026-06-28 09:52:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Liz	retired
	2026-06-28 10:32:10	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Renee	
	2026-06-28 11:38:11	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	no further comments	Herculene	employed individual
	2026-06-28 12:04:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lynn	retired
	2026-06-28 12:08:23	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Stop filling all our free open green spaces with housing and the adverse aspect it always brings to the environment with NO concern from developers or government.	Charmaine	retired
	2026-06-28 14:32:36	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Wendy	
	2026-06-28 14:47:06	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cathy^{o}	retired
	2026-06-28 20:58:18	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This indiscrimate selling off of the green belt that is the catchment and floodplain for the area is ill advised and smacks of short term profiteering by CoCT and its cronies. CoCT has a responsibility to protect community resources and ensure that urban planning reflects the needs of the existing residents while finding sensitive solutions to housing and tramsport needs. Ysterplaat area, Athlone and Maitland/Ndabeni already have cleared barren municipal land that can be utilized for these shopping and housing precincts while the growing land currently zoned for and serving social needs should be upgraded and maintained for the use of the public for education, food production and adult education action. Riverlands development is quickly ballooning to encroach further and further into the floodplain and before you know it there won't be a tree in sight but rather acres of barren ground filled with empty office buildings owned by charismatic churches and drug lords. Keep Cape Town green. We dont need any more shopping precincts, we need more parks gardens and recreational spaces for all to enjoy including the endemic flora and fauna that risks being ripped from the ecosystem.	Georgia	business owner
	2026-06-29 01:29:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Zainab	
	2026-06-29 08:28:12	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	KrotoasSA Foundation believe a violation of the National Heritage Resource Act is committed by the Western Cape Department of Infrastructure by continuing its rezoning application without an approved heritage assessment. The act states the "responsible heritage resources authority" must decide whether or not the development may proceed, or whether any limitations must be set. The development as proposed cannot be considered as the Heritage Impact Assessment does not meet the requirements of Section 38(3) of the NHRA.	Jesica	retired
	2026-06-29 08:39:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	The site currently functions as a rare and beautiful example of an ecosystem community. More broadly, the site functions as a seasonal wetland and an important green sponge in an otherwise large concrete matrix. Ecologically speaking, the site is an important corridor and stepping stone for local fauna and flora, and an increase in its concrete footprint will bring negative impacts.	Nicholas	employed individual
	2026-06-29 13:20:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	the loss of Historical Heritage as well as wetlands.	Malcolm	employed individual
	2026-06-29 14:52:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Megan	employed individual
	2026-06-29 17:20:09	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	We are asking that the protections afforded to mature trees be significantly strengthened and improved due to the number of serious threats that are rapidly reducing tree canopy to dangerously low levels given the extreme weather conditions we are facing much sooner than anticipated. Trees are temperature regulators, acting like large air conditioners. The next 5-10 years are critical to the health of our tree canopy that helps to cool us. The cost is twofold: the immediate loss of everything the tree delivered, plus the long lag while a replacement slowly matures. Retaining an existing large tree is often just as important as planting a new one. This will require legislation to seriously reduce random felling of large useful trees. That green roofs and alternate methods to cool the city be urgently legislated. That unavoidable human densification legally requires densification of trees, and urban plants to mitigate the destruction. That necessary tree planting is locally supported with maintenance and watering to ensure its successful growth. If the City does not act quickly temperatures may rise increasing heat islands that make it difficult for young growing trees to thrive, leaving us in overheated urban wasteland. When the threats our trees are facing are read together it becomes clear that Cape Town is facing a tree apocalypse with a loss of up to 50% of trees in the next 5-10 years if clear and direct action is not immediately taken. We are asking the City of Cape Town to shift the priority of trees and urban plants in the face of the speed with which the canopy is being lost and global temperatures are rising.	Mignon	self-employed
	2026-06-29 17:55:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Fatima	unemployed individual
	2026-06-29 20:09:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Faldela	retired
	2026-06-29 21:38:39	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Brend	self-employed
	2026-06-30 08:56:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Apart from the Environmental impact and the loss of jobs, the impact that vastly increased traffic along Alexandra Rd will have on access to the Vincent Pallotti Hospital trauma unit, is of grave concern.	Linda	retired
	2026-06-30 11:00:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	This is a significant planning and heritage issue. The key point is that the proposed rezoning is proceeding even though the required heritage authorization has been refused. Under the National Heritage Resources Act, a compliant Heritage Impact Assessment is an important component of the environmental and planning process. The fact that the appeal was dismissed on 10 June 2026 strengthens the argument that the current proposal has not met the statutory heritage requirements. However, whether the City can continue processing aspects of the land-use application depends on the applicable planning legislation and administrative processes—it does not necessarily mean the development can ultimately be approved in its current form. OBJECTION The proposed development would fundamentally alter the character of the 13-hectare Oude Molen Precinct by replacing an established, low-density green landscape with an intensive eight-storey mixed-use development. The Heritage Impact Assessment has been refused, and that refusal was upheld on appeal because it does not comply with Section 38(3) of the NHRA. It is premature and procedurally questionable to advance the rezoning application while the required heritage authorization remains refused. The City should suspend consideration of the land-use application until all statutory heritage requirements have been satisfied. Any decision made before resolving the heritage authorization may expose the approval process to legal challenge and undermine public confidence in integrated planning. This is a strong procedural objection because it focuses on due process and statutory compliance rather than only opposing the development on policy grounds.	Monica	self-employed
	2026-06-30 11:50:02	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	When will animal life come first? I am so deeply disappointed in people right now, the less there are on this planet the better. Our growing city needs sanctuaries and areas of quiet where people notice & appreciate the creatures we share this planet with. Stop putting greed before love & care for the less vulnerable.	Belinda	employed individual
	2026-06-30 12:02:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	All the following invaluable infrastructures and organisations will be lost. This is a very precious community which will be discarded to build housing which benefits only the privileged and enriches the developers. It is driven by greed and a lack of humanitarian ethics. The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest.	Philippa	retired
	2026-06-30 12:42:34	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Bridget	self-employed
	2026-06-30 12:47:50	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jo	employed individual
	2026-06-30 13:43:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		susan	retired
	2026-06-30 15:29:59	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	An urban farm in the middle of a world-class, bustling metropole is unique. And, aside from cementing over an area that's been in use since before the arrival of colonisers, turning this part of a precious "green lung" of CPT into concrete structures is insane in the time of climate change. We BADLY need green spaces to help cool down the city, absorb the increasing rainfall, and provide a home to wildlife - amongst other reasons. That "lung" is already smaller because of other property developments in the Black and Liesbeek River wetlands.	Charmaine	employed individual
	2026-06-30 17:32:22	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Our family and many of our friends buy all our food from the Back Area Garden Deli as we only eat organic produce. There are no alternatives nearby and many who claim to be organic are not. Friends stable their horses there and others have children who attend Gaia Waldorf. The Robin Trust is a wonderful health facility. Admittedly some of the area needs attention but surely this can be accomplished without changing what is, and has been, a great contribution to our way of life. There are enough high-rise facilities, let us get back to community and nature.	Carol	retired
	2026-06-30 18:09:51	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Marichelle	self-employed
	2026-06-30 18:40:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Mercia	retired
	2026-06-30 19:23:27	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Shiralee	self-employed
	2026-06-30 20:42:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Zandalee Poley	employed individual
	2026-06-30 21:43:10	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Nature and open spaces are worth far more than money.	Cornelia	employed individual
	2026-06-30 21:43:11	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Nature and open spaces are worth far more than money.	Cornelia	employed individual
	2026-06-30 22:21:35	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Kate	employed individual
	2026-06-30 22:33:25	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Oliver	employed individual

	2026-07-01 08:30:20	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Sarah	employed individual
	2026-07-01 09:43:03	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Justin	business owner
	2026-07-01 13:07:05	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Thr cumulative pressure of this level of densification particularly right by the river will have massive impacts on the environment and local infrastructure.	Moray	self-employed
	2026-07-01 13:10:56	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Karen	employed individual
	2026-07-01 15:16:38	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Lise	employed individual
	2026-07-01 16:26:40	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Seilagh	retired
	2026-07-02 06:04:19	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Amanda	retired
	2026-07-02 06:04:22	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Amanda	retired
	2026-07-02 09:26:31	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Barbara	self-employed
	2026-07-02 09:38:42	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Robyn	employed individual
	2026-07-02 09:50:44	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Bettie	retired
	2026-07-02 10:14:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Colleen	self-employed
	2026-07-02 14:43:49	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Melissa	
	2026-07-04 08:38:01	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Patricia	employed individual
	2026-07-04 13:55:41	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Adele	self-employed
	2026-07-04 13:55:42	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Adele	self-employed
	2026-07-04 17:14:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Ruth	
	2026-07-05 10:43:14	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Siobhán	self-employed
	2026-07-05 19:23:47	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Nic	employed individual
	2026-08-02 11:02:45	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Marilyn	retired
	2026-08-04 09:06:20	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Stephen	employed individual
	2026-08-09 08:04:53	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jaqueline	retired
	2026-08-14 12:31:04	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Michael	unemployed individual
	2026-08-16 00:40:32	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cornelius	
	2026-08-17 05:59:21	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Drew	
	2026-08-19 00:10:52	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Jackie	
	2026-08-19 23:11:16	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Madelein	employed individual
	2026-09-05 09:39:12	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	When is the government stopping with the destruction of habitate for flora and fauna with in the city limits ? Sure the city can expand outwards and leave certain green belts alone	Pedro	retired
	2026-09-06 15:04:57	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Linda	
	2026-09-18 10:54:46	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Is anything left of the land for nature after the setup of Riverland	Andal Nalini	self-employed
	2026-09-24 10:02:40	No I do not	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		ANDREW	
	2026-06-23 12:57:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Mike	
	2026-06-23 13:30:28	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	NO. NO. NO.	Annemarie	retired
	2026-06-23 13:38:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Proper and ethical procedures have not been followed. We cannot allow the loss of the valuable community, services, and jobs currently in place. We need to stand up against the misleading practices and lies.	Lizette	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:52:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This is not the only instance of the City of Cape Town displaying blatant aggression towards its citizens, through exclusion from the oversight process. It is obvious that money is exchanging hands behind the scenes because the sheer volume of procedural flaws, lies and lack of oversight demands this be stopped and re-examined.	Dirk	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 13:52:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Theo	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 13:52:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		M	
	2026-06-23 13:53:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Chris	
	2026-06-23 13:53:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 13:53:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 13:56:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 14:08:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kulthum	

	2026-06-23 14:09:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Yet another case of steam rolling over community rights, public participation and the destruction of heritage sites!	Derek	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:12:48	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I strongly oppose the Western Cape Government's application to rezone the Oude Molen Precinct for high-density mixed-use development. This 13-hectare publicly owned site in Pinelands is a vital green space and community hub within the Two Rivers Urban Park. It supports essential services through Robin Trust and other organisations, including healthcare, education, eco-gardens and therapy programmes that depend on its open, semi-rural character and historic links to the Valkenberg landscape. Heritage Western Cape has rejected the Heritage Impact Assessment for failing to comply with the National Heritage Resources Act, particularly regarding living heritage and adequate consultation. The proposal also lacks a proper Environmental Impact Assessment despite risks to the Black River wetland and protected species such as the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Approving the rezoning would displace longstanding community uses, remove valuable public open space, and add pressure to already strained local infrastructure. I urge the City of Cape Town to reject this application and protect Oude Molen's existing community, heritage and environmental value.	Jaime	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:15:06	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Grant	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:20:08	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The lawlessness and totalitarian impunity which has come to characterise the City's property development activities is alarming. This is not the only location where they are seeking to bend or outright violate legislation put in place to protect citizens and our environment from literally being bulldozed by large corporate interests. We have to hold them accountable for this deception and betrayal of their custodial role.	Rosemary	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:22:37	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Janine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:23:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Eric	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:26:08	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The lack of due process being followed and the lack of transparency is shocking. The impact this development will have on the existing precinct and existing stakeholders is negative and in terms of access to emergency medical care may be fatal.	Clinton	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:29:52	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jacki	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:30:36	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The riding roughshod over procedures and processes is typical of greedy profiteering. This is an utter disservice to the citizens of Cape Town. The politicians are unfortunately all the same as well show no real concern for the people that voted for them.	Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:31:56	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		David	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:32:58	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Herman	
	2026-06-23 14:35:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The fact that officials have not been fully honest nor transparent about this project is of major concern. The impact on cultural projects and local employment is unacceptable. The people of Cape Town should be asked to vote on the project after being given all the facts. It is worrying that the DA operates in such a manner.	Riaan	
	2026-06-23 14:36:56	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The principal objections : 1. The application is procedurally premature because the Heritage Impact Assessment has been lawfully refused. 2. The proposal constitutes overdevelopment, introducing excessive density and building height. 3. It permanently removes valuable public green space and harms environmental and heritage resources. 4. Infrastructure and traffic impacts have not been adequately addressed. 5. The public interest is outweighed by the loss of heritage, open space and community amenity, making the development inconsistent with sustainable planning principles.	Ricardo	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:38:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Approval of this proposal opens a Pandora's Box of harm to a city where the remaining spaces we have are at real risk of disappearing with others to follow on. The rezoning and high density proposal must be stopped.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-23 14:39:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Monique	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:41:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The total absence of transparency, honesty, INTEGRITY OF ALL ROLE PLAYER SO FAR, reflects RED LIGHTS ALL OVER THIS FALSE NARRITIVE ..... pretending falsely that important milestone had already been reached. Typically, the CORRUPT trying to squeeze in cadre enrichment projects illegally, disrespecting the Rules & Regulations. REFLECTING TOTAL INCOMPETANCE IN THE POSITIONS / APPOINTMENTS OF AUTHORITY!!! Misleading the affected communities and authorities.	Ockert	
	2026-06-23 14:45:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This proces is being pushed through and must be stopped. It will causeirrevocable harm.	Philip	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:47:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Not all relevant procedures were followed.	Jacques	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:50:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The COCT is yet again steamrolling residents. They don't care about public participation, or consequences of these developments. Go build in Constantia - thats lots of open space there !!!!!!!!!	Huda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:53:36	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This council just overrides so many rules that should be taken into account. It is not a company unto itself. It is answerable to the people of Cape Town and as such should follow all procedures that refer to public land.	Angela	retired
	2026-06-23 14:53:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Colleen	retired
	2026-06-23 14:56:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Once again the "authorities" are barging ahead with their own agenda with NO regard for public opinions or negative impacts on communities and the environment.	Gina	retired
	2026-06-23 14:56:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Philip	
	2026-06-23 14:56:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:56:52	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This seems to be a rushed decision that does not seek the greater good of the community around it, should not go ahead until all due diligence is done and public know exactly what the project will entail.	Nicholas	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:59:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ronald	retired
	2026-06-23 15:00:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		VALERIE	retired
	2026-06-23 15:00:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		douglas	retired
	2026-06-23 15:01:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Neville	retired
	2026-06-23 15:05:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Peter	
	2026-06-23 15:06:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Hilton	retired

	2026-06-23 15:08:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	My reply has been based on the correctness of your opening statement.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 15:09:15	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This project is about money. Nothing else. Someone is driving this agenda - do they also gain financially? Lack of public participation. Lack of complying with legal requirements in the order it is required. Our DA has become the same as cANCer - doing what suits their own agenda. They must also go.	Ed	retired
	2026-06-23 15:14:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Maureen	retired
	2026-06-23 15:16:58	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The DA thinks the whites are on their side and their only option, wake you are fake people.	Gregory	retired
	2026-06-23 15:21:25	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	After reading all of the above., it seems there' s deliberate misleading of the public. The correct procedures must be followed and explained to the public. Also, must everything be privatised and then asked a fortune for?	Michelle	retired
	2026-06-23 15:21:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The city officials and DA seem to be driven by gains and puffed up self image. They are failing at considering the overall impact on the wider community, infrastucture and environment. This will lead to job losses, and disrespect procedural safeguards - eg heritage. The City officials seem to have a tendancy ro cherry pick what rules and regulations they will respect. As recent vourt cases proofed re extra unauthorised and illegal charges they added yo municipal bills.	Thea	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:27:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		WM	retired
	2026-06-23 15:30:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I am part of an action group, where I stay, and have had similar cases. In the past we have had to deal with developers who use misleading information and know how to manipulate Council's approval of their plans to develop areas that are sensitive ecological zones and not at all suited for mass housing.	Francois	retired
	2026-06-23 15:32:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City of Cape Town seems determined to avoid proper public scrutiny and participation to enforce its will onto the citizens of Cape Town without comprehensive and detailed public participation. This is not the Democratic Alliance's (the ruling political party in the City) prerogative. The DA must follow the constitutional means to achieving its objectives, not the ANC's way of doing what it wants without proper community involvement.	Bruce	retired
	2026-06-23 15:32:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City of Cape Town seems determined to avoid proper public scrutiny and participation to enforce its will onto the citizens of Cape Town without comprehensive and detailed public participation. This is not the Democratic Alliance's (the ruling political party in the City) prerogative. The DA must follow the constitutional means to achieving its objectives, not the ANC's way of doing what it wants without proper community involvement.	Bruce	retired
	2026-06-23 15:32:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City of Cape Town seems determined to avoid proper public scrutiny and participation to enforce its will onto the citizens of Cape Town without comprehensive and detailed public participation. This is not the Democratic Alliance's (the ruling political party in the City) prerogative. The DA must follow the constitutional means to achieving its objectives, not the ANC's way of doing what it wants without proper community involvement.	Bruce	retired
	2026-06-23 15:38:37	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Glynn	retired
	2026-06-23 15:45:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This looks badly thought through with miscalculations and bad assumptions.	Grant	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:46:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The developers have clearly lied in their application for rezoning, about the school, affordable housing and many other issues. Also, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially dismissed the applicant's appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment. So the application should not be allowed to proceed. I also don't support the lack of area-wide heritage assessment, and the potential impact on the green space, infrastructure and traffic issues.	Alison	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:48:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jenny	retired
	2026-06-23 15:49:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Délene	
	2026-06-23 15:54:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Glynnis	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:56:52	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		BEVERLEY	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:58:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	With regards to this application, especially the manner in which the City of Cape Town is trying to push ahead (for what appear to be sinister reasons), the manner in which so many aspects of this application have been overlooked, incorrectly approached or just 'bulldozed' through, I wholeheartedly stand against this application. The DA, which holds the majority in the CoCT, will criticise the corrupt practices of other political parties, while here it is indulging in much of the same. The big issue here is how the process is being rushed and pressurised, and how many standard procedures are being circumvented. If the DA (and by implication the CoCT) is this ethical party that it claims to be, this whole process is unacceptable - back to the drawing board!	Ingrid	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:59:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I have lost my confidence in the City of Cape Town.	Manetta	
	2026-06-23 16:05:52	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Stephanie	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:14:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Alta	
	2026-06-23 16:20:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages. There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, "derisked" opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined.	Jeremy	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:22:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	A couple of points - I think the developer is masking the true density of the proposed development. The Traffic Impact Assessment admits that capacity on Alexandra Road is already critically constrained at some intersections and now they are adding thousands of daily commuter trips. There seems to be unlawful heritage procedural bypassing? The site already supports hundreds of secure, local jobs. The state is proposing 900 jobs!? We know from previous experience that it will turn into an employment loss, not a gain!	Liesl	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:25:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Evan	retired
	2026-06-23 16:31:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cyndy	
	2026-06-23 16:48:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Andre	
	2026-06-23 16:48:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Andre	
	2026-06-23 16:52:24	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	COCT mayor and his committees have made it a habit to take land and develop dreadful housing on it, all to enable them to charge more rates, taxes and other charges that will be paid to COCT. I thought that a DA COCT would do more to listen to the citizens of Cape Town rather than showing their absolute greed for money under any circumstances. I also expected the DA COCT to speak with the citizens of Cape Town, get their input and views but clearly the DA is not capable of doing this.	Angela	retired
	2026-06-23 16:52:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The bottom line is they seemingly have been untruthful to certainly residents of Pinelands, of which I am one of. Their ethics, or lack thereof, are of great concern.	Ruth	retired
	2026-06-23 16:53:25	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	What is the DA trying to do? Ruin Pinelands and destroy historic land? Hope not!!!	Suzanne	
	2026-06-23 16:54:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Andreas	retired
	2026-06-23 16:57:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		ian	employed individual

	2026-06-23 17:02:24	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Totally ridiculous to try and settle over a 1000 people into that space. I shudder to think of what the roads will look like. That's besides the heritage situation. I'm left to wonder if someone is planning to make millions!!!	Lorraine	retired
	2026-06-23 17:06:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kobus	retired
	2026-06-23 17:08:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nthabisen g	retired
	2026-06-23 17:10:15	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Stefan	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:11:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gregorio	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:16:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Leigh	
	2026-06-23 17:17:48	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Karl	
	2026-06-23 17:19:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The CoCT lies about so much - in the name of GREED! Sick of it.	Elizabeth	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:20:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Not a good idea	Maans	
	2026-06-23 17:21:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The fact that so many issues have been raised, as to why this operation/project should not go ahead, speaks volumes... Alleged lack of transparency, open channels of communication, irregular information/inconsistencies, seem to have crept in at the back door!?... There are too many REAL problems, as yet not resolved, for this rezoning to go ahead.	Denise	retired
	2026-06-23 17:21:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The fact that so many issues have been raised, as to why this operation/project should not go ahead, speaks volumes... Alleged lack of transparency, open channels of communication, irregular information/inconsistencies, seem to have crept in at the back door!?... There are too many REAL problems, as yet not resolved, for this rezoning to go ahead.	Denise	retired
	2026-06-23 17:21:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cecily	
	2026-06-23 17:22:14	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Alicia	retired
	2026-06-23 17:30:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Karin	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:32:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, “derisked” opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined.	Oreste	retired
	2026-06-23 17:35:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This DA lead council will be remembered for totally destroying the face of Cape Town while claiming their own glory!!!	Sue	retired
	2026-06-23 17:38:15	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Duidlief	retired
	2026-06-23 17:42:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It is alleged that a determined effort has been made to bypass the required approvals, which creates that familiar smell of vested interests. If false claims are shown to exist, the entire process should stop and then start from scratch and follow the rules.	Michael	retired
	2026-06-23 17:44:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 17:57:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Please do these things by the book. Otherwise it will lead to accusations of fraud and just perpetuate the South African story of corruption. It seems proper studies have not been completed and this is being forced through ignoring due process.	David	
	2026-06-23 17:59:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED OUDE MOLEN PRECINCT REDEVELOPMENT To Whom It May Concern, I wish to formally object to the proposed rezoning and redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct. My objection is based primarily on concerns regarding procedural fairness, legal compliance, transparency and the protection of heritage resources and existing community services. 1. Heritage approval process remains unresolved I understand that Heritage Western Cape's Impact Assessment Committee previously refused approval of the Heritage Impact Assessment and that the subsequent appeal process has been highly contested. Publicly available documents indicate that concerns regarding compliance with the National Heritage Resources Act remain unresolved. Proceeding with land use approvals while heritage issues remain disputed undermines confidence in the integrity of the planning process. 2. Public participation appears prejudiced Serious concerns have been raised that development rights and project outcomes may have been presented to investors before all statutory processes had been completed and before affected communities had concluded their participation. If accurate, this creates a reasonable perception that public consultation is being treated as a procedural formality rather than a genuine opportunity to influence outcomes. Meaningful public participation requires that decisions remain open to change based on public input. 3. Maximum development rights differ from public-facing illustrations The conceptual images presented to the public appear substantially less intensive than the maximum development rights contained within the planning framework. Residents and interested parties should be able to assess the true implications of the proposed zoning, building heights and bulk rights rather than relying on idealised illustrations. I request that decision-makers evaluate the application based on the maximum permissible development envelope and not on conceptual renderings. 4. Loss of valuable community services The Oude Molen Precinct currently hosts a long-established network of social, educational and therapeutic organisations that provide significant public benefit. Any redevelopment that threatens the continued operation of these services must demonstrate clear and measurable public benefit that outweighs the social costs of displacement. The value of these institutions extends far beyond the land they occupy and should be recognised in any planning decision. 5. Protection of living heritage The precinct is more than a collection of buildings. It represents decades of social, cultural, educational and environmental activity. Heritage legislation recognises that living heritage and community use form part of heritage significance. These aspects should be fully assessed before any irreversible planning approvals are granted. Requested Outcome I respectfully request that: 1. The application be deferred until all heritage processes have been lawfully concluded. 2. Full transparency be provided regarding development rights, maximum heights and bulk allocations. 3. Decision-makers give proper consideration to the social and heritage value of existing community organisations. 4. The public be afforded a meaningful opportunity to comment on any revised proposal that may emerge from outstanding heritage processes. The Oude Molen Precinct is a public asset of significant heritage, environmental and community value. Any decision regarding its future must be lawful, transparent and demonstrably in the public interest.	Hein	business owner

	2026-06-23 18:02:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Wow, I can't believe that anyone would even "try their luck like this".	Gayo	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:06:32	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Andre	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:07:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Barbara	retired
	2026-06-23 18:14:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Overselling solutions and neglecting due process is not acceptable.	William	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:18:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		JULIE	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:27:33	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Being deceptive is never a good thing.	Shaun	
	2026-06-23 18:27:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ian	retired
	2026-06-23 18:33:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	No transparent legal research and impact study was followed in this process.	PETER	retired
	2026-06-23 18:40:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zaheer	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:40:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zaheer	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:46:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 18:51:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I oppose the City of Cape Town's often drastic and not well thought out projects concerning the preservation of what works in Cape Town and generally in the Cape area, and what does not. Ecological research are incomplete and one -sidedly supporting the Cape leaders. Traffic issues are neglected, we see congestion following many of COCT's 'brilliant' ideas. Pollution in our environment, rivers and mountains is disregarded. The value of living in protected areas does not exist anymore. Land invasions by Eastern Cape wanderers settle down without any intention to work or contribute to the society. The free gifts they receive by the City Rulers is pure theft from the working Cape people. This cannot go on. And this Oude Molen project reminds me of the fight in Albania against the Kushner/Trump massive luxury project to benefit privately while destroying the environment. We, the people, must stop excessive bad / destructive planning.	marina	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 18:53:56	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lyn	
	2026-06-23 18:58:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Riyadh	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:22:28	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I vehemently detest any form of receipt and this project appear to reek of it!	Len	retired
	2026-06-23 19:22:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Edward	retired
	2026-06-23 19:26:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		John	retired
	2026-06-23 19:36:07	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Danny	retired
	2026-06-23 19:39:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nazma	retired
	2026-06-23 19:44:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Quintin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:51:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Lost for words that space and history in Cape Town is disregarded by the Municipality.	Izrl	retired
	2026-06-23 19:51:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Lost for words that space and history in Cape Town is disregarded by the Municipality.	Izrl	retired
	2026-06-23 19:52:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Diederich	
	2026-06-23 19:57:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The main issue here is simply that the city apparently manufactured inaccuratefaulty documentation, then represented it as truth and fact. This is not tolerable and I consider it serious lies, directly pitted against the public and public hetitage as well as current balanced employment situation. This is fraud in its worst form!	Ingo	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:57:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ca	
	2026-06-23 20:04:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Rose	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:54:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gary	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:15:33	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sharon	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:25:37	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Overdevelopment in CoCT will result in an overall decline in quality of life for all residents	Deryl	retired
	2026-06-23 22:07:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Helena	retired
	2026-06-23 22:19:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Mia	
	2026-06-23 22:20:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Mia	
	2026-06-23 22:27:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Shocking how the DA is behaving! Pushing threw this without the correct procedure , deceptive, and untruths . Looks more like GREED , and underhand procedures again.	Sandy	retired
	2026-06-23 22:41:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lonny	

	2026-06-23 22:42:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 23:11:58	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lauren	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:59:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ashnique	employed individual
	2026-06-24 00:13:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lynette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 00:28:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Official project documentation reveals deep structural flaws, misreported metrics, and direct evidence of predetermination. Your individual participation is urgently required to force factual accountability. Here are the hard facts: Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Viwe	employed individual
	2026-06-24 00:51:38	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There is a lot of space in the Eastern Cape.	Graham	retired
	2026-06-24 01:15:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Marisa	retired
	2026-06-24 01:47:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Like all other unfinished projects that are used to siphon tax payers money into the pockets of the cadres and african elites, no end to their lust of greed	Paul	
	2026-06-24 01:51:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	City of cape town should be taken to court on all matters for not complying with all the relevant laws and policies. They should also be taken to task when misleading the public and far too often for not being honest and transparent when providing all matters of these nature on a public platform. They should also consult with and provide honest feedback to the public after consultations.	Nadia	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 03:05:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	COCT underhanded evilness, cannot be allowed to continue....your actions prove self intrrest and nothing for public interest.	Iris	self-employed
	2026-06-24 03:29:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 03:37:48	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Elize	employed individual
	2026-06-24 04:24:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Danie	retired
	2026-06-24 04:55:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Elmarie	
	2026-06-24 04:55:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Piet	retired
	2026-06-24 05:44:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Elaine	retired
	2026-06-24 05:45:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This type of behaviour from the city is becoming a bit of a habit where impactful decisions are quietly being pushed through without knowledge of the people who actually pay their salaries - residents and ratepayers. That the reality of such plans are being hidden is nothing short of deceitful and even on just that basis is enough for the public to lose trust and faith in the city and that needs to be stopped.	Basler	retired
	2026-06-24 05:46:31	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This is corruption, plain and simple.	Patrick	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:00:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Linda	retired
	2026-06-24 06:24:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Charl	
	2026-06-24 06:28:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Heather	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:38:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Misleading interpretation on a heritage site should not be condoned.	Tanya	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:43:38	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Stop messing up the beautiful parts of the country that is keeping some history. Stop destroying beauty. I am totally against demolising beauty and nature.	Ronelle	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 06:51:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:52:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lorraine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:52:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Miliça	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:03:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This kind of treachery in this area as in Masiphumelele by our trusted representatives betrays character that raises suspicion more generally about the CoCT's trustworthiness.	Jeremy	
	2026-06-24 07:09:06	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gouwa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:10:37	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Grant	
	2026-06-24 07:14:28	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Naude	

	2026-06-24 07:15:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Just like the conradie development that only has 1 subsidized building the government are misleading and lying to get the land, pinelands trains dont work they are closed on weekends, the pinelands station is closed on weekends. Alexandra road cannot be widened, where will all the cars drive, none of it makes sense. The heritage and several times declined the development. Dismissed the appeal.	Ian	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:17:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I just lodged this objection, in case anyone wants to use it: I object to the proposed redevelopment because it fails to adequately recognise and assess the existing social, therapeutic, educational, cultural and ecological value of the Oude Molen community. Oude Molen is not vacant or underutilised land. It is a functioning social and environmental ecosystem that supports the Robin Trust, schools, therapeutic programmes, eco-gardens, equestrian activities, small businesses and community-based initiatives. These services depend on the site's unique low-density, green and tranquil character and cannot simply be recreated elsewhere. The precinct also provides important ecosystem services that benefit the wider city. Existing gardens, mature trees, open green spaces and restoration projects help improve air quality, absorb carbon, reduce urban heat, support biodiversity, promote stormwater infiltration and provide habitat for birds, insects and other wildlife. Community composting initiatives divert organic waste from landfill and contribute to local food production, while ecological restoration efforts, including the Miyawaki forest project, demonstrate active environmental stewardship and climate resilience. These environmental functions exist alongside a long-established therapeutic and educational landscape linked to the broader Valkenberg precinct. The area's historic role in care, rehabilitation, education and land-based healing continues today through the community organisations operating on the site. This living social and environmental heritage derives its significance not only from historic buildings, but also from the relationships, practices, knowledge systems and community networks that have evolved there over many decades. I am concerned that the loss of these existing social and ecological benefits has not been adequately assessed in the project's evaluation of impacts and benefits. The displacement of established care facilities, schools, therapeutic programmes, community gardens, composting facilities and ecological restoration projects would result in the loss of significant public value that extends far beyond the physical footprint of the site. I therefore request that the City require a comprehensive and independent assessment of the social, cultural, therapeutic and ecological functions currently provided at Oude Molen, including the ecosystem services and climate-resilience benefits generated by the site, before any rezoning or development rights are approved.	Archie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:20:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	If this is how the Western Cape Government is to conduct matters in future, I am afraid that they also need to be voted out. This is going to hurt public trust. (If that still matters). I an greatly concerned about the impact on the daily lives of the people in the surrounding areas. Somebody is trying to make a lot of money with this fraud and needs to be stopped immediately. This hole thing stinks.	Stephan	retired
	2026-06-24 07:27:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Geraldo	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 07:33:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		roudah	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:39:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It seems to me that this whole process has the smell of looting and corruption for financial gain with disregard for actual real issues that will have devastating effects on that whole area	Mike	retired
	2026-06-24 07:43:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kevin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:44:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Farzana	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:47:57	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Rosalie	
	2026-06-24 07:49:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Hendrik	retired
	2026-06-24 07:49:43	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Raoul	
	2026-06-24 07:52:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		ALAN Nicholas	retired
	2026-06-24 08:01:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Theo	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:01:38	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Alan	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:06:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		michael	retired
	2026-06-24 08:07:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sandra	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:19:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Where to start? More and more I see the Western Cape government following the ANC's shining light of corruption. Every single concern in this list suggests corruption is at play here Stop and do this properly. Alternatively look at Jo'burg - is this where the Western Cape wants to go?	Robin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:20:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gaynor	retired
	2026-06-24 08:21:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Heritage process is incomplete and misapplied and loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. Also procedural flaws misleading visuals	Jenny	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:25:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Martin	
	2026-06-24 08:25:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sarah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:26:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		John	retired
	2026-06-24 08:27:31	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	My deepest concern is that this proposal is being advanced despite the rejection of its Heritage Impact Assessment by Heritage Western Cape. Equally troubling is the shift from promised public infrastructure to a high-fee private school, reducing public benefit while increasing commercial gain. The development's claimed job creation is misleading, as it ignores the hundreds of existing jobs already supported on the site, resulting in a likely net loss of employment. The proposal also fails to adequately address traffic impacts on a corridor serving Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 trauma centre, potentially compromising emergency access. Once approved, the project's scale will be difficult to meaningfully challenge.	Amy	
	2026-06-24 08:27:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Stop the corruption, City of Cape Town! There are other parcels of land you could develop. Why are you stubbornly pursuing defacing heritage ares and creating job losses.	Caroline	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:29:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Deidre	
	2026-06-24 08:33:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Here are the hard facts: Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Thinus	self-employed

	2026-06-24 08:34:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sean	
	2026-06-24 08:34:14	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sean	
	2026-06-24 08:35:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It is a terrible precedent to allow broken promises and a lack of transparency in the process, what they said was going to happen to the land and what is actually happening is completely different and this is not acceptable	Amanda	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:36:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Marietha	
	2026-06-24 08:39:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Put all the cards on the table in a transparent way. Block the fast advancement of a clearly clandestine project.	Hester	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:40:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Chris	
	2026-06-24 08:41:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Shamiel	retired
	2026-06-24 08:43:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:47:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Aletta	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:48:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zaheer	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:49:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Linda	
	2026-06-24 08:50:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Rene	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:54:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Again the impact of inadequate infrastructure in an already strained environment.	A	retired
	2026-06-24 08:56:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jacques	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:58:25	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jon	retired
	2026-06-24 09:01:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Due process must be followed by all to ensure development to the best interest of all citizens of Cape Town	Gideon	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:02:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Allison	retired
	2026-06-24 09:04:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Basson	
	2026-06-24 09:06:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		saleem	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 09:07:07	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Darryl	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:07:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		William	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:12:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	THIS PROCESS IS BAD FOR ALL THE WRONG REASONS AS STATED IN MY CONCERNS. NOT ENOUGH THOUGHT HAS GONE INTO THIS.	JONATHAN	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:13:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Clearly a flawed process, using misleading data and with a predetermined and possibly legally questionable outcome - this seems to be increasingly the City's way of doing things. And with the MPT loaded with city employees, any appeal process is probably also predetermined. Take the city to court - it seems to be the only thing they understand and take notice of.	Graeme	retired
	2026-06-24 09:18:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The committee should ALL be subjected to an independent life-style audit	Johan	retired
	2026-06-24 09:20:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gaël	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:21:31	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Heritage should be upheld in South Africa as the highest priority. Redevelopment should focus on uplifting the existing community, uplifting the infrastructure, and honour the space and land forgot purpose. It's part of our green lung, has potential for beneficial farming for thr area, old age homes, schools, community all have the right to be uplifted and not removed or overrun by an unconsidered drive to develop for profit. Its blatantly obvious and behind the smoke and mirrors of legalese. The means by which the rezoning is aggressively persued by the developers should be condemmes, local goverment should stand against this and represent the people. Infrastructure in the area has not been assessed properly either, traffic congestion alone will affect multiple areas and emergency ways.	Gregor	
	2026-06-24 09:21:51	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It is clear that due process has not been followed.It is time to stop ALL projects that do not follow process & benefit a few with a stealth agenda.It is key to have a insight into timeline from concept to conclusion - implications thereof- impact on nature & people & needs.Transparency is essential ito Finances i.e what is the structure: who initated the project,who/how many is funding it /what returns will be enjoyed by whom/how / how much benefits all citizens of CT.What calibre of people will be employed eg cadre employment or based on merit & what jobs specifically will be on offer & how does that compare to existing employment on this land.	Jenny	retired
	2026-06-24 09:26:15	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		LJ	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:26:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It's illegal, commercial bulldozing and those responsible must be brought to book and face jail time. Corruption must be eradicated!	Eldor	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:27:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Wendy	
	2026-06-24 09:28:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		izak	retired
	2026-06-24 09:31:06	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Garry	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:31:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lionel	retired

	2026-06-24 09:31:51	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Eddie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:38:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There appears to be too much about this project that has already been predetermined and therefore is founded on an apparent corrupt base, making everything about it suspect and untrustworthy. It is seemingly riding roughshod over procedural rules and regulations put in place exactly to protect unbridled unlawful development of public spaces. This should not be allowed. This kind of apparent public deception and fraud is very concerning.	Barbara	
	2026-06-24 09:43:51	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Amanda	
	2026-06-24 09:44:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jos	retired
	2026-06-24 09:44:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Hester	retired
	2026-06-24 09:45:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This development will not enhance the cultural, environmental and employment aspects of the area. It will by all accounts do the contrary. The process is flawed and appears to have been rushed through with little or no public consultation, and there are hints of corruption within the process.	David	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:46:15	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Yes, we all know that Cape Town represents a financial bonanza for those fleeing ANC-occupied provinces. But the increasing trend to expeditiousness like this is quickly rendering the city uninhabitable. This project cannot proceed.	Nicholas	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:46:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		vic	retired
	2026-06-24 09:47:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This proposal reeks of corruption from beginning to end.	Brett	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:54:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City's avoidance of legal procedures, the negation of the public's opinion, and not considering even obvious impacts e.g. the traffic around the hospital, are occuring more frequently.	Erika	retired
	2026-06-24 09:58:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Eternity	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:58:55	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Gorden Hill-Lewis must go, he is pushing these developments and this has nothing to do with upliftment etc.	Lodi	
	2026-06-24 10:05:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Heidi	
	2026-06-24 10:06:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		WILLIAM	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:08:57	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	De Oude Molen has a whole community working there, earning a livelihood. Where are these people going to move to, and how are they going to sustain themselves? It is no good talking about how many job opportunities will be created when even more will be lost when the current community is forced to leave.	Maryke	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:20:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Pierre	
	2026-06-24 10:22:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	More public input is required before another oversized developement is placed on a unique part of Cape Town.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 10:22:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jason	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:23:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	How dare the City use my tax money to pay for economic migrant's free housing when local residents in places like Ocean View retirees go homeless. how dare they use my tax money to create a scheme that will profit comrade developers - the corruption is so blatant it is an insult to our intelligence. Time for being reasonable is pasted, we do not need corrupt idiots like this in control of our tax revenue.	Jimi	retired
	2026-06-24 10:24:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I didn't even need to read to the end of the list of lies and violations by the stakeholders and officials involved. They must be stopped. And the land needs to be left alone to be unblemished as nature intended.	Jonathan	
	2026-06-24 10:28:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jo	
	2026-06-24 10:29:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Yet another example of poor governance. Usually this is reserved for the ANC but seems the DA now also falling into the trap. It is a huge concern when rules apply to some and not to others. City of Cape Town - get your house in order.	Steve	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:30:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kobus	retired
	2026-06-24 10:32:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gill	
	2026-06-24 10:40:57	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Tino	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:42:38	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The COCT has become so arrogant and believe that they cando as they wish without any community input. The farce about it being advertised?? Total disgrace that they are prepared to destroy public and community space in the name of greed. Just filling up their own back pockets. The DA are no better than the ANC when it comes to corrupt deals? The whole process is done backwards. First get the developers on board, have the plans drawn up, which takes months and years, and then the last thing on the list is to place a small notice on a fence on the site for objections and public are given two weeks to a month to object. King David Golf Course, Oude Molen site, destroying the environment with the potential Phelan e-Fuel and solar project in Hopefield? No pubiic participation! Shocking DA!	Pat	retired
	2026-06-24 10:46:03	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Thomas	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:46:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		John	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 10:47:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Eugene	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:03:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Hildegarde	retired
	2026-06-24 11:07:48	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Edna	employed individual

	2026-06-24 11:10:50	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Disgraceful and totally dishonest!	Rob	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:20:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Do not resort to BS to get approval. Where something is wrong then re-do it properly to see the true picture of what is planned. It cannot be fixed after the event. There appears to be no increase in employment, or if there is it is minimal. So, don't even mention this as a factor. Also, increased traffic is likely, so rectifying this has to be considered in the costing of the project. The suggested affordable housing is likely to be a misnomer, since the project sounds to be a more high cost area. This will change the housing into high priced housing DO the proper planning, no short cuts or BS please.	john	retired
	2026-06-24 11:21:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Deirdre	retired
	2026-06-24 11:26:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I am a long-term resident and ratepayer of Cape Town's Southern Suburbs. I submit this objection in the strongest possible terms. The proposed high-density redevelopment of the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct must be refused in its entirety. The Western Cape Government's own heritage authority has already ruled the application fundamentally non-compliant. On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee dismissed the applicant's appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment under Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. The City of Cape Town is nevertheless proceeding with the land-use application. This is not planning. This is contempt for statutory process. 1. The Heritage Process Has Already Failed – The City Has No Lawful Basis to Proceed The HIA was rejected in June 2025. The appeal was dismissed on 10 June 2026. The refusal rested on the applicant's misapplication of the NHRA. Consultants adopted a restrictive "vertical" transmission model that dismissed post-1994 living heritage, intangible heritage, and community-transmitted practices protected under Section 2(xxi) and Section 3. They ignored the horizontal, living reality of the site: thirty years of continuous therapeutic, educational, cultural and ecological use that continues a 130-year institutional legacy of land-based care dating back to Valkenberg's establishment in 1891. First Nations groups, including the Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal with its 600-tree indigenous food forest, have objected. Their living cultural practices on the site were not properly consulted or assessed. No area-wide heritage assessment of the Two Rivers Urban Park corridor has been completed. Instead, the process has been fragmented to fast-track this single development. This is the very definition of piecemeal destruction of a cultural landscape. A competent authority cannot lawfully entertain a land-use application when the mandatory preceding heritage authorisation has been refused after full appeal. To do so renders the entire public participation exercise a sham. It also exposes the City to successful review under PAJA for irrational and procedurally unfair administrative action. 2. Predetermination and Misrepresentation to Investors In November 2025, at the Western Cape Investment Summit, official government materials marketed the Oude Molen Precinct as a "derisked" opportunity. The "Deal Book" claimed: Heritage authorisation would be completed by July 2025 (it had already been rejected in June 2025); The site was "derisked" with secured rights (no such rights existed); Public participation had been completed (it was ongoing and remains ongoing); Project close-out was expected by May 2026 (the heritage appeal was only dismissed in June 2026). These statements were false at the time they were made to investors. They were not minor errors. They demonstrate a predetermined outcome being sold to the market while the public was still being invited to "participate." This is not transparent governance. It is the marketing of unapproved development rights. It violates every principle of administrative justice. The Development Framework itself locks in maximum height, bulk and density parameters while the public visuals and models systematically under-depict the true scale. Once approved, future public input on actual built form is largely constrained. This is not participation. This is the illusion of participation after the parameters have already been fixed. 3. Destruction of a Functioning Social Ecosystem That Delivers Real Public Value Oude Molen is not vacant or underutilised land. It is a 13-hectare working social and therapeutic ecosystem that has operated for over thirty years at minimal cost to the taxpayer. It includes: The Robin Trust, providing Alzheimer's and dementia care, step-down care and carer training since 1994; Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School serving nearly 400 learners; Oude Molen Stables delivering equine-assisted therapy for disabled children and organisations such as Open Circle; Horticultural therapy gardens working directly with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital patients; Transitional housing (O Grace Land); The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal and its indigenous food forest; A broader community of small enterprises, artists and practitioners continuing the Valkenberg therapeutic landscape tradition. This is not abstract "heritage." This is living, measurable public benefit: care for the elderly with dementia, therapy for disabled children, rehabilitation for psychiatric patients, education, urban agriculture, and cultural continuity. These services are integrated and mutually reinforcing. Displacing them destroys a proven model of community-based care that actually works. The claim that 1,364 new residential units will "address spatial apartheid" while destroying this ecosystem is grotesque. The 34% "social and affordable" housing allocation is explicitly non-binding and contingent on future financial feasibility assessments. There is no enforceable mechanism. Once the land is rezoned and alienated, the developer can (and almost certainly will) argue that full affordability is no longer viable. The promise is bait. The switch is luxury or investor units on public land. Job claims of 900 new full-time equivalents are equally hollow. They ignore the certain loss of the 410+ positions at Robin Trust alone, plus jobs at the schools, stables, gardens and related services. Many of these are meaningful, skilled therapeutic and care roles. Generic retail and office jobs do not replace them. Net employment impact is likely negative. 4. Environmental Recklessness and Absence of Proper Assessment The site borders the Black River wetland system, a documented habitat for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. It functions as a critical urban green lung in an already heavily developed corridor. No independent Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted for this proposal. Cumulative impacts from the cluster of major developments along the Two Rivers corridor (Conradie Park, Riverlands, King David Mowbray Golf Course redevelopment and others) have not been properly assessed. High-density concrete and hard landscaping on this scale will increase stormwater runoff, reduce biodiversity, and place irreversible pressure on an already stressed wetland system. The absence of a proper EIA is not a technicality. It is a fundamental failure to apply the precautionary principle to a sensitive ecological interface. Approving this development without it would be environmentally reckless. 5. Infrastructure Strain and Public Safety Risks The applicant admits traffic impacts will be significant. The site sits adjacent to Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 trauma unit and Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital. Introducing 1,364 new residential units plus substantial commercial floor space will create additional gridlock on already constrained roads. This directly threatens emergency access for trauma patients and compromises the therapeutic buffer around a psychiatric facility. No confirmed budget or PRASA commitment exists for the proposed new train station. Schools in the area are already over capacity. No holistic, independent audit of cumulative infrastructure capacity (roads, sewage, electricity, stormwater, education, health) across the entire Two Rivers corridor has been presented. The City is being asked to approve a major densification node on the basis of wishful thinking and unverified claims. This is not transit-oriented development. It is development-first, infrastructure-later planning that endangers lives. 6. Alienation of Public Land and Governance Failure The Oude Molen Precinct is publicly owned. Its current use delivers tangible social, medical, educational, ecological and cultural returns to the broader Cape Town community at low cost. The proposal replaces this with a commercial mixed-use scheme .	D	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:38:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		johan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:02:07	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cornelius	
	2026-06-24 12:02:31	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ingrid	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:12:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Luis	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:23:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		lj	retired
	2026-06-24 12:23:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		lj	retired
	2026-06-24 12:23:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	In light of the valid objectives raised the motive that they nevertheless want to push the project through should be highly concerning. It must be stopped until all the concerns are properly addressed.	Quintin	retired
	2026-06-24 12:28:14	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Suana	
	2026-06-24 12:40:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kashifa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:41:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		andre	retired
	2026-06-24 12:50:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City is continually trying to pass authority to build even though they know it is illegal. One needs to look at the broader back ground as to how the Tribunal works and the cloak and dagger tactics employed by the City. If development must happen, get the proper authority, the proper way.	pieter	retired
	2026-06-24 12:52:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Oude Molen deserves careful consideration, not rushed approval. This development threatens existing jobs, places pressure on a critical emergency access route, and raises serious concerns about whether public participation has been meaningful. Heritage, community wellbeing, and responsible planning must come before irreversible decisions.	Sylvia	retired
	2026-06-24 12:53:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zuraydah	

	2026-06-24 12:53:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Siza	
	2026-06-24 12:54:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Antony	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:00:08	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The City of Cape Town claims to be transparent and acting in the best interests of its rate payers. The manner in which this project has been approached is reprehensible and smacks of corruption at a deep level. Even superficially, it does not take the actual needs of rate payers into account . The historical, visual, educational, employment and traffic aspects are critical but have been whitewashed.	Rowan	
	2026-06-24 13:02:07	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	All the information available suggests that the City is misleading it's residents with nice sounding ideas that will ultimately not translate into brick and mortar once the project is handed off to private developers whose only motivation is financial gain. Phrases like "affordable housing" are meaningless under the sort of loose framework being proposed for this site's development, as they will simply be ignored when the financial reality sets in. Further, the loss of the heritage sites and activities that currently take place at the site, many of which are aimed at supporting the poor and vulnerable as well as groups previously marginalised, would be a devastating blow for our country's culture and history. We've lost enough already; do we really need to throw away what we have left so that a few rich people can become even richer? All this is before we even get to concrete issues like sewage and transport. We're told transport issues will be alleviated by a light rail station that PRASA knows nothing about and has no plans to build. Traffic is already an issue around this area of the city; with an important hospital nearby, the risk to patients being transported there in an emergency is made so much greater as traffic density increases. Finally, the thought of replacing a beautiful green area with an ugly (because let's be honest, it's going to look awful no matter what the fancy brochures show) concrete and steel block is a terrible idea, and the people who will have to live with that are not the people who are going to be developing it and making money out of it.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 13:08:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Patric	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:10:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		M	
	2026-06-24 13:10:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		M	
	2026-06-24 13:25:07	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Dirk	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:37:52	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		John	retired
	2026-06-24 13:51:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Skye	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:53:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The developers as well as the officials responsible for the lies and miss presenting the facts should be charged and appear in court.	Juan	retired
	2026-06-24 14:13:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Mandy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:19:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Stephanie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:23:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Aldrick	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:33:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		R	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:34:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The WC government obviously do not care for its citizens and have started to sell them out, this is insidious at best and corrupt at worst.	Asakhe	student
	2026-06-24 14:48:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		allan	
	2026-06-24 14:54:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The Impact Assessment for the development on the publically owned Oude Molen Precinct was an exercise in deception for the following reasons: 1. It violates Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. 2. The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale of the development. 3. The mooted public school is to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. The existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. The stated gain of 900 jobs in fact represents a loss in jobs. 5. Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) was defective to say the least. 6. When international investors were briefed they were lied to in that the heritage authorization was not, as stated, completed by July 2025; that the public participation exercise had not been completed and that development rights had not been secured.	Cassandra	
	2026-06-24 15:25:57	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nina	retired
	2026-06-24 15:29:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I had an associate / friend who lived and worked at Oude Moulen for many years. I understand the beneifit of such a project in a densely crowded city. Depleting open city spaces, endangering livelihoods and lifestyles seems to be the rabid intent of this city council. Having abused housing in the Western Cape for many years, it seems the council uses any available space to fit it's corrective/unpopular agenda. Councils Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Erasure of Living Heritage by Council: The developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. City Councils push for the Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem is unacceptable: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Councils deceitful Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages. Straining the already well used access road is certainly undesirable as this is used to access Vincent Palotti Hospital in times of emergency. It seems that the serious misrepersntations by the City Council of many of the proposals set forth certainly, in my mind, indicates the City Council to be untruthful, devious and not to be trusted. AN absolutely NO to this proposed developement.	TERENCE	
	2026-06-24 15:35:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Yvette	
	2026-06-24 15:53:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Daniel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:09:01	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Margaretha e	
	2026-06-24 16:25:10	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Dave	retired
	2026-06-24 16:33:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cindy	retired

	2026-06-24 16:44:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		uta	retired
	2026-06-24 17:14:47	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I object strongly to the apparent miscommunication including heritage concerns, job creation not correctly compared to potential job losses, affordability of housing, no plans for affordable schooling. the unanswered question is who benefits the most? And if it's not the current community then this is disingenuous and very disappointing . this is a call for the DA to do better	Barbara	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:54:44	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Tania	employed individual
	2026-06-24 17:57:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Colleen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:57:41	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Colleen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:57:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Colleen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:05:24	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Ellen	retired
	2026-06-24 18:18:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	CCT need to do a holistic study of available and possible available land within the CCT boundaries, and this includes huge tracts of land owned by the state e.g. Ysterplaat Airport, Wingfield Military Base and land owned by Transnet indiscriminately used as Container storage depots, dotted all over causing all sorts of traffic and road crumbling problems, as well as being unsightly. Voortrekker Road towards Maitland from Salt River is a prime example. It is time to create a huge container terminal near the port, possibly taking over Paarden Eiland with an underground pass into the area, from the port.	Mike	retired
	2026-06-24 18:42:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Riad	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:29:04	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	If we allow this to go through and built,... we will once again give all other corrupt officials the green light to get their greedy paws on future projects, We have need due diligence to ensure accountability. Then take action against those responsible when the evidence presents itself. It's the only way of stopping corruption.	Linda	
	2026-06-24 19:57:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		henkel	
	2026-06-24 21:17:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Marita	
	2026-06-24 21:34:31	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Andre	
	2026-06-25 00:51:10	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		John	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 05:08:33	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Christopher	
	2026-06-25 06:16:02	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nicola	
	2026-06-25 06:45:32	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Steven	
	2026-06-25 08:07:33	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	If the developer is this dishonest at this point of the development then I will not trust him with any development . Developments like this is all about the profit margin and building quality is up to shit or there is a deviation from the plans and bad building practices.	Paul	self-employed
	2026-06-25 08:07:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	If the developer is this dishonest at this point of the development then I will not trust him with any development . Developments like this is all about the profit margin and building quality is up to shit or there is a deviation from the plans and bad building practices.	Paul	self-employed
	2026-06-25 08:16:25	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Chris	retired
	2026-06-25 09:28:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Following the recent damning 3 part report into the workings of the Municipal Planning Tribunal of the City of Cape Town by Kevin Bloom of Daily Maverick, all proposed developments of this scale should be thoroughly scrutinised for 'vested interest' bias.	Audrey	self-employed
	2026-06-25 09:36:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	We cannot allow the city to disregard building development laws that the public are expected to follow. Anything based on the deliberate misleading of the public, must be stopped.	Desiree	unemployed individual
	2026-06-25 10:11:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Michel	retired
	2026-06-25 11:15:40	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The dishonesty already displayed regarding this development tells me that government is fully aware that this is not an acceptable development. Stop taking what isn't yours to take and turning it into something that does not serve the needs of your constituents.	Angie	employed individual
	2026-06-25 11:25:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		ENGELA	
	2026-06-25 12:28:09	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	An inclusive process, founded on integrity is critical to ensure that the best interests of all Capetonians are ensured.	Tony	self-employed
	2026-06-25 12:40:58	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	An inclusive process, founded on integrity is critical to ensure that the best interests of all Capetonians are ensured.	Erica	
	2026-06-25 12:59:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jonathan	
	2026-06-25 13:12:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Anna	employed individual
	2026-06-25 13:18:38	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	No planning, no concern, no shits given by local government as usual. My vote is not going to the DA again until they get their crap together.	cristine	
	2026-06-25 15:42:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This appears to be nothing more than a developer who has no interest in anything other than the amount of money they are going to put in their, and other 'deserving' peoples pockets whilst destroying the existing establish community and jobs.	Anthony	retired
	2026-06-25 16:59:36	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The Dear South Africa email reveals: “Official project documentation reveals deep structural flaws, misreported metrics, and direct evidence of predetermination.”. This is very concerning. If the application documents are based on a lie, there is no surety going forward that this space will actually offer affordable housing or that anyone will benefit other than the developers. In addition to this, this is a heritage site and sensitive ecosystem which should be respected. Another point of concern is that access to the Vincent Pallotti Hospital will be severely compromised, thus putting many lives at risk.	Kim	
	2026-06-25 20:26:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Vivienne	retired
	2026-06-25 23:21:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Caron	self-employed

	2026-06-26 06:50:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It appears as if undue pressure is used for development with non binding agreements for affordable housing and development, and developers can do what they like with no input from citizens No proper AIH and TRUP and wetland protection rules done and lying about it to rush development though It smells like a "vrot" rat and self enrichment for certain elitest, greedy self enrichment	Olga	retired
	2026-06-26 08:29:51	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It is unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. The “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Xander	retired
	2026-06-26 09:59:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Wolfgang	employed individual
	2026-06-26 10:01:32	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Keith	retired
	2026-06-26 10:14:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jodie	
	2026-06-26 15:13:02	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The whole thing smacks of gross corruption, collusion by moneyed city official with other agendas who have inveigled themselves into positions of influence for their own selfish money profit motives with NO concern for the BIGGER and MORE IMPORTANT issue of the ecosystem and the exceptional care given at Robin Trust. Just because theses same people think that Robin trust is irrelevant Harry think they can get rid of it, look to where the money is and that’s where the corruption and and undue influence is.. for Heaven’s sake Cape Town and Table mountain are world heritage sites NOT because of concrete monstrosities but BECAUSE OF the unique and delicately balanced ecosystem	Lovtag	business owner
	2026-06-26 16:56:21	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Colleen	retired
	2026-06-26 17:15:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This proposal reflects the incredible tone deafness of the administration/ City of Cape Town. There are numerous sites in the greater CBD which should be developed for high density, low cost housing and which offer opportunity for greater connection to work opportunities for the potential inhabitants with the advantage of local transport infrastructure and services. This site does not offer anything of the sort and displaces a great number of viable community resourses and jobs. If the DA proceed with this sort of green/ whitewashing of issues which should be open to real public debate and input, they will be losing a great number of votes in the coming election, including mine. This smacks of high level greed on the part of government, and of course the potential developers.	David	retired
	2026-06-26 17:30:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Today I don't support this development or any new developments around the Pinelands area until the city has met its obligations it promised originally when it started doing developments in areas, starting with the Grand West Casino. It was to fix all the roads to where they were all dual carriageway around Pinelands. This hasn't been completed, nor has it been done when it was promised for the upgrade of Riverlands, as well as the upgrade of Howard Centre, as well as the developments in the NWND Business Area. Now the new Shoprite Check is developed near Howard Centre, and the total lack of provision along Forest Drive for pull-off areas for taxis and buses. The developers also are not meeting their obligations that the city had. There are no schools in the new Conradi development that were promised. Developments are beyond schedule, and the road infrastructure is not there to support it. There's only one in-and-out road, where there should be another one, or the bridge over to Viking Way.	David	business owner
	2026-06-26 21:45:08	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sarena	retired
	2026-06-26 21:49:36	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Michele	retired
	2026-06-26 22:09:34	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ursula	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 08:55:11	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kebi	retired
	2026-06-27 10:02:17	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Helen	retired
	2026-06-27 11:33:02	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Peter	retired
	2026-06-27 12:23:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	How is no one being investigated for fraud and corruption for this??? Make it make sense!	Kevin	business owner
	2026-06-27 12:24:28	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Proper processes have not been followed, most notably, public participation. This is the first true public participation and the public does not agree to this false representation.	Clint	
	2026-06-27 12:31:29	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	For evil to flourish good people simply have to do nothing. That is why South Africa is in the mess it is - because people are too afraid or apathetic to criticise this government's corrupt practices. This is yet another such example where the connected, corrupt elite (with the money) make carte blanche decisions without following their own procedures and always at the cost of the poor, the marginalized and the defenceless. I strongly condemn the heavy-handed tactics being employed by all and sundry to push this 'development process' through while there are so many other far more pressing needs that the democratically elected government has PROMISED to attend to for to it's citizens but have failed miserably to do.	Kenneth	retired
	2026-06-27 17:59:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Please rethink this project very carefully, considering the consequential loss of these "few" hecters for future generations. This piece of land will be forever lost to ruins.	Anna	retired
	2026-06-28 09:01:20	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Fiona	self-employed
	2026-06-28 11:29:48	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nigel	retired
	2026-06-28 13:10:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Besides the fact that the public participation process is fundamentally flawed , if approved it sets a dangerous and illegal precedent for all future developments. The DA, when elected did not disclose in their manifesto that they will be selling public land. This is a betrayal of the voters mandate to the DA.	Percy	retired
	2026-06-28 17:22:51	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Lucille	retired
	2026-06-28 19:12:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zuzelle	self-employed
	2026-06-28 19:42:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Loss of existing community ecosystem, damage to the natural ecosystem and natural heritage	Ingrid	self-employed
	2026-06-29 04:24:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		mark	retired
	2026-06-29 11:51:45	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This is another development that does not adhere to the laws relating to land use and appropriate usage. it seems that the concerns of the public are not being taken into account. it appears that the Cape Town municipality is developing with no concern about the ecosystem and its flora and fauna and the maintenance of green areas for public use. The infrastructure is presently inadequate and requires substantial repairs and maintenance.	Bronwyn	retired
	2026-06-29 15:06:19	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Arlette	retired

	2026-06-29 17:50:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Concerns about heavy traffic close to Vincent Palotti - time for emergency vehicles to enter and exit will become longer due to congestion. Can existing infrastructure handle so many more dwellings? Invasion of privacy for neighboring Pinelands homes having many windows from towering buildings looking into their yards and watching their coming and going. Misleading visuals - rezoning is applying for a 7 storey building and visuals only show a 5 storey building.	Emily	employed individual
	2026-06-29 17:50:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Concerns about heavy traffic close to Vincent Palotti - time for emergency vehicles to enter and exit will become longer due to congestion. Can existing infrastructure handle so many more dwellings? Invasion of privacy for neighboring Pinelands homes having many windows from towering buildings looking into their yards and watching their coming and going. Misleading visuals - rezoning is applying for a 7 storey building and visuals only show a 5 storey building.	Emily	employed individual
	2026-06-30 07:55:59	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Tania	retired
	2026-06-30 08:30:39	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Wilful Destruction of indigenous land is not allowed. It's environmental heritage related to people and place. There is land outside the heritage belt which is not considered as the development is not for the indigene working class families of the Cape flats	Carlo1st	business owner
	2026-06-30 08:40:16	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The DA government is certainly prioritizing it's own agenda, and this is not right. We have rules that you are supposed to reside over, not break!!!	Tracy L	business owner
	2026-06-30 09:15:22	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jozlyn	student
	2026-06-30 09:15:24	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Marlene	retired
	2026-06-30 09:19:58	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Western Cape Gov. for you,??? What a laugh, slogan should be We Western Cape Gov. will take from the people.!! The DA are governing exactly as the same as the destructive ANC, just in a more diplomatic way. I see more and more how money is the destructive key in everything the DA are planning going forward. Where is the respect for the people, what is important to the people, what the people believe in and what is dear to them. The DA take chances, add clean up fees on your bill just to be increased every year. They up fees at dramatic rates, increase valuations, increase costs in the Western Cape, soon no one except the wealthy will be able to live here. A clear indication that their destructive goal is money, the root of all evil. Where are the days the DA gave a damn, down with the DA.	Norman	business owner
	2026-06-30 13:45:30	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ingrid	
	2026-06-30 14:25:57	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gail	retired
	2026-06-30 15:45:53	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ronald	
	2026-06-30 17:54:05	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Gross mismanagement of public property by authorities who simply bend or ignore rules. As noted elsewhere with densification policies these same authorities are destroying the local landscape to the detriment of communities in the area and the people of CapeTown	Richard	retired
	2026-06-30 18:53:24	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable services of high public value, much of it free or low cost, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Heike	unemployed individual
	2026-06-30 19:56:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Nazley	
	2026-06-30 23:32:27	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Ian	retired
	2026-07-01 12:13:54	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Gabbi	
	2026-07-01 15:41:13	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jennifer	self-employed
	2026-07-01 21:24:49	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Rene	business owner
	2026-07-02 11:49:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Andre	retired
	2026-07-03 15:12:18	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kim	
	2026-07-04 08:13:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	It is absolutely ridiculous that every single open space is now being targeted to redress unfound claims of housing is needed! What BS. Stop putting cement everywhere and do the research - people, all people! Need green spaces. Multi functional green spaces!!!	Tracy	employed individual
	2026-07-07 12:59:42	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There are severa; issues at play here and need to be addressed COMPLETELY before any negotions proceed! 1) Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. 2) Erasure of Living Heritage: developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores "horizontal" community transmission and mistakes "archival silence" (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. 3) Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. 4) Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the "one-third affordable housing" pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. 5) Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Dr. Adele	self-employed
	2026-07-08 11:43:37	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Jen	business owner
	2026-07-12 22:53:35	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Pietet	retired
	2026-07-14 11:34:23	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Michel	retired
	2026-07-20 11:17:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Charl	
	2026-07-31 07:52:46	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Aneeka	employed individual
	2026-08-13 08:03:12	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Zaan	
	2026-08-14 15:56:00	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There are numerous worthy users of the land whose tenure should be preserved. I am particularly invested in the continuation of the community project by Robin Trust and the Montessori pre-school ,but there are also other projects that should not be evicted. The future use should be negotiated by all parties with a stake rather than imposed by the City	Brian	Non-profit

	2026-08-28 06:07:26	No I do not	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Anthony	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:44:23	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Bronwyn	
	2026-06-23 14:12:49	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Gerhard	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:47:00	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	The preservation of our land, people and future prosperity for all has been ignored. How will this project look 100 years now? I expect most respondents will admit, "Not good".	Lindsay	
	2026-06-23 14:55:48	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	The developmental pressures on CoCT are immense given the huge influx of semi-grants and the need to bring homes closer to jobs. But correct procedures need to be followed. This does not seem to be the case in this instance. Answers are never just right or wrong. Polls remain an option when all else fails.	Matt	retired
	2026-06-23 15:35:53	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	We really have no alternative but to protect & preserve the beauty, & the infrastructure, that we are blessed with. This must be preserved for the generations to come. Please stop spoiling our precious environment. The proposed "development" will detract from the spacious & wonderful characteristics that are the elements of our city's urban character.	Michael	retired
	2026-06-23 16:08:19	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		John	
	2026-06-23 16:54:13	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Matt	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:59:47	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Dillan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:53:06	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	This development will lead to loss of ecosystems, employment, green spaces and cause environment damage	Judy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:13:37	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: The financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and entirely contingent on the outcomes of future private developer financial feasibility studies. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Mary-G	
	2026-06-23 19:35:10	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Opponents argue it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Deborah	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:21:38	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	Can the city planners please stop destroying Cape Town - of course we need to densify but follow due process and balance development with heritage	Barbara	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:23:33	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	I don't agree. Please protect our heritage.	Stefan	
	2026-06-24 08:11:21	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Gwynneth	
	2026-06-24 08:28:05	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Caroline	
	2026-06-24 08:51:23	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	A lot of objections. Present historic use not taken into account. Infrastructure not able to support the development. Densification to unacceptable levels. Schools not planned properly. High rise in that area not acceptable.	patrick	retired
	2026-06-24 09:42:38	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	Once again the GREEDY DEVELOPERS are trying to hood wink the public into destroying a Heritage area promising a better life as the people will be closer to amenities 'they are needing'!! There are not enough roads, electric supply, sewage & refuse removal facilities. Then there is the rivers that if Cape Town gets a more than annual rainfall , will overflow their banks. Has it not been noted in Touws River, Rawsonville, to name a couple of disasters. At present 410 workers have permanent jobs, for a short period 900 workers will have jobs & then be out of work! The plans have not been thought through	Erica	
	2026-06-24 10:07:26	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Gayle	
	2026-06-24 14:25:13	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Elsie	
	2026-06-25 07:19:08	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		helen	
	2026-06-25 10:43:51	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations. Furthermore, the 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles. Also, an area-wide Heritage Impact Assessment for the broader, deeply historic Two Rivers Urban Park landscape was never completed. The overarching heritage process was abruptly suspended, and the Local Spatial Development Framework was pushed through regardless. By deferring heritage impacts to individual sites and then choosing to ignore site-level rejections, the City is systematically fragmenting a contiguous cultural landscape without evaluating cumulative, landscape-scale damage.	M	self-employed
	2026-06-26 10:37:27	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Andre	
	2026-06-26 21:30:53	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Johanna	retired
	2026-06-27 04:57:07	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Colleen	Non-profit
	2026-06-27 09:38:16	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Wendy	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 21:35:30	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	it started with the precious riverclub wetland ... where will it end? no no no we have a glass monstrosity on our wetland lung in southern suburb ... keep something sacred ... a place for a few horses and people trying to keep life simple when the corporates sweep away our greenery. sis to the da and what they are doing to cape town	derek	retired
	2026-06-27 23:07:17	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Tereza	self-employed
	2026-06-28 16:31:30	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	If the rezoning proceeds, it will undermine the reason for any other developer to follow protocol by submitting heritage and environmental impact assessments for approval by professional bodies. It undermines the constitution and the rights of South Africans who will be directly affected by the extent of the development.	Chantelle	self-employed
	2026-07-01 15:42:05	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	This flawed process is no different from the flawed process the Western Cape Government followed with regard to the Tafelberg School Provincial Heritage Site development where the HIA was also rejected for similar reasons. As with the Two Rivers Development, the residents of Sea Point support the inclusion of social housing but not at the expense of decimating the precious heritage.	Gordon	self-employed

	2026-08-13 06:21:17	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		John	business owner
	2026-08-19 18:08:37	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		David	
	2026-09-05 12:33:14	No I do not	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Basson	
	2026-06-23 13:52:17	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	If the statutory heritage authority has found the heritage assessment to be inadequate, and so many substantive objections remain unresolved, on what basis is the Western Cape Government continuing to pursue the development? This creates a perception that institutional and economic objectives are being advanced ahead of heritage protection, environmental stewardship, and meaningful public participation. In short, doing much the same as the ANC Government.	Liret	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:57:35	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Outrageous abuse of authority! How many pockets were lined while officials pay lip service to 'public participation'? It seems they are selling off Cape Town to the highest bidder.	Gizelle	
	2026-06-23 14:02:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Personally I can't help feeling that the CoCT mayor and his "development planning" team are involved with "developers" in some sort of self-enrichment deal. I can only sincerely hope this will end up with a court ruling against this CoCT proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct in Pineland .	Ian	retired
	2026-06-23 14:03:26	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Moray	
	2026-06-23 14:03:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Decisions with as far reaching an impact as this cannot be rubber stamped by council. THe rejection of the Heritage EIA appears to faciltate exactly that!	Alastair	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:03:53	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Decisions with as far reaching an impact as this cannot be rubber stamped by council. THe rejection of the Heritage EIA appears to faciltate exactly that!	Alastair	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:04:18	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	City of Cape Town are pushing through hundreds of inappropriate developments, contrary to public opinion. It needs to stop!	Wendy	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:08:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		GAVIN	
	2026-06-23 14:10:20	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Looks like they just want to steam roller everything. Have they not learned their lesson with the rates debacle where they got serious egg on their face??	Andre	retired
	2026-06-23 14:18:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Zane	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:25:37	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Callie	
	2026-06-23 14:37:12	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		sean	retired
	2026-06-23 14:44:02	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 14:45:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Once again we see the city council adopting a stance that suits the elite. There is no consideration of the impact of the lack of road contruction and usage in any planning by the useless DA city council or management	Charles	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:53:20	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Mark	
	2026-06-23 15:01:51	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		William	retired
	2026-06-23 15:02:08	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	So here is our "15 minute city" as the globalist want. Lack of transport infrastructure, schools, loss of jobs, no heritage eco system, major traffic congestion on an already extremely busy road and definitely no public participation. This has already been predetermined by officials with no proper public participation. The rich will get richer and the poor poorer and the citizens who elected their ministers to represent them in Government are being brushed off like dirt.	Ursula	retired
	2026-06-23 15:06:38	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Trevor	
	2026-06-23 15:10:50	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Why , oh why, do those purporting to be in charge want to densify the essential open spaces in and around Greater Cape Town, when those recreation open spaces are critical to the beauty that is Cape Town? There is plenty of open spaces slightly further afield and it would certainly ease the traffic situation and pollution. Don't do it!	Jeremy	
	2026-06-23 15:13:53	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Dennis	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:17:36	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	More and more the DA in Cape Towns confirms my decision NOT to vote for them again. The are undemocratic and just like the ANC in the way they force things though and ignore the very citizens they are suppose to represent. They are not public servants, they are arrogant rulers who think we have to serve them. Enough of the nonsense. (The recent court cases prove my point)	Piet	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:36:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Maria	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:46:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I wish we had a more effective independent investigations arm to research "who benefits? " and bring winnable prosecutions. This is another "fine print" scam and some senior officials will obviously personally benefit greatly. Proving it is very difficult...	Dermot	retired
	2026-06-23 15:55:29	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	It is simply unacceptable that the COCT chooses to by pass due process irrespective of the intention behind such conduct. COCT claims that is a shining example of proper governance and administration and accordingly should be held to that standard.	Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:02:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Barry	retired
	2026-06-23 16:03:15	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Evan	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 16:09:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Shaun	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:18:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The CoCT is acting in bad faith and therfore this development plan must be restarted and follow proper procedure with relevant input by residents	Chris	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:41:29	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Shocking.....the scheming and plotting only for MONEY	Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:48:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Stop making the "public comments" exercise a difficult process with limited time, predetermined decisions and false information provided. DO IT CORRECTLY! seems the DA is learning from the ANC how to ignore citizens!	Karel	
	2026-06-23 16:55:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I object to the proposed development due to clear procedural flaws, misrepresentation, and public risk. The process is proceeding despite unresolved heritage compliance, rendering any advancement of land-use rights premature and unlawful. The development's true scale is also being misrepresented through attractive visual models while binding maximum height, bulk, and density parameters are fixed in the background, effectively limiting any meaningful future public input. In addition, what was initially framed as public infrastructure has shifted to a private, high-fee school, reducing community benefit. The claimed economic and transport benefits are misleading. Job creation is calculated against an unrealistic baseline, ignoring the substantial number of existing jobs on the site, resulting in a likely net loss of employment. At the same time, the surrounding road network is already critically congested, and the added traffic will directly impact access to a nearby Level 1 trauma hospital, posing a serious risk to emergency response times. Evidence that the outcome of this process may have been predetermined further undermines its credibility. On these grounds, the application should not proceed in its current form.	Theo	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:57:41	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Lize	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:58:08	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	We need transparency from our officials who make decisions concerning heritage sites and environmental impact effects.We are already gridlocked as far as traffic is concerned in that area.More traffic will have an adverse overall effect,bad news.In a fast growing city like Cape Town,sound judgement and a PROFESSIONAL approach is needed at all levels and at all times.Egos must remain in check at all times.	Russell	retired
	2026-06-23 17:12:39	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Geoff	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:19:23	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The DA and City of Cape Town has proved again that corruption and stealing from the citizens is the order of the day. Incomplete environmental studies, ignoring heritage findings, mis-information about the development project plans. The DA are NOT for the people. They are for themselves. The political class should be banned from having anything to do with deciding the future of this area or any other public land. The citizens should vote on all decisions. Claiming that the DA rule by mandate is capitilizing on the fact that capetonians feel they have no choice but to vote DA, so they can act with impunity.	marc	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:32:00	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This is another example of city officials trying to by-pass proper procedures. If that is the case, who gains? (Follow the money?) It is certainly easy to see who loses if the project goes ahead--the public in the whole city area, more precisely those in the Pinelands precinct. getting to the Vincent Pallotti Hospital at times would prove to be a nightmare, or worse for compromised patients.	Clive	retired
	2026-06-23 17:34:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		dylan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:36:33	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Clearly dishonesty is the name of the game here. With so much deception, a complete re-think is required, with no laws or rules broken and total transparency a must. It does not sound like a development that will add value. There also seems to be a total lack of integrity and great scope for corruption.	Celèste	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:37:28	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	No transparency	Keith	retired
	2026-06-23 17:37:28	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	No transparency	Keith	retired
	2026-06-23 17:39:11	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Sibylle	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:14:08	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Gavin	retired
	2026-06-23 18:25:03	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	"Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along." Utterly outrageous that land is being sold off to private developers and not developed in consultation with the local citizens and residents for mutual benefit in the long term. Look along the railway lines in and out of Cape TOwn for massive tracts left vacant - why not use those pieces of land and leave th elittle bit of green spaces we have left alone. What is next, repave the entire foot hills of Table mountain? Seriously disappointed	Farouk	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:36:29	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Another corrupt, opaque, deviant project by the City of Cape Town echoing the abomination of the Riverlands development.	Megan	self-employed

	2026-06-23 18:40:34	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Stop destroying our heritage for the sake of making a few people rich. Listen to the community. There is an election coming.	Henry	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:41:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Achmed	retired
	2026-06-23 18:43:34	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Cliff	
	2026-06-23 19:24:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Christa	retired
	2026-06-23 19:56:16	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jake	retired
	2026-06-23 20:09:44	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The decision maker is captured by the property developers. Public participation process is a farce and a ticj box exercise. No different to state capture	Alfred	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:17:17	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The city is all about "more housing", but they do not care about current residents who pay rates (for years) that are impacted. After it's build, the residents suffer with noise, fast driving cars, etc.	Trudie	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:11:13	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Marita	
	2026-06-23 21:28:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Thalia	retired
	2026-06-23 21:43:20	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Brian	
	2026-06-23 21:45:21	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This process needs to be properly transparent and procedurally fair. If this was happening in an ANC run city the DA would be crying foul. We don't need another governing group with double standards	Tina	
	2026-06-23 21:51:32	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Carine	retired
	2026-06-23 21:58:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Eugene	retired
	2026-06-23 22:06:01	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Ray	retired
	2026-06-23 22:07:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The push by the City of Cape Town to develop every bit of land in the area with a view to maximising rates income needs to stop. Rampant, unbridled, unaccountable development is a scourge, not a "right" of City officials to pursue an unmandated agenda.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 22:13:00	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jason	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:40:58	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This feels like something that is being decided by people who will not have to live with the consequences of the decision. In other words, those who currently live in or near Oude Molen or work there. Fancy buildings don't mean progress. We should have learned that by now.	Peter	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:57:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	If you do this, I'm never voting DA again.	James	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:01:15	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Lately, Cape Town's Municipality has begun giving off an unwelcome aura of "We know what's best for the city -- the public must just pay its rates and leave it to us to make whatever changes we think are good, even if in doing so we bend our own rules". This new plan for Oude Molen has all the hallmarks of this approach. A small but important point: The Robin Trust medical service has for a long time done excellent work in both its care for patients and its training of nurses. Any disruption of its work will be a blot on the City's record.	Anthony	retired
	2026-06-23 23:11:42	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Peter	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:23:18	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Hester	employed individual
	2026-06-24 00:17:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Respectfully, this sounds unlawful and disrespectful to many parties. Scrap the idea or get the proper approvals.	Kyle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 01:11:22	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	What we are seeing here is once again proof that the DA is prepared to try every trick in the book to deny the real owners of the Land to decide on the use of this particular piece of land. This is a heritage site for us as the First Nation. Our ancestors defeated the Portuguese under Francisco de Almeida in 1510 in the Battle of Black River. Not so long ago the same DA auctioned 50 pockets of land despite opposition from the First Nation groupings. They simply have no respect for the rights and opinions of the rightful owners. We as the indigenous people are being dictated to by White and Black foreigners on local and national levels respectively. Both groups are aware of who the Country belongs to but are prepared to gang up against the rightful owners. They deny us at every juncture. We will surely have to draw the battle lines again just to let them know that we are going to stand up against their evil plans.	Nick	retired
	2026-06-24 04:31:37	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Chris	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:33:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Greed blinds people to the truth and the cost is borne by the innocent	Darryl	retired
	2026-06-24 05:46:22	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jane	
	2026-06-24 05:57:33	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The following points clearly show that the entire project was not thought through properly: Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Opponents argue it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Theda	
	2026-06-24 06:07:34	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Ryan	
	2026-06-24 06:25:16	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Barbara	retired

Skip to content

Dear South Africa

Democratically Engaged, Active and Responsible

Menu

Oude Molen

479

comments individually delivered to the City, so far

No I do not support the development 459Yes I do 3Not fully 17

The Western Cape Government has applied to rezone and redevelop the 13-hectare, publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct in Pinelands.

The current proposal intends to replace a long-established, low-density green space with a high-density, eight-storey mixed-use development introducing roughly 1,364 residential units, extensive commercial office spaces, and retail infrastructure.

The Statutory Roadblock: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially dismissed the applicant's appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), confirming it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA). Despite the heritage authorization standing rejected, the City of Cape Town is pushing forward with the land-use application anyway. Public comments close on 30 June 2026.
click the link for more info, or scroll down to have your say

view info, statements, documents and videos

Have your say – shape your city.

view comments from other participants before you have a say

Do you support the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct in Pinelands?
Select your answerYes I doNo I do notNot fully

What is your concern? (scroll down for an explanation of each)
Select your answerHeritage process is incomplete & misappliedLoss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuityProcedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversightPredetermination of outcomes by officialsLoss of public land and open spaceNo area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridorCumulative development pressure on local infrastructureUnverified, non-binding affordable housing claimsEnvironmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetlandAll of the aboveOtherNo concern, I Support the Development

As you've chosen "all of the above", if you had to choose one concern, what would it be?
Select your answerHeritage process is incomplete & misappliedLoss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuityProcedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversightPredetermination of outcomes by officialsLoss of public land and open spaceNo area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridorCumulative development pressure on local infrastructureUnverified, non-binding affordable housing claimsEnvironmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland

Select your provinceWestern CapeEastern CapeKwaZulu-NatalNorth WestNorthern CapeFree StateMpumalangaLimpopoGautengOutside SA

the fields below are optional ——————————

Select your municipalityBeaufort WestBergrivierBitouBreede ValleyCape AgulhasCederbergCity of Cape TownDrakensteinGeorgeHessequaKannalandKnysnaLaingsburgLangebergMatzikamaMossel BayOudtshoornOverstrandPrince AlbertSaldanha BayStellenboschSwartlandSwellendamTheewaterskloofWitzenberg

Select your city or townAthloneAtlantisBelharBellvilleBlackheathBloubergBlue DownsBrackenfellCape PointCape TownDelftDurbanvilleElsies RivierFish HoekGoodwoodGordon's BayGrassy ParkGuguletuHout BayKhayelitshaKommetjieKraaifonteinKuils RiverLangaMacassarMatroosfonteinMelkbosstrandMilnertonMitchells PlainMuizenbergNoordhoekNyangaParowPhiladelphiaPhilippiRobben IslandScarboroughSimon's TownSir Lowry's PassSomerset WestSouthern SuburbsStrandTable Viewother

What is your preferred channel for feedback on this campaign?
Select your answerWhatsAppTelegramSMSe-mail

Your preferred language?
Select your answerEnglishAfrikaansisiZuluisiXhosa

What is your status? (for our reporting purposes only)
Select your answeremployed individualunemployed individualstudentself-employedbusiness ownerNon-profitretired

What is your status? (for our reporting purposes only)
Select your answeremployed individualunemployed individualstudentself-employedbusiness ownerNon-profitretired

Check your email address then hit send! You will be redirected to a confirmation page.

292Shares

Top concerns

Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied

The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.

Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity

Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight

Predetermination of outcomes by officials

Loss of public land and open space

No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor

Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure

Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims

Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland

Perspectives: What is the debate?

To help you make an informed decision, here is a summary of the core arguments being advanced by supporters and opponents of the redevelopment proposal:

What SUPPORTERS Say

What OPPONENTS Say

Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Pushing land-use approvals through while the HIA stands officially rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA is premature and legally non-compliant. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of First Nations endorsement as false.

Calculated Net Loss of Jobs: While the state promises 900 jobs, they hide the baseline reality. Robin Trust alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with the schools, stables, food gardens, and small enterprises, the site already supports hundreds of secure, local operational jobs. The proposal represents a net employment loss, not a gain.

The Private School Bait-and-Switch: Early public engagement used the promise of new educational facilities. However, Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report explicitly reveals that the proposed school will be private, noting that the Department of Education confirmed there is no planned public school provision for the site.

Threat to Level 1 Trauma Emergency Access: The Traffic Impact Assessment admits that capacity on Alexandra Road is already critically constrained at the Berkley and Raapenberg Road intersections. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto this bottleneck directly threatens emergency vehicle response times to the neighboring Vincent Pallotti Level 1 trauma unit.

Security & Operational Strains on Valkenberg Hospital: The proposal demolishes the historic secure buffer zone for Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital, placing vulnerable psychiatric patients at risk and severing long-standing land-based therapeutic and occupational therapy integrations.

Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores "horizontal" community transmission and mistakes "archival silence" (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history.

Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.

Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the "one-third affordable housing" pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes.

	2026-06-24 06:35:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Desmond	
	2026-06-24 06:47:20	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 06:54:37	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Excessive densification and relentless development as opposed to a measured approach that takes into account the communities and people of Cape Town and the history of Cape Town. Taking into account the growth direction that the residents want, as opposed to the corporate style growth and profit-driven ethos of the current governance of the City of Cape Town.	Tarquin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:02:57	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Olwen	retired
	2026-06-24 07:05:35	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Governments are there to be honest open with their planning and developments Most importantly to ensure no traffic chaos no loss of exciting jobs etc Grow the needs of the inhabitants in an orderly manner not to satisfy political pressures but to make sense in every aspect of the planning no short cuts that cannot be reversed at a later stage	Petroh	retired
	2026-06-24 07:07:45	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Charissa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:11:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Rob	retired
	2026-06-24 07:15:18	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Traffic and human congestion, as traffic is already a big Pinelands problem.	Len	retired
	2026-06-24 07:20:40	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		S	
	2026-06-24 07:23:27	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Unfortunately development will go ahead but would like to trust that all impacts have been taken into consideration. That the existing community is protected especially as a result of long tenure. Environment needs to be improved including protection of the wetland. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value.	Chantal	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:28:41	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The traffic is already a major problem and in conjunction with the planned development at the golf course is impossible to move that amount vehicles. both projects must be looked at in tandem not isolation to see how insane the idea is.	Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:35:16	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Saleem	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 07:44:31	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Nicola	
	2026-06-24 07:46:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Hendrik	retired
	2026-06-24 07:46:18	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Public transport and sewage need to be upgraded first before any further developments in Cape Town	Mandy	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:00:09	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The City of Cape Town is turning into a corrupt, greedy municipality that has NO regard for due process or rate payers concerns. The DA will lose many voters support because the planning committee appears to have been 'bought' by developers. Maybe lifestyle audits for all members should be mandatory.	Georgina	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:09:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Hudson	Non-profit
	2026-06-24 08:26:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 08:38:35	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Ian	retired
	2026-06-24 08:49:41	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, “derisked” opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined.	Ayesha	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:52:18	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	it is time that cape town citizens voice their concerns against an autocratic woke socialist spatial planning department !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	daniel	
	2026-06-24 08:53:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Charles	retired
	2026-06-24 08:58:17	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jenny	retired
	2026-06-24 09:08:14	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Matthew	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:12:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Kevin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:16:15	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Jacobus	
	2026-06-24 09:19:26	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The fact that officials have cut short very important processes that safeguard various aspects of this (and any other) property development, is unacceptable. Yes, the city is under pressure to deliver housing and infrastructure. However, as we have seen over the last 5-10 years, City officials are willingly creating and ignoring unsafe spaces by allowing all sorts of developments to be approved and completed, that have very destructive consequences for citizens. At the same time basic infrastructure simply is not being maintained let alone being expanded to facilitate daily life.	Sven	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:33:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Adrienne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:54:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	While everything COCT seems to be doing lately is of great concern (the DA led officials completely disregard their constituents at every turn), the predetermination of outcomes with the sole desire for financial gain, regardless off the effects on the land or its people, violates everything we stand for. It is misconduct and abuse of powers at the highest level. While we stand against these actions, we must also push for a full investigation into who is pushing these agendas, noting that our land is being offered up to the highest bidder without consultation or benefit to the people of this land. This is not "progress", it is a degradation of our cities infrastructure so that a few may reap the rewards while many suffer from the effects of these decisions that are being made without oversight and ignoring every law and ethical principal the DA claims to be the vanguard of.	Chirs	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:54:39	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I am seeing this repeatedly, where the City of Cape Town and the Western Cape Government use public consultations purely as a checklist item. No matter the validity and volume of objections from community members, there seems to be a predetermined development objection, and they simply bulldoze over public participation.	Jurgen	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:01:14	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Municipal officials are paid by residents. Predetermination implies corruption on a grand scale...in a country already drowning in it. Enough already!	Har	retired
	2026-06-24 10:04:54	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Paul	retired
	2026-06-24 10:14:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Frans	retired
	2026-06-24 10:36:14	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Neels	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 10:57:32	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This is another situation where someone, somewhere, had a "brainfart" and his/her colleague happened to be having and "OFF" day and agreed to the "proposal". Go back and do the proper risk assessments.	Graham	retired
	2026-06-24 10:59:16	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		jo	retired
	2026-06-24 11:03:11	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Stephen	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:20:07	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Martin	retired
	2026-06-24 11:24:08	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Elmare	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:24:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Johan	retired
	2026-06-24 11:34:40	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		fiona	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:34:46	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Is this perhaps another DA driven event? If so then serious time to curb the DA.	Schalk	
	2026-06-24 12:08:07	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The powers that be, should be honorable and operate within the law.	Ettore	retired
	2026-06-24 12:08:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Hilmar	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:08:57	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Adrienne	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:36:31	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Charl	
	2026-06-24 13:58:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	While we do need more accommodation in or close by CBD, the affordable segment is important to develop. The area already received a massive development for the affluent upper middle and upper classes (i.e. Riverland and Observatory + half of Conradie Park, not to mentioned Century City. Times to have options for people with more limited ressources and urban densification got too be limited as well. As far as mixed development can be an idea, the mix should reflect real socio-economic strates, not only most desirable ones.	Frederic	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:58:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	While we do need more accommodation in or close by CBD, the affordable segment is important to develop. The area already received a massive development for the affluent upper middle and upper classes (i.e. Riverland and Observatory + half of Conradie Park, not to mentioned Century City. Times to have options for people with more limited ressources and urban densification got too be limited as well. As far as mixed development can be an idea, the mix should reflect real socio-economic strates, not only most desirable ones.	Frederic	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:36:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	If no exact facts and figures can be provided the development should not go ahead. Honest and clear communication must be first and on the agenda.	Nicola	retired
	2026-06-24 15:20:34	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	1. Bypassing Heritage Law 2. The Visual Parameter Trap 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2) 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access 6. Proof of Predetermination This smacks of official corruption on al levels.	claire	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:44:14	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Dorothy	retired
	2026-06-24 15:50:01	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Mrs L	retired

	2026-06-24 15:54:49	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Antony Hector	business owner
	2026-06-24 16:35:19	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This is exactly a repeat of the Amazon development and the Ricerlabds development. The City of Cape Town is flaunting their own rules that's applied to private citizens but it seems that they are exempt when from those very same rules. Stop them as it is a blatant form of corruption!	Mariam	retired
	2026-06-24 16:39:51	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		David	
	2026-06-24 18:36:55	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Gary	retired
	2026-06-24 18:43:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Tony	
	2026-06-24 19:27:43	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Government must not misuse powers and must reconsider all impacts and integrate it into decisions.	Jan	retired
	2026-06-24 19:27:45	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Government must not misuse powers and must reconsider all impacts and integrate it into decisions.	Jan	retired
	2026-06-24 21:35:43	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Patrick	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 21:51:53	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Why would you want to destroy a working community for "affordable" housing? This is another demonstrable example of incompetency by COCT. Sure, let's destroy a functioning community for "affordable" housing, notwithstanding the fact that there's no infrastructure plan and the existing system is already struggling to cope with the current need and lack of maintenance.	Charles	self-employed
	2026-06-24 23:28:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Suzanne	retired
	2026-06-25 05:51:32	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Karen	business owner
	2026-06-25 08:46:23	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I just wonder why the land set aside for 15-minute cities can't be used instead.	Helen	retired
	2026-06-25 09:33:48	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Oude Molen is not vacant or underutilized land. It is a functioning social, educational, environmental, therapeutic, agricultural, and cultural ecosystem that has evolved over decades and provides substantial public benefit. The proposal would replace a proven community asset with a high-density development that fundamentally alters the character, purpose, and value of this unique precinct. My primary concerns are as follows: Heritage process not completed The proposal should not proceed while the Heritage Impact Assessment remains refused. Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee has upheld the refusal and confirmed that the HIA does not comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Proceeding with land-use approvals before resolving this fundamental statutory requirement undermines both due process and the integrity of heritage legislation. Destruction of an existing community ecosystem The current precinct supports healthcare, dementia care, therapeutic interventions, special-needs programs, schools, food gardens, cultural activities, rehabilitation initiatives, and indigenous heritage projects. These are not vacant uses waiting to be replaced; they are active services delivering measurable social value to Cape Town residents. Once displaced, many of these functions may never be re-established. Loss of public land and open space Publicly owned land should be protected and managed for the broad public good. The steady conversion of public open space and community land into intensive commercial and residential developments reduces opportunities for future generations and permanently changes the character of our city. Procedural concerns and public participation The public deserves a transparent process based on complete, accurate, and lawful information. Significant concerns have been raised regarding visual representations, public oversight, and the sequencing of approvals. These concerns must be fully addressed before any further decisions are taken. Infrastructure pressures The introduction of approximately 1,364 residential units together with extensive commercial and retail development will place additional strain on roads, traffic networks, public services, utilities, and surrounding neighbourhood infrastructure. The long-term cumulative impacts have not been satisfactorily demonstrated. Affordable housing claims remain uncertain Affordable housing is important, but any affordability commitments must be legally binding, transparent, and enforceable. At present, there appears to be insufficient certainty regarding the scale, duration, and accessibility of the proposed affordable housing component. Environmental and ecological impacts Oude Molen forms part of the broader Two Rivers Urban Park corridor and contains valuable green space, biodiversity, and ecological functions. The cumulative environmental impact of intensive urban development within this sensitive landscape warrants far greater scrutiny. Need for an area-wide approach Major decisions affecting the Two Rivers corridor should not be considered in isolation. A comprehensive heritage and planning assessment for the wider area should be completed before piecemeal developments are approved. In conclusion, I believe this proposal represents a net loss to Cape Town. It risks destroying a rare and successful community ecosystem, disregards unresolved heritage concerns, reduces public open space, and places short-term development objectives ahead of long-term public value. I therefore respectfully request that the City of Cape Town reject this application in its current form and require a lawful, transparent, and comprehensive planning process that properly protects the heritage, environmental, cultural, and community significance of Oude Molen.	Carel	employed individual
	2026-06-25 09:48:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This is a clear illustration of the city's intent to encourage totally unsustainably dense development, regardless of the long term results this will have on the environment and on the people living there. Their disregard for existing laws, lack of respect for the wishes of the ratepayers, and the lack of understanding of practical problems which will be caused, is totally unacceptable.	Elsje	retired
	2026-06-25 10:28:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I do not trust anything said in support of this Development., not one word of it. THEY are NOT being honest as to what this is really and truly about. If one were to dig deep enough for the TRUTH one would be horrified at the hidden agenda. This constant rhetoric about densification and low cost housing is getting truly poor. This is a drive toward S.m.a.r.t. Cities for one ... just the tip of the iceberg. Surviellance Monitoring Analysis Reporting Technology. It is also about making more space for immigrants who are infiltrating our country and WITH A SPECIFIC AGENDA. The Citizens on the ground are to be ENCOURAGED to have a say about their OWN environment and how it develops. I have ready many comments and am in agreement with each one is saying, that says no.	Antoinette	
	2026-06-25 13:56:10	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Lawrence	business owner
	2026-06-25 17:16:17	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		BARBARA	retired
	2026-06-26 07:26:06	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Vanessa	unemployed individual
	2026-06-26 12:12:29	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	I don't trust CoCT anymore. They keep claiming affordable housing but the housing ends up being anything but affordable and little to no infrastructure development. It appears to be making a few people wealthy at a cost to residents.	Alison	retired
	2026-06-26 12:47:38	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Sue	retired
	2026-06-26 14:25:35	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Anne-Marié	retired
	2026-06-27 09:12:22	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Sandra	
	2026-06-27 09:18:22	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Developers should use any of the empty, not used and very central military bases around Cape Town	Barbara	retired
	2026-06-27 09:18:57	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Nevertheless the project is simply going ahead under falsehoods and lies. It has become very clear that the City of Cape Town and its Development Department considers itself to be invincible, a law unto itself and not answerable to anybody. They are completely in cahoots with developers and it is essentially about making money for both the developers and for the City Council in rates and taxes. Aiding "the poor" always gets used as an excuse, but it never turns out that way because that would not be profitable. The impact of additional traffic around Vincent Pallotti Hospital and particularly in the case of an emergency, is a very serious concern. The bottom line for the DA run City Council is that they are seriously and arrogantly overplaying their hand. This will be proven at the next Provincial Elections.	Ean	retired
	2026-06-27 09:36:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Avril	self-employed
	2026-06-27 10:59:02	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Rob	employed individual
	2026-06-27 11:29:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Elmarie	
	2026-06-27 13:13:25	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This is yet another example of city officials attempting to overrule existing development procedures for the benefit of selected high-end developments. These will eventually change, for the worst, the existing environmental benefits associated with Cape Town as a world tourist centre. A number of developments such as that being undertaken at the Lord Charles Hotel in Somerset West and The Madison in the city are resulting in a high-rise skyline better suited to the middle east.	Michael	retired
	2026-06-27 14:12:29	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Gemma	retired
	2026-06-27 15:55:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This important ecological area needs to be retained for its community, schools, eco gardens, connection to the Black River, its heritage status and retained as an open green lung in the city that does not become a densely populated high traffic creating hub in an inappropriate area. Densify and upgrade another needy area not an area that functions well. The City planners need to not fall for developers money making predetermined plans that destroy well functioning happy communities	Denise	self-employed

	2026-06-27 15:55:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This important ecological area needs to be retained for its community, schools, eco gardens, connection to the Black River, its heritage status and retained as an open green lung in the city that does not become a densely populated high traffic creating hub in an inappropriate area. Densify and upgrade another needy area not an area that functions well. The City planners need to not fall for developers money making predetermined plans that destroy well functioning happy communities	Denise	self-employed
	2026-06-27 15:55:25	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This important ecological area needs to be retained for its community, schools, eco gardens, connection to the Black River, its heritage status and retained as an open green lung in the city that does not become a densely populated high traffic creating hub in an inappropriate area. Densify and upgrade another needy area not an area that functions well. The City planners need to not fall for developers money making predetermined plans that destroy well functioning happy communities	Denise	self-employed
	2026-06-28 09:06:00	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Housing is a problem, yes, but our culture has already been demolished in this country. Every single other country has kids PROUD of how their countries were founded - but in SA kids are at zero-knowledge levels. Ask high schoolers who Van Riebeeck was, Paul Kruger, Shaka, etc, none of them have ever heard of these! What have we to be proud of? Nothing. Violence, hatred, etc. Please keep last slithers of our heritage in tact. I do hope that the trauma entry to Vincent Palotti will never be needed for those who want to bring this large-scale development - South Africans are a people who seemingly has to feel before they learn, and then always, too late.	Louise	
	2026-06-28 09:26:57	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Terry	retired
	2026-06-28 11:34:26	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	This development concerns me greatly. Not only is a wonderful green lung being destroyed, but sustainable jobs will be lost. Apart from the envronlmental aspect, it is of enormous concern that laws designed t o protect our heritage are being ignored. This doesn't sit well with a party which claims to obey rules and that is above corruption	Jane	retired
	2026-06-28 11:53:24	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	It does not matter what we say. Our voice is not heard. Pinelands cannot handle any further developments, rezoning, more people, more problems, more costs, more crime. We strongly object to any developments. We have already said no. Our answer is NO.	Heather and Martin	retired
	2026-06-28 13:26:52	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Paul	retired
	2026-06-28 13:33:13	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	With regard to the proposed high density developments in Pinelands: The community has consistently opposed the rezoning and intensification. There are severe risks to infrastructure, heritage, and the founding Garden City identity of the suburb. Recent investigative reporting by Daily Maverick has confirmed systemic governance failures in Cape Town's planning structures, including political capture of the Municipal Planning Tribunal, transparency breakdowns, and cover-ups of corruption. According to this report, the Mayor is aware of these failures, yet decisive action has not been taken. These findings reinforce the community's concerns that land use decisions are being made without accountability, eroding public trust and intensifying perceptions of "land grabs." We respectfully urge the Council to uphold zoning protections, act on the governance failures now publicly documented, and prioritize the sustainability and well being of Pinelands residents. NO to any further developments, approvals for rezoning of residential properties, change of single-use dwellings, or commercial zoning in residential areas. NO is NO.	Kirsty	
	2026-06-28 13:33:33	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	With regard to the proposed high density developments in Pinelands: The community has consistently opposed the rezoning and intensification. There are severe risks to infrastructure, heritage, and the founding Garden City identity of the suburb. Recent investigative reporting by Daily Maverick has confirmed systemic governance failures in Cape Town's planning structures, including political capture of the Municipal Planning Tribunal, transparency breakdowns, and cover-ups of corruption. According to this report, the Mayor is aware of these failures, yet decisive action has not been taken. These findings reinforce the community's concerns that land use decisions are being made without accountability, eroding public trust and intensifying perceptions of "land grabs." We respectfully urge the Council to uphold zoning protections, act on the governance failures now publicly documented, and prioritize the sustainability and well being of Pinelands residents. NO to any further developments, approvals for rezoning of residential properties, change of single-use dwellings, or commercial zoning in residential areas. NO is NO.	Kirsty	
	2026-06-28 17:44:16	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Catherine	self-employed
	2026-06-29 03:09:46	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Isabella	retired
	2026-06-29 08:31:07	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Along with the deceit by which this is done, there is a need for open spaces.	Tim	
	2026-06-29 08:49:00	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	How does this proposed development of public land benefit the public? Or improve quality of life in the City? Or preserve the integrity of environmental, ecological, historical public assets? Is anyone thinking outside their self-interested silo?	Joyce	
	2026-06-29 09:57:47	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Lack of public participation	Kenneth	retired
	2026-06-29 10:14:01	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Mimi	retired
	2026-06-29 11:11:00	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The proposed development does very little to bring about equitable change in the Apartheid spatial geography of cape town. If anything, it exacerbates the problem of separate development.	Fairuz	employed individual
	2026-06-29 12:34:09	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Vehemently opposed to DA process of densification. Current infrastructure cannot cope and communities are being negatively affected by traffic increasing, loss of green spaces and loss of heritage/ historical sites	Michele	employed individual
	2026-06-29 15:36:48	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Belinda	retired
	2026-06-29 17:26:49	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	More of the same!!! The approach of politicians of ALL PARTIES to overlook and ignore the law and public opinion is unacceptable and is being adopted more and more. Somehow we must put a stop to this and insist that they take heed of what the people want. The area slated for development is already constrained and difficult to get about in, This development together with the potential job losses will only make it worse.	Syd	retired
	2026-06-29 18:27:51	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	Something is not right here as overseas investors have been misled.	Dean	retired
	2026-06-30 15:13:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		John	employed individual
	2026-06-30 15:13:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		John	employed individual
	2026-07-01 09:48:51	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Tabitha	
	2026-07-12 15:09:30	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	According to reports, CocT wants to bypass the Heritage Law, models do not depict the true scale, many jobs will be lost and it will be a threat to level 1 trauma access at Vincent Paloti hospital	Tienkie	retired
	2026-07-15 13:49:51	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Stella	retired
	2026-08-13 12:46:50	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Ursula	employed individual
	2026-08-17 10:50:38	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The City of Cape Town is literally bullying its way into the selling of public lands to "developers" without ANY due process. Upgrade the existing areas, i.e. Cape Flats. Don't create new infrastructure and traffic problems.	Jaco	
	2026-08-17 10:59:09	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Kim	employed individual
	2026-08-31 09:28:59	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Faatin	
	2026-09-07 13:12:56	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		joni	self-employed
	2026-09-08 07:03:39	No I do not	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Assa	retired
	2026-06-23 15:49:52	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		marco	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:01:07	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		James	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 16:27:34	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		arthur	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:35:20	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Farhana	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:55:00	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Jeremy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:17:23	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	The better option would be to develop trade schools not slum parks	Ivy	retired
	2026-06-23 20:04:32	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Alison	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:18:04	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	The applicant's marketing relies heavily on claims that one-third of the development will consist of social and affordable housing. However, the framework documents explicitly state that the inclusion of these units is non-binding and entirely contingent on future "financial feasibility" assessments. There is no enforceable legal mechanism in this application to guarantee that affordable housing will ever materialise. The City should not grant permanent, high-density development rights based on voluntary targets that a private developer can easily abandon later. An area-wide Heritage Impact Assessment for the broader, deeply historic Two Rivers Urban Park landscape was never completed. The overarching heritage process was abruptly suspended, and the Local Spatial Development Framework was pushed through regardless. By deferring heritage impacts to individual sites and then choosing to ignore site-level rejections, the City is systematically fragmenting a contiguous cultural landscape without evaluating cumulative, landscape-scale damage.	Jacobus	retired
	2026-06-23 22:05:03	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Miri	retired
	2026-06-23 23:17:55	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	This city leaders must be removed. Consistently allegations of deception and deceitful answers are surfacing. Example Rylands residents on the Mukkadum sports field and the demolition of Tannery Park. Time to vote the DA led council into submission. We are better off with a multiple party coalition.	Ray mond	retired
	2026-06-24 05:41:30	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Megan	retired
	2026-06-24 05:51:09	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	The applicant's marketing relies heavily on claims that one-third of the development will consist of social and affordable housing. However, the framework documents explicitly state that the inclusion of these units is non-binding and entirely contingent on future "financial feasibility" assessments. There is no enforceable legal mechanism in this application to guarantee that affordable housing will ever materialise. The City should not grant permanent, high-density development rights based on voluntary targets that a private developer can easily abandon later.	Elizabeth	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:35:44	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	Our officiale are once again embarking on further gentrifying the city and pricing out locals with more overpriced luxury property, private schools and zero consideration for community/environmental/heritage impacts. I would much rather the city focus on real affordable housing and more public schooling than allow our politicians and their cronies to find the next big tender job...	Darren	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:07:36	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	This piece of land has played an essential role in developing the health and well being of Cape Town's vulnerable citizens. The horses are an incredible resource for the rehabilitation of young people from the village , and children and adults. It is a thriving ecosystem, and rather than destroying it, the city should invest in what the space is already offering. Do not underestimate the value of this. It will be a loss not just to the immediate community, but to all the surrounding suburbs who recognize its value and visit it regularly.	Caroline	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:07:58	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Sue	self-employed

	2026-06-24 07:32:33	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Leah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:41:44	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Carol-Ann	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:14:55	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Samantha	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:19:24	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Graham	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:55:47	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	Oude Molen is a historic site, actively tended by a group of volunteers, and cherished by the cape town community. It is a very important link with the black river and the wetlands are already decamated by the amazon development -- now you want to just bulldoze ahead! it is inappropriate and reckless. The city is already struggling with exisitng infra structure-- we cannot add to that load.	Naomi	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:22:37	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Amanda	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:49:56	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	This is not the first time that the City of Cape Town management ignores people's concerns. It auctioned off property which was stolen from people of colour and made huge profits from sales. It has proven that they are only concerned about certain racial groups and will forever assist them with development while looking down on all other groups. Crime is a result of continued ignorance from the City of Cape Town to address social issues in communities	Maldwin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:33:46	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Kevin	
	2026-06-24 11:14:44	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	I am extremely concerned with the lack of laid down direction of the approval of this project. Presently Oude Molen is a vibrant living space, employing 100's of people. There certainly is a place for some development but I fear that due to the general cost of infrastructure, the numbers of "affordable" housing units will increase, as it appears to have done at Conradie Park.	Janice	retired
	2026-06-24 11:23:16	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	What guarantee is there that this housing will be "affordable"? The Riverland development promised us the same thing, but it really is not the case. At Oude Moulen that community has been there for a very long time and they provide services - where are they and the people that use those services going to go?	Mira	business owner
	2026-06-24 12:19:48	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Meagan	employed individual
	2026-06-25 10:32:46	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Cheryl	retired
	2026-06-25 10:46:39	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Shresh	employed individual
	2026-06-25 15:11:25	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Peter	retired
	2026-06-26 05:44:38	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes.	Francois	business owner
	2026-07-02 00:42:59	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Taswell	
	2026-08-24 15:37:56	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Nicoline	retired
	2026-09-16 09:51:12	No I do not	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	We can no-longer trust the City of Cape Town, specifically the Municipal Planning Tribunal, to act in the best interests of the residents. With the amount of inconsistencies, omissions and errors in the proposals, it is clear that the developers are being given Carte Blanche to proceed as they wish. In the suburb where I live, pipe bursts are a daily occurence, thousands of litres of water is wasted and expensive maintenance is carried out by several people using highly expensive equipment. The Oude Molen Precinct in Pinelands is un-necessary and will place an unbearable burden on the traffic, waste, sewerage and Instead of developing and extending the infrastructure at the city's borders, the city is excusing all of these supposed low-cost developments in the name of densification, claiming that budget is being allocated to upgrade infrastructure, yet nothing is actively being done to upgrade the necessary, and the residents are left without basic human rights such as access to water. I do not agree to Oude Mollen and any densification processes that the City of Cape Town has in process.. THIS CITY NO-LONGER WORKS FOR YOU!	Rohan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:27:47	No I do not	All of the above		My experience with the Western Cape Government is that they are liars that do not care one bit for the residents of Cape Town or any of the coastal villages. They pretend to above any environmental law that is supposed to preserve heritage, the environment and its wildlife. They forget that residents are paying their extravagant salaries that give them those unfortunate $ sign eyes of greed, while residents are ignored and treated with disrespect .	Martella	retired
	2026-06-24 10:57:31	No I do not	All of the above			Hannelore	retired
	2026-06-24 14:55:24	No I do not	All of the above		Ek het 'n groot probleem met die Sosialistiese beleidstrategie wat tans in die Weskaap en Stad Kaapstad toegepas word. Hierdie strategie is ten koste van die ondersteuningsbasis wat die DA in hul huidige leiersposiese gebring het. Die verkeersgemors wat tans heers as gevolg van die Riverside gebied wat oopgestel is sal totaal vermenigvuldig hierdie ontwikkeling in dieselfde gebied. Die infrastruktuur van Kaapstad kan glad nie hierdie hoe digtheid woongebied ontwikkelings (Goue Akker ontwikkeling? en andere) hanteer nie. Die huidige politieke leiers in die Weskaap is nie eerlik oor hul doelstellings nie!	Alfred	business owner
	2026-06-27 14:59:20	No I do not	All of the above		N.A	Jean	employed individual
	2026-06-28 07:27:26	No I do not	All of the above			Blenda	retired
	2026-06-30 16:03:53	No I do not	All of the above			Antje	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:08:15	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Asher	retired
	2026-06-23 11:20:29	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Definitely a big NO!!!!	Samantha	
	2026-06-23 12:01:40	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Dalien	retired
	2026-06-23 12:04:02	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Always pressure on any open land for development is an easy solution to housing instead of planning correctly and creating open green spaces we need for oxygen and mental health.	Anne	retired
	2026-06-23 13:41:08	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		When you interfere with ecology you are causing great calamity to the land which should be left for wildlife to prosper.	Ruth	
	2026-06-23 13:45:49	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 13:52:10	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		This is a thinly disguised attempt to generate more revenue through the sale and development of the land, which will then also generate rates , taxes and utility income in perpetuity. Private developers will seek to maximize profit through high end, high density residential and commercial development. The green space and ecology will be lost forever if this sale and development goes ahead.	Dennis	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:52:11	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		This is a thinly disguised attempt to generate more revenue through the sale and development of the land, which will then also generate rates , taxes and utility income in perpetuity. Private developers will seek to maximize profit through high end, high density residential and commercial development. The green space and ecology will be lost forever if this sale and development goes ahead.	Dennis	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:30:41	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Paddy	retired
	2026-06-23 14:48:20	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Destroying green land into concrete is going to impact on the ecology of the cape province. Wet lands already destroyed in Observatory for concrete structure and converging rivers blocked. So much of our province is going to suffer from desertification in the near future	glynis	retired
	2026-06-23 14:55:29	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Affordable housing claims are unlikely to materialise in this area. It's proximity to the city centre will in fact increase the value of property and make it unaffordable for those who actually need it and are currently living there and sustaining themselves. This is also a necessary green belt in an area that is developing with homes and industry all around it. Rather develop it from a heritage and parks point of view as a green oasis and destination spot for locals.	Dilshad	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:55:22	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Didi	
	2026-06-23 16:16:00	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Willie	retired
	2026-06-23 16:20:56	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			colleen	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:20:57	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			colleen	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:09:19	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			piet	
	2026-06-23 17:28:15	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		This is a very bad idea. More than likely cause traffic congestion as well as urban sprawl along with environmental degradation along the green activities currently on the site belt. Loss of the current employment and people centered. Denial of heritage context.	Rodney	retired

	2026-06-23 18:15:43	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Here are my concerns and the facts: Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Gwendolyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:24:04	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Shirani	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:28:32	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 19:31:31	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Walter	retired
	2026-06-23 19:32:00	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Walter	retired
	2026-06-23 19:35:58	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Patrick	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:54:09	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Jo	business owner
	2026-06-23 21:52:20	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		It's a completely inappropriate development for the area. The whole thing is fraught with inconsistencies	Denise	retired
	2026-06-23 22:07:57	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		As a conservationist and someone who drives past the Black River several times a week, I am horrified that the CoCT is willing to sacrifice the environment for financial gain despite its supposed commitment to our natural spaces. How can we trust your intentions for our city if your integrity goes to the highest bidder? I remember spending perfect weekends at the Oude Molen horse stables as a young child; 'developing' the area (although nobody could call this progress) would be a complete betrayal of our heritage. I have always promoted the efforts of the CoCT but this is a dealbreaker. I'm 19 years old and will be old enough to vote in November - I hope the DA doesn't expect to keep my support if this decision goes through.	Kate	student
	2026-06-23 22:16:46	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		I am absolutely against this rezoning and development plan. The city needs to stop ruining environmental, heritage, and community-based land. Not EVERYTHING needs to turn into housing. The wetland needs sustenance, as do the little animals left.	Zaahira	
	2026-06-23 22:28:09	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Beverley	
	2026-06-23 22:31:55	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Glenda	retired
	2026-06-24 01:28:06	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		The impact of this proposed development on local infrastructure cannot support increased high-density traffic on local medical facility (Vimcent Palotti hospital. The historical and ecological heritage public comment process was not considered fully and another private high-fee school does not address the needs of the local community	Susan	
	2026-06-24 05:36:23	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		There are other more suitable areas for development.	Gideon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:18:34	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		This is well used and important land.	Joshua	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:36:59	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Charlotte	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:59:31	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Gerhardt	
	2026-06-24 08:24:47	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		A. The site currently supports an irreplaceable ecosystem: The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest. B. Here are the hard facts: 1. Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. 2. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. 6. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along. C. Top concerns: 1. Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied. 2. Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. 3. Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight. 4. Predetermination of outcomes. 5. Systematic loss of public land and open space. 6. Absence of an area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers Corridor. 7. Cumulative infrastructure strain. 8. Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims. 9. Environmental and ecological threats.	Johandre	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:11:27	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Axsella	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:36:27	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Nkutu	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:15:58	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Bradleyb	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:19:48	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Michelle	retired
	2026-06-24 16:16:03	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cape Town is being overdeveloped with spatial planning driven by greed. Green spaces must be protected because of the sensitive ecosystem	Eveline	retired
	2026-06-24 16:23:53	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Cape Town is already being overdeveloped with spatial planning. Traffic is already a problem in the Cape Town area. Green spaces must be protected because of the sensitive ecosystem. Move out of Cape Town to other towns for developments.	David	retired
	2026-06-24 16:25:37	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Mandy	
	2026-06-24 16:32:13	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Phillip	retired
	2026-06-24 16:58:25	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			George	retired

	2026-06-24 17:33:25	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles. The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Lisa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:44:26	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Hendrik	employed individual
	2026-06-24 20:27:08	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			theresa	
	2026-06-25 12:32:42	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		To remove an echo system and do it illegally is against our constitution and would be a lot of damage. Wildlife will be the most affected and these creatures actually help with the environment	Ruth	retired
	2026-06-25 13:57:46	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		In addition to the environmental impact to an already beleaguered sensitive ecological area, this would be the loss of a green lung; a vital component of a healthy city. Furthermore, the impact that this development would have on hospital access is unacceptable. As those who are supposed to protect the inhabitants of the City of Cape Town, ignoring the health and welfare of the those inhabitants, as well as the earth that the city is built on, is irresponsible and shortsited, with a focus only on economics. Your city will collapse, including the economics of it, if the inhabitants welfare is neglected.	Debra	employed individual
	2026-06-25 15:17:24	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Marilyn	self-employed
	2026-06-25 16:12:37	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Patty	
	2026-06-25 18:00:32	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Ann	employed individual
	2026-06-25 21:32:22	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		I personally think that the City is running a bit crazy with these "developments", over crowding the City. They are running the City as corporate business, not as a metropole. The City should place their efforts into building schools closer to home to keep the kids safer as well as 24 hour day hospitals to alleviate the overcrowding of day hospitals as it stands of which will alleviate the congestion on the roads too.	Shahieda	
	2026-06-26 15:33:17	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Amazon should have never been approved on the wetland and the oude molen precinct is the same. The oude molen eco village holds community, cultural, access, educational and ecological value that is irreplaceable. Please do not develop Oude molen!	Zahira	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 13:12:59	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Tara	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 13:55:51	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			per	
	2026-06-27 13:58:31	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Kathryn	business owner
	2026-06-27 20:57:42	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			M	
	2026-06-27 21:36:03	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Morag	retired
	2026-06-28 05:57:04	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		There are other ways to "develop" such as build vertically but it seems the goal is once again, economic returns. Because it's not like more libraries, national parks or public recreational facilities are built - just ones where profit is possible. Preservation and conservation are also what make any place worth living in because of environmental health.	Natalie	employed individual
	2026-06-28 12:41:56	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			J Marita	retired
	2026-06-28 17:33:34	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Gareth	employed individual
	2026-06-28 19:20:03	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Cam	employed individual
	2026-06-29 15:54:39	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Jack	student
	2026-06-29 16:18:05	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Tess	business owner
	2026-06-29 17:24:04	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		We are asking that the protections afforded to mature trees be significantly strengthened and improved due to the number of serious threats that are rapidly reducing tree canopy to dangerously low levels given the extreme weather conditions we are facing much sooner than anticipated. Trees are temperature regulators, acting like large air conditioners. The next 5-10 years are critical to the health of our tree canopy that helps to cool us. The cost is twofold: the immediate loss of everything the tree delivered, plus the long lag while a replacement slowly matures. Retaining an existing large tree is often just as important as planting a new one. This will require legislation to seriously reduce random felling of large useful trees. That green roofs and alternate methods to cool the city be urgently legislated. That unavoidable human densification legally requires densification of trees, and urban plants to mitigate the destruction. That necessary tree planting is locally supported with maintenance and watering to ensure its successful growth. If the City does not act quickly temperatures may rise increasing heat islands that make it difficult for young growing trees to thrive, leaving us in overheated urban wasteland. When the threats our trees are facing are read together it becomes clear that Cape Town is facing a tree apocalypse with a loss of up to 50% of trees in the next 5-10 years if clear and direct action is not immediately taken. We are asking the City of Cape Town to shift the priority of trees and urban plants in the face of the speed with which the canopy is being lost and global temperatures are rising.	Kara	student
	2026-06-30 07:45:28	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Davy	retired
	2026-06-30 11:02:47	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Emma	retired
	2026-06-30 12:02:30	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Bev	retired
	2026-06-30 12:06:39	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Joni	employed individual
	2026-06-30 13:07:43	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Pamela	retired
	2026-06-30 15:47:35	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Vera-esther	retired
	2026-06-30 17:49:50	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Tracy	employed individual
	2026-06-30 17:49:52	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Tracy	employed individual
	2026-06-30 19:57:18	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Nan	retired
	2026-06-30 21:28:24	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Vivienne	retired
	2026-06-30 21:42:10	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Oude Molen Eco Village is home to multiple wild life species, many of which are endangered. The leopard toad and the golden mole being two endangered species that have been sighted in the wetlands. Several new bird species have moved into the village itself. The Fork-tailed drongo can be frequently spotted in the parking areas. The cardinal woodpecker, another native bird species, has began appearing around the village as well. These species, and many others, prove that Oude Molen is a vital ecosystem for them. Scientists say we are in a sixth mass extinction and the effects of climate change are becoming more and more prevalent. People are dying because of the extreme weather that is happening across the world. All of it is a direct result of people prioritising development over the natural world. Should this development plan go through and the wetlands destroyed, it will be a direct contributor to the climate crisis and the death toll that comes with it.	Alyssa	self-employed
	2026-07-01 07:37:22	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Terri	self-employed
	2026-07-01 08:26:17	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			DJ	self-employed
	2026-07-01 14:44:39	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		I do not support this development in its current form. While I understand the need for housing and development, it should not come at the cost of established small businesses, community spaces, heritage, and the natural environment. The Oude Molen Precinct provides value that cannot simply be replaced with high-density buildings. If those who live, work, and care for animals on the site are displaced, they should first be provided with suitable alternative facilities and support before any redevelopment proceeds. Once this green space, its biodiversity, and its unique community are lost, they cannot easily be restored. Development should improve communities, not replace them at the expense of people, heritage, and nature.	Li	employed individual
	2026-07-01 14:51:51	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Nicole	employed individual

	2026-07-01 14:55:25	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Nicoline	unemployed individual
	2026-07-02 21:53:46	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Fiona	
	2026-07-03 08:56:47	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Constantia development without concern for the existing environment is what is going to turn the City of Cpt into a city without protected green areas	Marina	self-employed
	2026-07-03 12:03:56	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		"Once it's gone, it's gone". Given the rapid increase of climatic extremes, both in terms of intensity and frequency, it seems highly unwise to further restrict natural and semi-natural aeas. These are our safety-valves and mitigators against storms, heat waves, etc. and largely recover from such events with little assistance. The area is already treasured by many and has great educational and psychological value. I trust that our wonderful city government will see the sense of keeping something that is a valuable part of our Cape Town	Rod	retired
	2026-07-03 19:22:51	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Kirsten	employed individual
	2026-07-16 15:19:29	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Development of land will interfere with South Africa’s biodiversity and may very well impact the lives of wildlife that currently inhabit the land such as bird species and insects. We need these animals to survive and maintain our beautiful environment that we live in. The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles.	Danielle	
	2026-08-17 09:19:56	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Gail	self-employed
	2026-08-17 10:13:25	No I do not	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		No further developments or data centers should be considered. We do not have enough water to sustain the people already living here permanently. If government wants to create additional housing start with all the government owned (public works) buildings that are vacant and deteriorating beyond use. The area has already been destroyed so might as well use the buildings already there, the West coast has many vacant buildings that can be made available for such projects. however we need to cap how many people come to live here until we have managed the water crises. the first step is to cancel any talks related to data centers and the Phelen "green" project which is just a waste of precious water.	Lisa	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:19:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	THIS IS JUST ABOUT MONEY WHO CAN GAIN THE MOST OUT OF IT . THIS SCHOOL HAS BEEN DOING GREAT . LOTS GOOD STUDENTS CAME FROM THIS . THESE DAYS INVESTORS JUST WANT TO GRAB NOTHING IS LEFT FOR HERITAGE . THIS COUNTRY IS GOING SELLING TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER . THEY LEAVENOTHING FOR OPEN SPACES. NO NATURAL PLAYGROUNDS LEFT .	Mymona	retired
	2026-06-30 15:50:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	We enjoy walking around Oude Moulen ourselves but the real value is in the unique mix of people and activities there. It's wonderful the way the remains of the first commercial mill, former prison etc stand side by side with the recreation area (pool, braai area, horse rides). Imagine trying to develop such a resource from scratch and build the vibe that Oude Moulen has. This is a jewel to be treasured not redeveloped.	Eleanor	retired
	2026-08-16 12:47:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Carol	retired
	2026-06-23 11:48:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I’m sick a tired of these money grabbing politicians and people who don’t give a damn about the ecological impact of the environment. There are places situated on that ground that is important to many people. The Western Cape government just thinks about the money they can make but never take into consideration the environment nor the increased amount of traffic on the roads. Nor do they think about the increased sewage waste, water usage. Yet we are told to save water. Raw sewage is flowing into our rivers and sea every day. They can’t even solve that, now they want to build more flats to add to the problem. Sort out existing infrastructure and problems first before adding more to the already overburdened ones that exist.	Cheryl	retired
	2026-06-23 12:39:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		You mess everything up for votes.	Sarel	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:45:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This represents a greedy grab for votes by the ever image-obsessed DA. It will destroy a critical ecosystem and be an eyesore. It is NOT in the best interests of the city or its residents. Hard no. The procedural questions are alsoa grave cause for concern.	Erica	
	2026-06-23 13:45:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This represents a greedy grab for votes by the ever image-obsessed DA. It will destroy a critical ecosystem and be an eyesore. It is NOT in the best interests of the city or its residents. Hard no. The procedural questions are alsoa grave cause for concern.	Erica	
	2026-06-23 13:45:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Arie	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:47:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ewalda	retired
	2026-06-23 13:50:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ronell	
	2026-06-23 13:55:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I agree with all the objections previously raised - heritage permissions, loss of valuable public space and existing employment, traffic impacts, impacts on the hospital, etc.	Tim	employed individual
	2026-06-23 13:55:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Toni	retired
	2026-06-23 13:55:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Felicity	
	2026-06-23 13:56:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Lynn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:00:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marthie	retired
	2026-06-23 14:03:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		As an environmental consultant of long standing the change of land use will definitely deprive Capetown citizens of a very very valuable asset	Margaret	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:05:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			stuart	
	2026-06-23 14:07:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tertia	retired
	2026-06-23 14:08:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		All the green spaces are rapidly disappearing along the black river. We cannot put big buildings in every little space. Mentally and emotionally we all suffer from this densification. There is a thriving community at Oude Molen already and there seems to be a good balance between the little businesses and nature. Why spoil it? Already we see at Amazon, where there were nicely landscaped areas, they are now squashing more buildings in. It's really not acceptable.	Craig	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:11:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It sounds like the whole process has been compromised as pitching this to investors before a public participation process was even started is not only unethical but is something an authoritarian regime would do. A democracy actually relies on taking the public interest into account which trying to push this ahead with a predetermined outcome is the complete opposite of that. The city cannot stand additional traffic jams never mind the other issues. I do not support this at all	Caylynne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:11:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Craig	
	2026-06-23 14:15:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		krap hulle al weer waar dit nie juk nie hoekom na al die jaare wil hulle dit nou verander????	herbert	retired
	2026-06-23 14:15:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Roxanne	
	2026-06-23 14:15:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Daniela	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:17:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jurie	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:17:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jurie	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:19:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		De oude molen is currently a safe haven for many people. It is in an area that has been used productively for many. What will happen to them? Vulneralbe lives disrupted for those least able to make the necessary changes. Where will they live? What if any arrangements have been made for their displacement to make way for a private school that can be afforded by only the rich or well healed? And then there is the problem with the road traffic that bottle necks in that area every day. I am deeply saddened by all the above in a city that is supposed to be supportive of all involved in De Oude Meulen	Mary	retired
	2026-06-23 14:20:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jon	
	2026-06-23 14:23:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is another example of gentrification and this is how big developments pushes out the small businesses and local tenants. This area already “give” the local people jobs and opportunities.	Susanna	
	2026-06-23 14:24:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Martine	retired

	2026-06-23 14:24:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:24:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:26:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pierre	
	2026-06-23 14:27:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is just rediculous!!	Marinell	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:29:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Mahier	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:32:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant’s HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, “vertical” definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape. This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	KELLY	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:40:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Patrick	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:41:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		No to job losses, more traffic, damage to heritage site and environment sensitive areas	Kerry	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:49:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Coryl	retired
	2026-06-23 14:51:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Miranda	retired
	2026-06-23 14:53:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			David	
	2026-06-23 14:57:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lalena	
	2026-06-23 14:58:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Diana	retired
	2026-06-23 15:04:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is already a thriving community hub, with extensive gardens, adding to the existing but rapidly reducing greenbelts, ever under pressure from rapid development all over the city. Good stewardship is essential for the survival and good heatlth of current and future Capetonians. This is a historical site, in extensive gardens, employing hundreds of people, it should not be allowed to become yet another elite, exclusive enclave, keep it local!	ivan	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:06:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gerhard	retired
	2026-06-23 15:07:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The proposed land is already being used beneficially for the public. No one is saying the city shouldn't use land for urban development. Just not this land. Already there is over-development along Black River, like Riverlands, where the city rode roughshod over historical and indigenous concerns. Stop with the greed. Not again!	Therese	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:08:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I can't believe this is being planned. Cape Town is turning into a steel jungle. People will not want to move here and live here if we obliterate all of its heritage, ecosystems and open spaces. With the development of the golf course in close proximity, traffic will be even more of a nightmare than it already is, and right next to an incredibly important hospital. This is a short sighted idea that will cause people to lose jobs and livelihoods.	Lauren	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:10:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of existing community eco systems incorrect data collection Once this is lost it can never ever be replaced	Phillip	retired
	2026-06-23 15:19:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Haaniem	retired
	2026-06-23 15:20:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Steven	
	2026-06-23 15:21:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The process for this development (and several others in the area) seems to lack serious public comment, and to flout due process - including the denial by Heritage SA of the plans apparently being ignored. This is not acceptable. The site also provides a great deal of employment and is a green lung in the area: it should not be built over.	Catherine	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:27:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Martyn	retired
	2026-06-23 15:28:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Latiefa	
	2026-06-23 15:28:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Latiefa	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:29:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kenneth	
	2026-06-23 15:31:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Janine	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:35:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pinelands does NOT have the infrastructure to accommodate so many people, and Pinelands is a historical suburb. You will destroy the ambiance of the suburb.	Hannie	retired
	2026-06-23 15:36:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Leave Oude Molen alone. It is VITAL for the community. HANDS OFF!	Marina	retired
	2026-06-23 15:39:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Cherene	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:43:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Heather	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:50:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The DA is destroying a beautiful drive and our mountainside etc it is enough they allow the hotel there. I live in the cape flats and if I go that way it makes me fell what a fantastic place it is Western Cape and they allowing our cape for to be spoiled, they should be stopped	Rashid	retired
	2026-06-23 15:59:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Juan	retired
	2026-06-23 15:59:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Anthea	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:06:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am shocked & disgusted to hear about the plans to develop an area of Cape Town that has a long history of community & helping disadvantaged peoples across the racial spectrum. If this is allowed to go ahead, it will further show how big money is more powerful than the needs of everyday people. I strongly & fiercely say a big "NO!" to this development. I grew up in Pinelands & this will destroy something very special, that will NEVER come back. No, no, no!	Russ	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:08:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Public spaces should be left alone. Infrastructure can not cope with more high density apartments.	Melanie	retired
	2026-06-23 16:13:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			lina	retired
	2026-06-23 16:14:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mike	retired
	2026-06-23 16:22:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This whole deal smells of corruption and theft. If this land gets sold we will surely hear about the nepotism,corruption and theft,after the fact. As usual the "onorrobel membas" will line their pockets together with a few family members and some well connected gangsters/"businessmen."	Timothy	
	2026-06-23 16:22:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Johan	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			kay	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:28:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Willem	retired
	2026-06-23 16:29:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lin	retired
	2026-06-23 16:32:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		PLEASE, City of Cape Town, you have already allowed development on the sensitive wetland opposite Hartley vale. CAPTONIANS need some open space in close proximity to the Southern Suburbs!! Leave Oude Molen alone and leave the many, many people who work there in peace!!	Justine	retired

	2026-06-23 16:32:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			ilhaam	student
	2026-06-23 16:33:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nicholas	
	2026-06-23 16:39:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:40:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are already way too many developments currently being constructed in our beautiful city - we need parks, green spaces and gardens - we are not Johannesburg!	Phillipa	retired
	2026-06-23 16:42:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rene	
	2026-06-23 16:49:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Amy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:51:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are several problematic issues with this proposal, apart from the potential loss of a tranquil open-air, human-scale, historical community hub in a semi-rural space. Some of these are that the required protocols have not been adhered to as they should have been and emergency access to the Vincent Pallotti hospital will be negatively affected.	Liz	
	2026-06-23 16:58:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We've already lost part of the river to development. The area belongs to the people. It's unacceptable to me, that people who don't have money don't have rights. Shame on the Cape Town government.	Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-23 17:05:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Greg	
	2026-06-23 17:06:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Leo	
	2026-06-23 17:06:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lynn	
	2026-06-23 17:08:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gillian	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:10:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Housing demand in Cape Town is effectively unlimited. Public open space should not be converted into higher density development simply because housing demand exists. Cities need green space, heritage, ecology and community assets as well as housing. Once these places are built over, they are lost permanently.	Andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:11:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Jaclyn	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:12:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Stephan	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:18:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Paula	retired
	2026-06-23 17:18:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mary	retired
	2026-06-23 17:21:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			J	retired
	2026-06-23 17:26:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-23 17:29:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gayle	retired
	2026-06-23 17:29:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest.	Thinus	retired
	2026-06-23 17:30:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Merle	retired
	2026-06-23 17:30:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The city needs this valuable almost semi rural lung.The Amazon development took the other large green lung out of play. The kind of jobs and trades the current " Oude Molen" supports are necessary and vital.	John	retired
	2026-06-23 17:41:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			michael	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:42:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			michael	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:44:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am so unhappy about the way this is being pushed through. It makes me feel like I am back in Johannesburg, where anything goes. I really expected more from the Cape Town authorities. I disagree in the strongest terms with the displacement of communities. I disagree with the railroading, ignoring the heritage laws. What on earth? What about the access to Vincent Palotti, this is totally unacceptable. Lives wll be lost. It seems like someone in the Western Cape decided this project would go live without the necessary enviromental impact, without public participation, without the laws of the country being followed. For shame. This must stop and all the proper procedures must be followed. Proper public participation must happen.	Eileen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:44:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Save CAPE TOWN !	MATTHYS	retired
	2026-06-23 17:45:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Dee	
	2026-06-23 17:50:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pieter	
	2026-06-23 17:56:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I was a Pinelands resident for 14 years and I know how important this site is to the community. Big real estate developers add nothing to the existing site. Jobs and heritage will be lost.	Mark	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:57:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ilana	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:57:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ilana	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:58:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Lauren	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 18:00:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This area has historical value and it is unconsciable that the city keeps ignoring due process , an integratef plan including tge history needs consideration and inclusion as welm as the jobs currently	Adele	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:00:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Derek	retired
	2026-06-23 18:01:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Eddie	retired
	2026-06-23 18:01:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Eddie	retired
	2026-06-23 18:08:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mogamat Saied	retired
	2026-06-23 18:09:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tanya	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:13:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We cannot allow developers to steamroll over the public concerns - when we consider giving up green-zones - for housing development. We have to realise that these decisions cannot be reversed at a later date - and by later generations - it is up to us to defend the land-use - to ensure that the approvals are considered - and not in the best interests of the developer only	NIcholas	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:15:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are so few authentic community based ecosystems. It is awful to destroy this one.	Catherine	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:17:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Johan	retired
	2026-06-23 18:17:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Di	
	2026-06-23 18:21:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Andrea	retired

	2026-06-23 18:22:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Denise	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:23:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Moira	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:23:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Moira	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:35:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sheila	retired
	2026-06-23 18:36:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Paul	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:38:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lesley	
	2026-06-23 18:39:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nina	retired
	2026-06-23 18:44:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Dorothy	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 18:44:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I know one of the 2 independent schools which have resided there for over 20 years, Gaia Waldorf school. They are being evicted as the land is needed for development. Alongside the horses and small businesses, the space has become a well known sanctuary for artisans; the vegetable gardens, the atmosphere created around these is magical. To take it all away so that another high density area is created - it will take away from the 'green corridor' which has shaped the landscape for a long time. Green areas are much needed in cities, especially if the overall well-being of city dwellers is taken into account.	Violetka	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:53:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		What is wrong with you? Hold the corrupt officials responsible for this. This is against a few laws and against the will of the people. Where is the transparency? Why is there always corruption? Stop this NOW ¡!!!!!!? ? ? ? ? ? ?	Pierre	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:56:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Robyn	
	2026-06-23 19:06:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is a thriving eco conscious community and the profiteering by removing a long established slice of Cape Town's culture would be a travesty.	Jonathan	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 19:16:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We don't need more buildings and traffic in Pinelands it is already such a mission to get out in the mornings.	Tamarin	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:17:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yvonne	
	2026-06-23 19:18:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Amanda	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:28:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The space is a much-needed oasis that serves and supports many communities and groups.	S	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:36:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I do not support the development of this beautiful land and space!	Liesel	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 19:37:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bernadette	retired
	2026-06-23 19:40:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of Jobs. Gaia school ....closed Robin Trust .... closed Shops , gardens, horses , green lung ....all gone	Alan	retired
	2026-06-23 19:40:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Development here will be a big loss to Cape Town. There are some many organisations and artisans whose lives will be disrupted, including Robins Trust who not only provide nursing services but also runs a training centre for carers. Shame on you, DA City of Cape Town. Clearly a case of profit before people, once again.	Carnita	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:42:13	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Another project funded by Billionaires to get even richer. Stop destroying the eco system.	Hendrik	
	2026-06-23 19:45:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Why destroy a beautiful green space which serves so many people from all walks of life. Find another place more suitable.	Les	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:45:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Freddi	business owner
	2026-06-23 19:45:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lyneth	retired
	2026-06-23 19:49:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is not a vacant or underutilised piece of land; it is a thriving, self-sustaining micro-community that has built an interconnected ecosystem over 30 years. Pushing five-storey mixed-use developments onto this footprint will permanently displace vital social assets, including the Robin Trust medical care, Gaia Waldorf and Pinelands Montessori schools, O Grace Land transitional housing, and the Oude Molen Stables. Crucially, these practices are a direct continuation of a 130-year-old continuity of purpose tied to the surrounding Valkenberg institutional landscape, which has historically relied on land-based healing, agricultural therapy, and rehabilitation. Evicting these tenants severs an irreplaceable, living cultural asset.	Barbara	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:51:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Why must beauty and history be killed by greed of a select few?	Natasha	self-employed
	2026-06-23 19:55:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gary	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:56:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It has an impact on historical continuity in that my Forefather Willhelm Arnoldus Basson was brought to the Cape to farm for the DEICo in 1658. My heritage lies in this area and I would want that part of my heritage, as well as of those of the rest of the descendents of Willhelm Arnoldus and his free slave wife Angelina of Bengal, be buried under concrete monstrosities!	Clive	retired
	2026-06-23 20:00:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Irma	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:03:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tracey	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:03:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Alex	
	2026-06-23 20:18:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cumulative overwhelm on the existing infrastructure and massive procedural issues	Bernadette	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:27:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lindsay	
	2026-06-23 20:33:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lynne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:43:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Not following the regulation set out by the same city that is enforcing it is criminal. Profit do not come at the cost of the people. The city has already done this with the amazon buildings.	Mark	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:44:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is just wrong	David	retired
	2026-06-23 20:52:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pieter R	retired
	2026-06-23 20:52:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pieter R	retired
	2026-06-23 20:52:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pieter R	retired
	2026-06-23 21:14:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Frank	retired
	2026-06-23 21:18:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This space is used for small businesses, and provides jobs for many people who would not find work in a more formal structure. This is a sham, and is blatant disregard for all things, including heritage, job loss, small business close downs, and a place for community to work together. It is a place of hope, not of more dense living.	Gill	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 21:18:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This space is used for small businesses, and provides jobs for many people who would not find work in a more formal structure. This is a sham, and is blatant disregard for all things, including heritage, job loss, small business close downs, and a place for community to work together. It is a place of hope, not of more dense living.	Gill	Non-profit

	2026-06-23 21:27:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yasmin Gamildien	retired
	2026-06-23 21:33:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jacqui	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:34:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rod	retired
	2026-06-23 21:34:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bettie	retired
	2026-06-23 21:43:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elsa Louise	retired
	2026-06-23 21:51:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are other areas of undeveloped government and municipal land that can be used to address historical housing issues. Oude Molem exists as a viable entity and should be left alone.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-23 21:51:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are other areas of undeveloped government and municipal land that can be used to address historical housing issues. Oude Molem exists as a viable entity and should be left alone.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-23 21:56:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		So sick of this administration pandering to the elite property developing class selling off public land that remain of the few quaint, accessible by many, parts of Cape Town.	Dominique	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:01:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:05:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The DA run Western Cape Government has, through its actions over the past few years, proven that it prioritises business and income generation for the elite over the upliftment and wellbeing of the majority of the community who are born in the Western Cape. These citizens who have in the past voted for the DA now face a situation where they cannot afford to live in the very homes and neighbourhoods they were born in. This planned development is more evidence to support my argument. The DA runs the Western Cape as coldly as a Big Business whose top priority is to please its shareholders, having little to no concern for how their projects negatively impact the communities within the Province.	Adrian	
	2026-06-23 22:08:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jade	business owner
	2026-06-23 22:11:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Oliver	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:16:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Kendal	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:20:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Amy	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:24:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Joanne	
	2026-06-23 22:36:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This preccinct is so much a part of the area that its loss is a blight on the City. The pandering to developers at the expense of local infrastructure, community safety and access to critical services such as Vincent Palotti is something by which these "committee" should be embarassed.	Gareth	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:45:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kim	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:49:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Alan	
	2026-06-23 22:51:13	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosina	retired
	2026-06-23 22:53:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Diane	
	2026-06-23 22:59:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Annette	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:05:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rita	
	2026-06-23 23:43:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			ian	business owner
	2026-06-24 00:14:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It is a beautiful space where nature has a chance to thrive. A wonderful community exists within Oude Molen. There are amazing schools, the best ones by far. The open space for the animals is absolutely breathtaking, destroying all that for greed doesn’t even make sense. We cannot build on every open space that’s available. Rather invest in it and be a helping hand in shaping the future.	Rushana	employed individual
	2026-06-24 00:19:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Historic places, Open spaces , the existing community ecosystem and sensitive land areas MUST be protected from development! We are the stewards of the land and should protect and preserve this for our children and their children. Public schools are overfull. No development should ever be allowed unless public schools has been built for the specific area. People cannot afford private schools. Roads are not able to handle more traffic. This development plans must be stopped!	T	self-employed
	2026-06-24 00:19:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Historic places, Open spaces , the existing community ecosystem and sensitive land areas MUST be protected from development! We are the stewards of the land and should protect and preserve this for our children and their children. Public schools are overfull. No development should ever be allowed unless public schools has been built for the specific area. People cannot afford private schools. Roads are not able to handle more traffic. This development plans must be stopped!	T	self-employed
	2026-06-24 00:33:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Des	retired
	2026-06-24 02:54:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sabine	self-employed
	2026-06-24 02:56:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Matthys	business owner
	2026-06-24 02:56:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Matthys	business owner
	2026-06-24 02:58:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Overcrowding into the Cape metropolis should be avoided at all costs, not ignoring our heritage for future generations to enjoy.	Bernard	retired
	2026-06-24 03:09:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		NO - we need to preserve and maintain as much Natural Environment as possible, for all people of Cape Town to benefit by. The Urban Edge is under TOO MUCH pressure and needs protection.	Johann	retired
	2026-06-24 04:06:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Frank	retired
	2026-06-24 04:10:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is no doubt that Cape Town needs housing. But public transportation is actually the greater priority. Big modern cities, where a few million people are concentrated in a relatively small area, can only function because they have adequate public transport. In the absence of that, they simply become over-congested slums. These usually lack open areas, creating a congested environment, which drives away the taxpayers and leaves the city to the poor and unemployed. What our cities need is efficient rail services which can whisk residents long distances in a short time. Then we can build our much needed housing further afield without having to appropriate Cape Town's much needed open spaces.	Ronald Spencer	self-employed
	2026-06-24 04:54:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		If the City is planning to use the land to widen the road there, they will end up with a bigger problem. Cape town city only has so much space which is already crunched. The City is not thinking clearly. Back to the drawing board is an essential move.	Nick	
	2026-06-24 04:57:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I do not support the development	Deon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:03:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jakka	
	2026-06-24 05:15:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This site should be protected, not sold or redeveloped, because its true value lies in the lives it heals, the communities it supports, and the legacy it sustains for future generations.	Elmari	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:17:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a fabulous space which is being used by the Robyn Nest Trust to nurse people with lifelong health care. The main concern is the traffic problems it will create once the high density buildings have been built. It is already a nightmare on the surrounding freeway.	Carol	retired
	2026-06-24 05:30:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Penny	self-employed

	2026-06-24 05:50:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I agree to development but it must be done within the laws and in the best interest of all and not restricted to a limited special people	Tracey	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:08:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Clearly trying to put commercial gain over historical and local priorities	Ian	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:16:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Robin Trust, Gaia Waldorf School, as well as others on this property, serve Cape Town. Many people are employed and where will they go? Increased traffic will create a nightmare for motorists. We need more cultural and compassionate organizations. The Money Beast is going to destroy Cape Town and make it into another monster city. Who is profiting from this?	Rowena	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:21:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Patrick	retired
	2026-06-24 06:34:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Celeste	
	2026-06-24 06:36:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jeannine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:38:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Don’t do it	Clare	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:40:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It's not needed or wanted. No need to destroy a working community for the sake of it. This beautiful area needs updating and investment, NOT an overhaul. Here are the hard facts: Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape’s Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Andrea	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:41:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nick	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:46:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-24 06:49:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ronit	retired
	2026-06-24 06:51:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The government is not doing a proper feasibility study. The local infrastructure cannot handle the extra volume. The government is misleading and not being transparent neither are they getting full value which makes me wonder on fair valuation or someone getting a back hand or the DA. And I’m starting to wonder on the local government hidden agendas as they are not listening to the constituents. .	Clinton	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:51:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Denise	
	2026-06-24 06:53:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I object to the proposed redevelopment because it fails to adequately recognise and assess the existing social, therapeutic, educational, cultural and ecological value of the Oude Molen community. Oude Molen is not vacant or underutilised land. It is a functioning social and environmental ecosystem that supports the Robin Trust, schools, therapeutic programmes, eco-gardens, equestrian activities, small businesses and community-based initiatives. These services depend on the site's unique low-density, green and tranquil character and cannot simply be recreated elsewhere. The precinct also provides important ecosystem services that benefit the wider city. Existing gardens, mature trees, open green spaces and restoration projects help improve air quality, absorb carbon, reduce urban heat, support biodiversity, promote stormwater infiltration and provide habitat for birds, insects and other wildlife. Community composting initiatives divert organic waste from landfill and contribute to local food production, while ecological restoration efforts, including the Miyawaki forest project, demonstrate active environmental stewardship and climate resilience. These environmental functions exist alongside a long-established therapeutic and educational landscape linked to the broader Valkenberg precinct. The area's historic role in care, rehabilitation, education and land-based healing continues today through the community organisations operating on the site. This living social and environmental heritage derives its significance not only from historic buildings, but also from the relationships, practices, knowledge systems and community networks that have evolved there over many decades. I am concerned that the loss of these existing social and ecological benefits has not been adequately assessed in the project's evaluation of impacts and benefits. The displacement of established care facilities, schools, therapeutic programmes, community gardens, composting facilities and ecological restoration projects would result in the loss of significant public value that extends far beyond the physical footprint of the site. I therefore request that the City require a comprehensive and independent assessment of the social, cultural, therapeutic and ecological functions currently provided at Oude Molen, including the ecosystem services and climate-resilience benefits generated by the site, before any rezoning or development rights are approved.	Maya	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:53:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Simone	
	2026-06-24 06:57:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cape Town’s infrastructure is already inadequate. Why turn natural healthy spaces into the ghetto type developments we see mushrooming all over our beautiful city.	Val	retired
	2026-06-24 07:03:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Juan-Pierre	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:05:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Vanessa	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:11:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosana	
	2026-06-24 07:11:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Natalie	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:14:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosana	retired
	2026-06-24 07:14:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ben	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:17:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Desiree	retired
	2026-06-24 07:18:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value.	Junaid	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:22:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	
	2026-06-24 07:23:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Amanda	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:24:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are few unique places left in the country like Oude Molen precinct. The biggest issue for me is the “job creation” pitch when there are already so many people employed there. What happens to them after this? Just so that some already rich architect (it was proven that this was pitched last year to interested parties) gets more money? Sickening. The DA and city of Cape Town really know how to lose the votes of the people who put them into power in the first place. Sad end	Cleve	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:25:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Margaret	retired
	2026-06-24 07:26:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are many other areas where this can be achieved. Why mess with Heritage sites	Neville	retired
	2026-06-24 07:27:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are few breathing spaces left in the city. Cape Town’s drive for short term profit is shortsighted and does not consider the long term impact on residents and hospitals.	Kayla	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:28:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We are obliged to keep our heritage fot the following generations. How dare these small minded, greedy idiots rob what we have to create something so empty in comparison that will probably not even outlast the century.	Erika	
	2026-06-24 07:29:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City Council appears to be set on squeezing as many people into the Peninsula Willy Nilly! There is a finite limit to this overpopulation. The Council appears to be hellbent on using every bit of Green Space to achieve its ends. The City needs it’s Green belts.	Kerry	retired
	2026-06-24 07:29:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		These are the spaces that makes Cape Town what it is… its character, its open spaces , living ecosystems in between the urban rush, the ability for alternative communities to thrive . We need these spaces to retain our humanness in this corporate race of urbanization and commodification of space abd livelihoods. We have visited Oude Molen so often over the years with our kids and we still do. It always leaves us filled with wonder. It is not the City’s right to erase these spaces. This is living heritage.	Martine	

	2026-06-24 07:30:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rome wasn't built in a day...there is a community here as well as historical Xhosa ancestral significance to this sight. City went ahead with ugly Amazon building.... Now leave some space for the people	Cher	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:30:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cape Town has its charm with its open spaces, seeing the horses on the way to the airport. Cape Town used to be known for embracing all and Ouse moments is a safe haven for a community that serves the greater community. There is so much land that is open not far from it for housing. To me the DA at the City has become greedy and taking care of the assets of Cape Town in the open land.	Cherina	
	2026-06-24 07:33:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cape Town has few green lungs and we have seen the Liesbeeck River flood into Liesbeeck Parkway since the building of the Amazon/ Riverland complex. The Oude Molen site is a wonderful area supporting many small enterprises. I believe that it is false to say that development of the site will lead to a permanent increase in employment We do not need anymore commercial buildings in the area the Riverland area is more than enough. The Road system will not support any more traffic either . One just has to see the bumper to bumper traffic on the N2 and the M5 to know this.	Denise	retired
	2026-06-24 07:34:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The entire process has been mired in false information and illegal procedures.	David	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:34:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The entire process has been mired in false information and illegal procedures.	David	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:34:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jane	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:36:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Shaheema	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:37:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a heritage site and is serving a good cause. It has to be left untouched by developers who only see money.	Caren	
	2026-06-24 07:37:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Salome	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:43:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I have been coming to Oudemoulen eco village for about 20 years. Firstly to buy food from the original farm stall, bringing my nieces and nephews to play here and ride the horses, and also for work. I work in the film industry and we often use the offices at prop art to work from. We employ a lot of people, hire a lot of props and buy our lunch from the farm stall. I bring my dogs walking here. It is a safe space where I can walk alone. It it a small green space where community is vibrant and people are always ready to stop and chat. It will be a devastating loss to loose this area in a town where things are constantly getting knocked down and more flats built. Also concerning is the amount of flats they want to build on an already overloaded infrastructure. Stop this development. Leave Oudemoulen to the people of Cape Town. It is a heritage site and should remain one.	Jennifer	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:43:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pat	retired
	2026-06-24 07:43:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pat	retired
	2026-06-24 07:44:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Catherine	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:45:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It is time for the all consuming development greed to come to an end. There is no reason for a high density development to be placed in the Oude Molen precinct. Heritage protection must be the all encompassing rule that governs this area. There have been enough submissions made to make it off limits to the money hungry greed that is trying to overcome what is to remain a Heritage protected area.	Paul	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 07:45:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		My concerns are all of the above listed	Ruth	retired
	2026-06-24 07:49:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tina	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:50:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Government needs to work with existing tenants to improve an already vibrant hub of community and economic activity.	Sandy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:51:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		High density , increase in traffic with no provision for additional schools., traffic flow and damage to the eco system . with this proposal and the proposed development of King David Mowbray golf course, the impact on existing infrastructure, traffic and all that goes with high density living is not sustainable. The existing housing right next door should be improved without a single person being displaced from their residence at no cost to those residents .	John	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:54:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ingrid	retired
	2026-06-24 07:55:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Adrian	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:56:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			kirk	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:56:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Unlawful - the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA.	Laila	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:56:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Wendy	retired
	2026-06-24 07:56:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elsje	retired
	2026-06-24 07:57:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Why is the city of Cape town trying to take away existing utilized spaces and jobs when there are other open non used spaces? As soon as this space is given over to developers, all the existing charm will be destroyed forever.	Andy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:10:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations	Joanna	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:11:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tiger	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:13:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ruhner	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:14:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bridget	
	2026-06-24 08:16:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We should first be looking to rebuild what we already have, improve the existing infrastructure, develop further outside the city so there is no dire need to be central, and sort of the AirBnb crisis so that we actually know what we need.	Amber	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:16:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tammy	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:17:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Brian	retired
	2026-06-24 08:18:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Julie	retired
	2026-06-24 08:18:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Brian	retired
	2026-06-24 08:19:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		While urban development and land-use rezonings are important, one has to wonder if the City is prioritizing the right initiatives. Hot on the heels of the controversial decisions surrounding the Mowbray Golf Course land, this latest move raises serious questions about municipal priorities. Cape Town is currently facing critical challenges regarding affordable housing, strained infrastructure, and basic service delivery. Surely the City should be focusing its administrative resources on these pressing crises before fast-tracking secondary development projects?	Marco	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:20:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I personally know the Oude Molen area and see it as a successful grassroots community, providing many services, safe spaces and jobs already. The destruction of this would be a terrible decision, for a projected gain that seems to have many flaws and drawbacks, as well as not following proper procedure (which is a big red flag already). The proposed plans are not development, they are destruction of an already working space that has much to offer and can be supported instead for the net gain of the already existing community as well as for Cape Town.	Louisa	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:21:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jane	
	2026-06-24 08:23:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		COCT has the polity of development above all else. If has little regard for heritage and public comment.	Theo	retired
	2026-06-24 08:24:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Diana	retired
	2026-06-24 08:24:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This space is public-owned and should remain there for the public. I love the ecologically sound area, no large commercial blocks, consisting of concrete styled to cover the beauty and natural aesthetic of the area. Invest in public gardens and vegetable-growing hubs. Teach sustainability, keep schools public, engage minds across age barriers, invite community activists to share their knowledge and an immense amount of eco-wealth. Let the horses roam, the birds flourish, and the people live. Stop this urban development, look at the monstrosity at Black River. Sies Cape Town.	Wendy-Ann	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:25:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Leon	
	2026-06-24 08:25:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ou de Molen is an historical heritage site of great beauty and supports an established community focused on preserving the space, nature thrives and it is rare to have that green open space in the middle of Cape Town. I would support plans to restore the existing buildings, but not plans to build and knock down and remove the established community there. I am totally against development of that land. Why not support the existing businesses there by restoring and regenerating the land? for 20 years we have visited that area to ride the horses, buy organic food, have meals, go to birthday parties, we have used Robin Trust, hired a venue for holistic courses and will continue to do so on a weekly basis. Ou de molen community has so much to offer. It cannot be wiped out by a property development	Camilla	business owner

	2026-06-24 08:26:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Debbie	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:26:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Christian	retired
	2026-06-24 08:26:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		A. The site currently supports an irreplaceable ecosystem: The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest. B. Here are the hard facts: 1. Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. 2. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. 6. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along. C. Top concerns: 1. Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied. 2. Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. 3. Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight. 4. Predetermination of outcomes. 5. Systematic loss of public land and open space. 6. Absence of an area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers Corridor. 7. Cumulative infrastructure strain. 8. Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims. 9. Environmental and ecological threats.	Ida	retired
	2026-06-24 08:27:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			SHOUNEEZ	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:28:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kallie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:28:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is an important center of our community in Cape Town and should not be replaced by a development, especially one so dishonestly pitched and proposed.	Matt	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:29:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I used to visit the area frequently with my daughter for horseriding lessons, and also for her to experience a semirural area. Later on I discovered it was of heritage value. Please, leave our open spaces alone!	Jean	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:33:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Theo	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:34:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tish	retired
	2026-06-24 08:36:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We regularly use Oude Molen for grocery shopping, horse riding and general recreational activities, it's a beautiful green space and more building and offices destroy this beautiful green community space.	Caeli	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:37:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			J	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:41:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is yet another attempt to destroy any remaining culture in Cape Town. Oude Molen is a key part of many people's lives - from going there for community workshops and events to the donkey cart selling vegetables to the education given by the permaculture fasciliatators. It's a centre of culture and creativity that CANNOT be destroyed. As Cape Town is slowly stripped of any cultural relevance, leaving only rich, self-entitled landlords with no connection to the Mother City, all the people who make it a great city are leaving in one of the worst cultural dearths this province will ever feel. Stop killing our past, and make the city once again for all.	Timothy James	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:42:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Once again the City is threatening marginalised community structures. Spaces such as Oude Molen are critical as long term spaces of calm between increased high density living.	Phillippa	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:43:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This has long been a valuable green and accessible space for building community, and a precious heritage site. It is a site of spiritual connection and significance, as well as of environmental and ecological education. It is one of the very few remaining free green open spaces in the city, and hundreds of people have viable, permanent jobs, unlike the proposed development project which will, yet again, offer only temporary labour-related jobs which will disappear once the project is completed. What the city needs to do is provide support to develop the green space into a vibrant open park for recreation and enjoyment for ALL citizens.	Barbara	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:43:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen has been an environmental and ecological green space in a city that is rapidly becoming paved over. I think it is vitally important. Because open space is worth more than money, Leave this beautiful space alone. Thank you	Lorretta	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:44:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		An historical space that should be accessible to the citizens of Cape Town.	pieter	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:44:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sybrand Johannes	student
	2026-06-24 08:45:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Barbara	retired
	2026-06-24 08:45:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I strongly feel that what is already at Ouse Molen is necessary for a multi cultural city such as Cape Town as this site is also multi cultural and offers an environment that cannot easily be duplicated anywhere - from employment to support.	Ian	retired
	2026-06-24 08:47:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This space is doing such good for the community of Pinelands and surrounds, to destroy the natural space and heritage site is sacrilege.	Liz	
	2026-06-24 08:48:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The Oude Moulen Precinct is home to a thriving community of non-profit organisations, indiginous peoples (the Khoi community) and organic farms. The City of Cape Town is acting in a ruthless and illigal manner in attempting to displace this community and replace it with monstrous commercial developments that will only benefit the wealthy elite. The City shows complete disregard for the law, particularly its own laws that have been developed to protect heritage sites, indiginous cultures and the environment, as it has shown in its sale of the historic Leesbeek River site and now the Oude Moulen Precinct. These dispicable actions signal a war by the wealthy elites against the city's history, indiginous and vulnerable peoples and its environment. The City must not be allowed to continue its ruthless, immoral and illegal actions.	Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:49:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Sarah	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 08:49:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elaine	retired
	2026-06-24 08:50:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Iemtienan	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:53:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Seth	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:53:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:54:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Total destabilisation of this heritage area, and the knock on effects will be widespread and intense. Notably the immense traffic impact, access to Vincent Palotti emergency services and daily medical access. But mostly the real human impact on the lives of the many who live there and depend on the eco village for their livelihoods. The real impact on the river corrodidor and biodiversity of this important green lung cannot be overestimated. The disingenuous proposal with its false data and claims is unlawful, and morally and ethically unacceptable in the extreme.	Andrea	retired
	2026-06-24 08:54:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			charles	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:56:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Citizens have to strictly adhere to building regulations but government thinks they are exempt. No thanks. Go build elsewhere	Pieter	

	2026-06-24 08:57:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Heyns	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:03:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Moulen has a valuable place in Pinelands providing useful ,commodities and services to the public. It is an institution that is part of the character of the area. The proposed development has grossly underestimated the impact it will have in the area with limited infrastructure, road capacity whilst destroying the quiet character of the area. COCT should be looking at these developments away from our suburbs to the City Center and surrounding areas where there are ample opportunities for these kinds of developments which would serve communities better.	Virgilio	retired
	2026-06-24 09:10:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are so many reasons why this development should not go ahead but I will give just one. Many people currently work in this precinct and support multiple other people within their families and communities. These developers will not incorporate them into the new project and they will simply lose their livelihoods. And we DO NOT need another expensive private school in this town!	Justine	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:14:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Veena	retired
	2026-06-24 09:15:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Iwell	
	2026-06-24 09:17:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marlize	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:17:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Meyer	retired
	2026-06-24 09:19:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marion	retired
	2026-06-24 09:21:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Leave Oude Meulen alone!	Nanette	retired
	2026-06-24 09:21:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a unique community of artisans and gardeners that have added a rich diversity to how a neighborhood and businesses can co-exist and thrive in previous government buildings. It would be a loss for what has become a successful alternative lifestyle blueprint.	Rob	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:22:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Erin	retired
	2026-06-24 09:23:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Robert	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:25:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jacqui	retired
	2026-06-24 09:28:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are no words for how corrupt this monstrous plan is. Stop destroying our city	Aeiden	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:29:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sandrine	retired
	2026-06-24 09:29:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Keith	retired
	2026-06-24 09:31:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We need festive eco conscious communities in any city This eco village can become a major attraction to tourism (alla Christiania in Copenhagen) and continue to be an educational centre	Giuliano	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:31:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Liam	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:31:21	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sheryl	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:32:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of another open pace which is so necessary for times we live in. Loss of Existing Community Ecosystems & Historical Continuity.	Anna	retired
	2026-06-24 09:34:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude moulen has been a mixed cultural hub within cape town for decades and is not only loved and cherished by lopcal residents, but tourists and visitors alike. It provides a central hub for small businesses and has a thriving small local economy providing for people with little in the way of options, this is rare within the city hub.	noel	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:36:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rhonda	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:42:52	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Wynand	
	2026-06-24 09:46:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Zorya	
	2026-06-24 09:46:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Zorya	
	2026-06-24 09:47:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This decision seems to be being deliberately rushed. And at the expense of the large numbers of existing stakeholders and workers on the property already. There's a different vision possible - of regenerative, locally relevant, inclusive development - which the existing model is an example I and many, many others have often found inspiring. Engaging properly with the existing model, and asking those there for proper input on any additional investment needed to really upgrade according to a genuinely sustainable communal vision, is ACTUALLY what should be taking place here. Anything else is morally reprehensible, legally questionable and socially damaging.	Simric	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:48:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Sue	business owner
	2026-06-24 09:50:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elmarie	retired
	2026-06-24 09:52:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Karin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:58:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			inge	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:02:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Neil	retired
	2026-06-24 10:04:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:06:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kathinka	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:18:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jo	retired
	2026-06-24 10:18:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jonathan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:20:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Valerie	retired
	2026-06-24 10:28:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		One more barbaric destruction of our beautiful Capetown for profit, support of the rich and take it out on the poor and the environment.	Anton	retired
	2026-06-24 10:33:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Patrick	retired
	2026-06-24 10:34:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		How about the City of CT thinks "out of the box" for a change!! Build on-and expand the social / health / educstional infrastructure for those in need. Not just financially in need but also for mental , and physical health. UPGRADE what Oude Molen Offers - come alongside, instead of using bulldozer tactics. How can you jam in more people?? Where does the water come from, and go to? How many dams have we built - of serious proportions? Remember that farmers need irrigation or we get no food. Oude Molen could be a productive hub - not a NewYork style suburb. Done with venting for the day - I'll just do some deep breathing.	Daphen	retired
	2026-06-24 10:36:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nora	retired
	2026-06-24 10:41:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lisette	
	2026-06-24 10:46:33	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			John	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:49:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Melt	retired

	2026-06-24 10:50:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Rene	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:07:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Celeste	retired
	2026-06-24 11:07:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Daleen	retired
	2026-06-24 11:07:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Daleen	retired
	2026-06-24 11:22:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The Living heritage is overlooked, with ancestral claim clearly documented from 1510 and the living descendants of King Gogoshoa (1510) still staying on the property. It is a very sacred piece of land and the Goringhaiqua clan use the rivers as places of worship	Johann	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 11:25:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			J	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:28:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosalie	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:29:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value.	dirk	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:37:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Space for community activities is as important as housing.	Joran	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:52:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Shaun	
	2026-06-24 11:54:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hannelie	retired
	2026-06-24 11:56:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Our country needs to keep open areas and ecosystems for the sake of our children and their children.	Renee	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:04:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hannah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:05:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Catherine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:13:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Carol	retired
	2026-06-24 12:16:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The city of CT needs a space like Oude Molen . it provides more than a colourful and vibrant energy, it's a testament to the diversity, inclusiveness and wildly liberal flavour that makes Cape Town the best City in the world. it's part of a recipe that can only be found here in the Cape. to replace it with yet another cookie cutter development would be a travesty and poor show of the kind of appreciation we should have for our diverse and eclectic communities .	Natalie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:19:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Caryl	retired
	2026-06-24 12:26:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Midge	retired
	2026-06-24 12:29:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pam	retired
	2026-06-24 12:29:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			David	retired
	2026-06-24 12:33:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Anaya	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:38:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rather focus on improving existing large sections of land that is used as townships. Instead or rezoning public property, maybe fix townships up to help the actual residents and create more housing availability.	Zander	business owner
	2026-06-24 12:39:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			GLORIA	
	2026-06-24 13:01:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The public are already using this space and providing jobs by using the space. I do not support this proposed development AT ALL. It will only benefit the elite and not local South African citizens The proposed development must be halted complete and a different area considered.	Nasreen	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 13:06:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along.	Nicolette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:23:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Brydi	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:26:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			David	retired
	2026-06-24 13:43:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City of Cape Town is hell bent on closing anything that's no in there favour financially	Rashid	retired
	2026-06-24 13:51:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mac	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 14:00:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rasheedah	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 14:07:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lesley	
	2026-06-24 14:22:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The Municipality and it's developer buddies are only seeing money They are destroying the aesthetics of the area and will affect tourism negatively Stop being greedy and leave CaoeTown as the beautiful city that it is.The DA is starting to behave exactly as the ANC .There comfort zone must come to an end.	Willie	retired
	2026-06-24 14:30:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Siraaj	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:34:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Geoffrey J	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:35:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hans	retired
	2026-06-24 14:43:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Imaan	student
	2026-06-24 14:47:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jacques	retired
	2026-06-24 14:49:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Haanim	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:07:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pieter	retired
	2026-06-24 15:12:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nicole	

	2026-06-24 15:12:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosemarie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:13:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Estelle	retired
	2026-06-24 15:18:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			August	retired
	2026-06-24 15:21:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I'm all for community development and building new homes but not at the expense of green space and community services	Kurvin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:21:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I'm all for community development and building new homes but not at the expense of green space and community services	Kurvin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:21:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I'm all for community development and building new homes but not at the expense of green space and community services	Kurvin	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:29:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jenni-lee	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:37:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		1. The Loss of the existing community will be devastating: The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations. 2. The ecological threat is inconceivable: not just reducing the available open spaces but the wetland can never be replaced The 13-hectare site directly borders the sensitive Black River corridor and wetland system. Despite the intense scale and density of a five-storey proposal, no independent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted. The site is a documented habitat for protected species, including the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Pushing this rezoning through without evaluating the cumulative ecological impacts alongside neighboring mega-developments violates basic environmental sustainability principles.	Judith	business owner
	2026-06-24 15:37:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kathy	retired
	2026-06-24 15:38:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hilary	retired
	2026-06-24 15:49:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Using all means legal or illegal to push through high density developments for the sake of investments only and not taking into account what it does to the rest of the community vibe or the physical congestion of cars , insufficient water and sanitation planning and of course heritage sites , is clearly not advisable.	Riana	business owner
	2026-06-24 16:02:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The city is being overdeveloped with spatial planning driven by greed. Green spaces must be protected because of ecosystem sensitivities	Bernard	retired
	2026-06-24 16:22:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Vina	retired
	2026-06-24 16:38:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Terri	retired
	2026-06-24 16:39:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sharon	retired
	2026-06-24 16:45:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lauren	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:45:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of eco community, historical sites and open public green space. Housing is obviously important , but destroying open and green spaces is a problem for the future generations. Will also add to our already problems with traffic	Patrick	retired
	2026-06-24 16:49:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			John	retired
	2026-06-24 17:11:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is home to a long standing community of people who foster social, inclusive, creative, and sustainable ideals. It is one of the few communities lo its kind left in Cape Town	Robyn	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:20:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			William	
	2026-06-24 17:26:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		to be honest, all the point above concern me - not only the answer I have chosen. to replace Oude Molen with the proposed development would be a great loss.	Helmke	self-employed
	2026-06-24 17:29:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Rather develop the Old Abattoir for housing, The site has already solid buildings that can be converted for housing, Basic infrastructure is already there and the site is close to all public transport!	Geert	
	2026-06-24 17:30:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Brian	retired
	2026-06-24 17:35:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenburg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Cecilia	retired
	2026-06-24 18:22:13	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jane	
	2026-06-24 18:44:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The property in Oude Molen is a wonderful place to take your children to give them the opportunity to learn more about nature and the ecosystem. It is a close nit community which provides employment opportunities for the people in the community.	Debbie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 18:46:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gill	retired
	2026-06-24 18:47:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		City is known for removing old Coloured communities and dumping them in Council estates to entrench gangsterism in Coloured people to make way for their Jewish and Zionist friends.	Stuart	retired
	2026-06-24 18:50:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nell	retired
	2026-06-24 18:52:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am concerned about the loss of existing businesses and jobs and also about the excess congestion of roads and other infrastructure, particularly considering the Mowbray golf course development which is in the same area. Emergency access to Vincent Pilate Hospital is bound to be negatively affected. Also am concerned that there's no guarantee that this will provide affordable housing close to the city for poorer citizens.	Martin	business owner
	2026-06-24 18:53:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			neil	retired
	2026-06-24 19:03:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Barend	self-employed
	2026-06-24 19:09:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			C	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:10:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Katja	self-employed
	2026-06-24 19:17:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Valerie Joan	retired
	2026-06-24 19:18:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, a stable, lodges, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain.	Fumi	self-employed
	2026-06-24 19:26:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Shirley	retired
	2026-06-24 19:41:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mornique	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:51:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Helen	
	2026-06-24 20:00:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			charles	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:02:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			marcelle	retired
	2026-06-24 20:10:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marguerite	
	2026-06-24 20:15:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude molen has many aspects of incredible attributes for all types of people. The space is essential to so.many for many reasons!	Lee	self-employed

	2026-06-24 20:41:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Iona	retired
	2026-06-24 20:41:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Iona	retired
	2026-06-24 21:09:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cape Town City is determined to destroy our city and suburbs with their destructive densification plans.	Linda	retired
	2026-06-24 21:18:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		It's unjustifiable why it's always the small and already existing towns, the ones that have very unique characteristics, extremely niche with its long term residents, heritage and open natural spaces, get hacked. Western Cape Government, predominantly DA, only seams to redevelop by destruction. Destroying neighbourhoods, together. Selling land to developers left right and centre, labelling it as "inner city development" -"for the people". Furthermore turning this small village city, Cape Town to levels we cannot afford or would want to live in pale, concrete cookie cut factory build houses. Sunnydale is also on the chopping block and so is Bel Ombre. Leave OudeMolen as it is. It has business, schools, homes and it's a breathing lifestyle culture on its own. Fix what is broken rather than breaking it all and say, look it's shiny.	Audéll	
	2026-06-24 21:27:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jan	
	2026-06-24 21:57:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is not in the interest of a balance spatial planning for the greater cape towns needs and concerns	Deon	business owner
	2026-06-24 22:06:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Clayton	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:14:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Iain	business owner
	2026-06-24 22:30:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Cathy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:41:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tracy	self-employed
	2026-06-24 23:06:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Eric	retired
	2026-06-25 03:58:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kirsten	employed individual
	2026-06-25 04:43:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hands off our Oude Molen! This land and the people, animals, community gardens, schools, artists, palliative care facility are all part of our ecosystem.	Ananda	
	2026-06-25 05:00:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Barbara	retired
	2026-06-25 05:58:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		NOOOOO This is a heritage site...!!!! leave it alone...!!	Bruce	self-employed
	2026-06-25 07:42:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Dena	
	2026-06-25 07:51:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kim	self-employed
	2026-06-25 08:26:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Ivan	
	2026-06-25 08:34:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mandy	self-employed
	2026-06-25 09:01:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Chantal	retired
	2026-06-25 09:09:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Phillipa	self-employed
	2026-06-25 09:18:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Maria	business owner
	2026-06-25 09:31:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		No I do not support this huge development as the traffic would be extremely bad entrance and exit roads are unsuitable for more traffic trucks etc. this is a Heritage site so leave it alone, it helps so many people with out door therapy , where there is nowhere else to go. there are other places to be done for housing and 8 stories high ????	Leigh	self-employed
	2026-06-25 10:12:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Green space that needs to exist for nature conservation and existing animals, surrounded by burgeoning urban growth. It's unfair to absorb this space into further development. Cape Town is booming, but it should not be at the cost of nature.	Sandrea	employed individual
	2026-06-25 10:30:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			willy	retired
	2026-06-25 10:41:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-25 10:42:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Linda	retired
	2026-06-25 10:43:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Richard	employed individual
	2026-06-25 11:15:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Janet	employed individual
	2026-06-25 11:41:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Amanda	self-employed
	2026-06-25 11:56:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The road infrastructure will not be able to handle that amount of people and traffic and it is right on a vital wetlands area.	Vivienne	employed individual
	2026-06-25 12:06:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Venessa	retired
	2026-06-25 12:19:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		For the last 50 years of my life I worked every single day, not expecting anything for free. A part of the population believe that they are entitled to be supported by people like myself and then still expect more. This is just another area that is going to be destroyed within 10 years	Tiaan	retired
	2026-06-25 13:03:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The community has a right to stay on this land. Our school is there. Why does the city want to develop this land specifically when they just sold off a bunch of non used land? It is because of corporate overlords who are pushing agendas and city officials and developers seeing huge potential to line their own pockets. No matter the cost to valuable ecosystems and human lives. The greed really gets to me. It is sad.	Stephanie	
	2026-06-25 13:42:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am very concerned about both the destruction of a community ecosystem & the ongoing loss of the integrity of the wetland ecosystem - throughout the Western Cape these projects are pushed through regardless as there is 'big money' involved. And protection of these environments and here close to the City is very important in my [and many others] opinion.	Anne	self-employed
	2026-06-25 13:54:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Wayne	self-employed
	2026-06-25 13:59:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sal	retired
	2026-06-25 14:54:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Carol	self-employed
	2026-06-25 15:26:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Oliver	self-employed
	2026-06-25 15:28:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Celia	self-employed
	2026-06-25 15:33:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a historical area and countryside ..	Fiona	retired
	2026-06-25 15:46:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		As the Dear South Africa email reveals: "Official project documentation reveals deep structural flaws, misreported metrics, and direct evidence of predetermination.". This is a heritage site which should be respected. Access to the Vincent Pallotti Hospital will be severely compromised, thus putting many lives at risk.	Janet	retired
	2026-06-25 15:52:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			terry	retired
	2026-06-25 16:12:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stop this irregularity.	Halina	retired

	2026-06-25 17:08:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Just like the City of Cape Town has allowed Amazon and others to build over the 3 rivers park, the most sacred part of Africa! So it is that now across the road, the City of Cape Town wants to give away Public Open Space reserved for public purposes and not reserved for profit.	David	self-employed
	2026-06-25 17:16:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pia	business owner
	2026-06-25 17:16:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pia	business owner
	2026-06-25 18:18:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen eco Village has developped over 20 years a unique endeavour that ensures respect and research of historical and ecological local ressources. A large number of small businesses, schools, a public swimming pool and recreative areas for children and adults are established in Oude Molen eco Village with a large number of persons employed, trained or entertained. It is a public space enjoyed by a vast number of inhabitants from around and further. This develoment, very quicky and badly conveived is for private use and only will be very detrimental to the area and Cape Town at large.	Sylvie	business owner
	2026-06-25 18:56:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Cynthia	
	2026-06-25 19:13:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tania	retired
	2026-06-25 19:13:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tania	retired
	2026-06-25 19:34:13	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Alet	employed individual
	2026-06-25 19:46:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City of Cape Town cannot afford to loose any more green spaces and ecosystems	Lizette	
	2026-06-25 19:54:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jason	employed individual
	2026-06-25 20:17:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Margaret	retired
	2026-06-25 21:46:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Irene	retired
	2026-06-25 22:11:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I live in Pinelands, on Peak Drive which is very close to the development. It breaks my heart that government can be so deceiving and have so little regard for what is important in the city. They are taking out one green space at a time and instead of fostering community and regeneration of green spaces, they are supporting corruption and development with no forward thinking, no urban planning and no transparency. Buildings will be badly made due to cheap tenders. Promises will not be kept for proposed schools and public spaces. They will get licenses based on empty promises. What will happen to the community, the horses, the ecosystem? If development happens, it needs to be sustainable and cannot wipe out what has been built for years of hard work. This development, like the golf course, proves how little government thinks of the people of the country and how much they are driven by greed. They are veiling their intentions with seemingly appealing promises but I deeply fear how the project will be executed and what it will do to our richly historic and community driven neighbourhood. Perhaps goverment can develop proper public spaces like the greenpoint park? When the world cup took out the golf course for the stadium, we got a thriving park. Why can't we do the same here and have a compromise?	Bianca	employed individual
	2026-06-25 22:14:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sabrina	
	2026-06-25 22:25:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Judy	retired
	2026-06-26 04:32:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am deeply disappointed with DA's lack of town planning, infrastructure for massive traffic issues and water problems. It seems as though the DA is doing exactly what the ANC are doing and have no concerns for those who are paying the rates and taxes. DA is making sure that Cape Town is losing it's soul.	Debbie	self-employed
	2026-06-26 06:28:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marcha	
	2026-06-26 06:32:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Jakes	unemployed individual
	2026-06-26 06:41:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Anine	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:06:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gail	retired
	2026-06-26 07:06:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Chereann	
	2026-06-26 07:08:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Riyaaz	self-employed
	2026-06-26 07:26:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Victoria	self-employed
	2026-06-26 07:40:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Esme	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:51:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Frieda	
	2026-06-26 08:27:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rory	employed individual
	2026-06-26 09:10:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sylvie	retired
	2026-06-26 09:57:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is a far richer green space and unique rural enclave than Rondebosch Common, and should be protected as such.	Chris	self-employed
	2026-06-26 10:10:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Development is not always progress. A more integrated approach could be more apt for the area. Keep what is next to what can be. Lastly, follow due process	Derek	self-employed
	2026-06-26 10:15:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			BRETT	
	2026-06-26 10:46:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Minette	employed individual
	2026-06-26 11:05:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I am concerned about what I am reading that the existing businesses will be flattened to make space for high density housing. Surely there are other areas with less of a historical significance where housing can be built on. I do frequent the Oude Molen space and it has a very specific feel to it and I am mostly concerned about the livelihood of the current businesses and employees.	Cheron	business owner
	2026-06-26 11:18:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This heritage area should be protected, not torn down. It brings rich value that can never be replaced. Also, the increase in traffic to an already congested area is of huge concern, of even more concern is to note that during the development, trauma patients would be affected which may incur loss of lives. This proposal is just wrong on every level, find another place to put this development where it could be of value instead of destruction.	Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:18:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Carolynn	retired
	2026-06-26 12:23:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I would actually like to combine this loss of heritage and community support with the loss of open spaces and free public grounds which are safe and add to the ecological corridor allowing wildlife to cross to the west coast.	Kate	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:33:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Renata	employed individual
	2026-06-26 12:38:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hanifa	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:49:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bev	
	2026-06-26 13:21:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Phyllis	
	2026-06-26 14:03:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kelly	business owner
	2026-06-26 14:19:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Linden	self-employed
	2026-06-26 14:57:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Anne	retired
	2026-06-26 16:35:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		City of CT, you're surrounding Pinelands with actual or proposed mass housing and giving no attention or thought to the environment, let alone sewerage, roads. Planned congestion. The Old Mutual end, Mowbray Golf course, Oude Moulen. Behave yourselves. Remember the elections...	Anthony	retired

	2026-06-26 16:42:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Olga	
	2026-06-26 16:53:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Alice	employed individual
	2026-06-26 17:21:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Carla	employed individual
	2026-06-26 18:11:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I remember visiting Oude Moulen as a schoolchild, and was struck but he inrcredible work the riding school was doing with disabled children. I think it would be a terrible loss to erase this space and a missed opportunity to put the money into developing this space further for the community as part of a redevelopment plan.	Julia	employed individual
	2026-06-26 18:29:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marguerite	self-employed
	2026-06-26 18:46:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Karen	employed individual
	2026-06-26 19:24:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rosanne	retired
	2026-06-26 19:32:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pam	retired
	2026-06-26 20:33:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		A very special place will be lost and needs to be supported. City of Cape Town is once again ignoring history, destroying green and open spaces.	Avis	retired
	2026-06-26 21:05:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	
	2026-06-26 21:05:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 21:05:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a safe haven to many people and staff...	Lali	self-employed
	2026-06-26 22:22:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Renata	employed individual
	2026-06-27 07:09:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This space is functioning in it's current capacity, serving the community and providing jobs. There is plenty of other vacant land owned by the State that can be used. The rezoning appears to be motivated by greed and profit.	Robyn	retired
	2026-06-27 07:35:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Fran	retired
	2026-06-27 08:44:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jill	
	2026-06-27 09:12:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I think having more and more.high density housing in cape town defeats the beauty of the city rather build out near new winelands airport and.leave the central city areas alone .we have far to many people in this zone already!	Larwood	
	2026-06-27 09:26:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Unacceptable to take away the livelihoods, facilities and greenbelt of an existing community. Suggest an up grade incorporating the existing infrastructure	Peter	retired
	2026-06-27 09:34:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Peter	retired
	2026-06-27 10:05:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Currently oude molen proves a well needed service in the city. They have a long-standing reputation .	Louie	retired
	2026-06-27 10:52:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I have been a part of the OMEV community for close to 30 years and have witnessed first hand the positive impact the village had had on the communities in and around the village. It is a refuge for vulnerable people, a shelter for abused animals, a resource for humble businesses, a sanctuary for everyone, a small business incubator, and is a pioneer of eco friendly agriculture in an urban setting. The historical significance is also important and the buildings should be protected. Densification will destroy thé communities and the eco friendly environment. There are other properties the city could use in place of OMEV. This is an existing and thriving community and it has the right to continue.	Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-27 11:00:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Moulen Moulen encapsulates the essence of the Pinelands community. And the schools are part of our home. How horrible to consider demolishing the pride of an existing community?	Shamega	employed individual
	2026-06-27 11:06:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Traffic congestion will be a nightmare for Pinelands residents. Jobs will be lost. Community will be moved and destroyed. The school there will be destroyed	Kim	self-employed
	2026-06-27 11:36:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Krystyna	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 11:56:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Marilyn	Non-profit
	2026-06-27 13:21:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tarryn	employed individual
	2026-06-27 13:27:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jackie	employed individual
	2026-06-27 13:58:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Roland	retired
	2026-06-27 14:22:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nicky	
	2026-06-27 15:04:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Loss of periurban work opportunities for people not suitable for mainstream work. Loss of open areas . Densivication Loss of open sky line increasing claustrophobia and adding to mental stress. Destruction of communities and support systems eg District 6...could go on for a long time	Pepe	retired
	2026-06-27 15:11:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elke	retired
	2026-06-27 15:12:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yvette	retired
	2026-06-27 15:51:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Louise	retired
	2026-06-27 16:25:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Oliver	student
	2026-06-27 16:36:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We need spaces like ou de Molen .	Teresa	employed individual
	2026-06-27 16:42:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Keith	retired
	2026-06-27 16:46:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Antony	retired
	2026-06-27 20:00:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			DuQuesne	employed individual
	2026-06-27 20:14:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 20:29:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Josie	self-employed

	2026-06-27 20:53:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Deborah	employed individual
	2026-06-27 22:03:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations.	Sean	self-employed
	2026-06-27 22:23:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Gabriella	employed individual
	2026-06-27 23:13:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is plenty of other land to develop. This development will have a negative impact on the suburb, the livelyhood of the people working at Oude Molen and it will destroy a ecosystem.	Tanya	self-employed
	2026-06-28 01:00:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is other land that can be used. Communities need green space and ecosystems need to be protected. Why is it a priority to have high density living spaces. Is this the vision for cape Town?	Nicky	
	2026-06-28 06:11:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Donald	
	2026-06-28 06:33:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Christine	self-employed
	2026-06-28 07:18:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			David	retired
	2026-06-28 09:10:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Zaib	employed individual
	2026-06-28 09:47:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yolande	self-employed
	2026-06-28 10:19:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Money grabbing developers, corrupt officials possibly, these must NOT be able to destroy years of history, livelihoods for people and existing concerns , the place in question has a long-standing name, impart of our Heritage! Cape Town can hardly cope with the amount of people and the volume of traffic as is! Cape Town will become unliveable.	Mark	retired
	2026-06-28 10:27:21	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tayla Alex	employed individual
	2026-06-28 10:43:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jan	self-employed
	2026-06-28 11:04:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Vanessa	Non-profit
	2026-06-28 11:07:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Paul	
	2026-06-28 11:08:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michael	
	2026-06-28 12:06:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sharon	business owner
	2026-06-28 13:19:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bongiwe	employed individual
	2026-06-28 14:42:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I agree with all of the concerns outlined in this petition. Biggest is the impact on the existing ecosystem and the essential purpose they have for the community, public and surrounds. Other concerns I would like to highlight as memtiomed- Destruction of a valuable ecosystem and community. Misleading proposals and unlawful procedures. Threat to Trauma Emergency Access with already constrained traffic.	Nicola	self-employed
	2026-06-28 15:11:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sahra	retired
	2026-06-28 15:12:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Please leave Oude Molen alone. This has been a viable eco friendlybpla e for years and CT does not need further development on the land.	Mel	unemployed individual
	2026-06-28 15:20:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Please PROTECT this precious area for the future. It will be a social and ecoloogical tragedy if the proposed development is allowed.	Tanya	employed individual
	2026-06-28 15:25:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is a unique and long standing establishment supporting many businesses, educational facilities, eco and environmentally supportive businesses with a truly diverse and representative initiative. Containing a huge cultural a heritage related story with an invaluable green belt and eco system where many fragile ecosystems will be totally decimated with development that has already been legally overturned on another forum..	Jane	self-employed
	2026-06-28 15:37:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			jill	retired
	2026-06-28 15:42:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Andre	
	2026-06-28 15:55:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Angie	
	2026-06-28 16:15:27	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Derina	employed individual
	2026-06-28 16:21:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sam	self-employed
	2026-06-28 17:16:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jonathan	employed individual
	2026-06-28 17:28:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Michelle	self-employed
	2026-06-28 18:19:21	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Julia	retired
	2026-06-28 18:23:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jasmin	self-employed
	2026-06-28 18:28:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This isn't just about another development. It's about losing a place that provides services, livelihoods, green space, heritage, and a sense of community that has taken decades to grow and cannot just be recreated elsewhere. The process has also been deeply troubling. The proposal changed from a precinct plan to a "framework" AFTER public participation on the revised HIA had already closed. Even more concerning, official Western Cape Government material presented to investors in November 2025 described the project as "derisked, with secured development rights", "heritage authorisation completed by July 2025" , and "Public participation completed for both heritage and spatial planning compliance". None of it was true at the time, and it is still not true. That is not meaningful public participation. If the DA wants people to believe it stands for transparent and honest government, now is the time to prove it. Say NO.	Ruth	employed individual
	2026-06-28 18:43:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Wynand	employed individual
	2026-06-28 19:13:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Karl	self-employed
	2026-06-28 19:20:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sarah	self-employed
	2026-06-28 19:24:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Janeen	
	2026-06-28 19:54:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Our country is crying out for education and jobs and you’re destroying both in this instance.	Lynne	self-employed
	2026-06-28 20:06:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elaine	business owner
	2026-06-28 21:27:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Virginia	retired
	2026-06-28 21:52:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Stefanie	unemployed individual

	2026-06-29 07:31:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bridie	self-employed
	2026-06-29 07:49:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Debora	retired
	2026-06-29 07:50:21	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Brian	business owner
	2026-06-29 08:27:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stop allowing developers to steal and ruin public spaces to the detriment of the city and posterity	Mark	self-employed
	2026-06-29 09:24:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sally-Anne	
	2026-06-29 09:57:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Monique	self-employed
	2026-06-29 09:57:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This historic area provides an easy accessible space to relax and get away from high density housing. Already it is getting uncomfortable to travel in Cape Town due to high traffic volumes, and this will make it even worse in that area.	David	
	2026-06-29 11:29:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Have the people of Oude Molen been consulted on this and have their voices heard. Have their heritage, livelihoods, and ecosystems been assessed on how they will be impacted. If something seems to opress the citizens than prosper them, is it really worth while to have it on the table?	Sizeka	business owner
	2026-06-29 12:26:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This project is too big for the inner city. It will reduce open spaces, destroy the heritage of the city and least to overcrowding of what is already an overcrowded city. The development should be done in Belleville.	Stephen	retired
	2026-06-29 13:40:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kerry	employed individual
	2026-06-29 14:40:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Graeme	business owner
	2026-06-29 15:51:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Estie	employed individual
	2026-06-29 16:27:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kay	
	2026-06-29 17:01:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Katrien	self-employed
	2026-06-29 17:30:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Isabella	
	2026-06-29 18:25:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jess	retired
	2026-06-29 18:25:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jess	retired
	2026-06-29 20:20:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is not a vacant or underutilised piece of land; it is a thriving, self-sustaining micro-community that has built an interconnected ecosystem over 30 years. Pushing five-storey mixed-use developments onto this footprint will permanently displace vital social assets, including the Robin Trust medical care, Gaia Waldorf and Pinelands Montessori schools, O Grace Land transitional housing, and the Oude Molen Stables. Crucially, these practices are a direct continuation of a 130-year-old continuity of purpose tied to the surrounding Valkenberg institutional landscape, which has historically relied on land-based healing, agricultural therapy, and rehabilitation. Evicting these tenants severs an irreplaceable, living cultural asset.	Cecelia	self-employed
	2026-06-29 20:49:51	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jane	retired
	2026-06-29 22:26:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sunadia	unemployed individual
	2026-06-30 06:37:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City’s continued pursuit of densification ignoring Heritage and ecological sentiment is very disturbing and disappointing!!	Lynda	employed individual
	2026-06-30 07:53:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Oscar	self-employed
	2026-06-30 08:13:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Moira	retired
	2026-06-30 09:05:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yazeed	self-employed
	2026-06-30 09:08:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			David	retired
	2026-06-30 10:30:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jani	employed individual
	2026-06-30 10:57:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nalita	employed individual
	2026-06-30 11:16:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lucia	employed individual
	2026-06-30 11:19:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mervyn	retired
	2026-06-30 11:54:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a green zone for Cape Town and allows multi-level life experience from gardens to community to livelihoods to social support to thrive in this colourful hub on the doorstep of Cape Town. It is unique and very special and needs to be safeguarded. The traffic in the areas does not support the introductios of even more commuters in peak traffic times with the current infastructure. The gain will not be worth the loss.	Bernie	employed individual
	2026-06-30 13:01:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lesa	
	2026-06-30 13:29:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Margaret	
	2026-06-30 14:08:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Helo	employed individual
	2026-06-30 14:59:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Astrid	retired
	2026-06-30 15:09:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact considerations. Give the shortage of carers and safe spaces for vulnerable people in our community, facilities such as Oude Moulen must be preserved. Robin's Trust is a legacy of one young girl who's single mother realized that safe care facilities for her vulnerable child did not exist so she created a way to assist other people in equally tragic positions. Oude Moulen provides a source of income for those farming & working there. These people will be displaced should they lose their livelihoods. The City has an obligation to protect the vulnerable and to ensure development is done on a responsible way. This site does not lend itself to responsible development nor does the proposed development serve to protect the vulnerable people who currently make use of the land.	Michelle	
	2026-06-30 15:17:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Megan	
	2026-06-30 15:37:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Mogamat Reeza	employed individual
	2026-06-30 16:37:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lejean	business owner
	2026-06-30 16:49:57	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Cape Town is becoming a densified nightmare! Our once claim to "world's best city" is slowing being eroded to become an urban jungle. We hardly have any wetlands or parks and access to open spaces in the city. Oude Molen Eco Village is one of the life sources which will be effected at the centre of this development. The community and the role they play in driving eco-friendly education, lifestyle and principles has been pivotal to the development of many families. Its bad enough we have to drive to the deep north and deep south most days to get some nature. In addition Oude Molen was part of the Valkenberg mirror landscape and this is a historic site. It is shocking that developers want to capitalise on such a stunning area - and what, make it like another Black River Park? Horrible!	Lucille	
	2026-06-30 16:57:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sandy	retired
	2026-06-30 17:04:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Guy	self-employed

	2026-06-30 17:11:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Uzair	student
	2026-06-30 17:22:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is more than enough cement covering not just our earth but our province...we need to share space with other creatures that have as much right to not just survive but thrive. Enough is enough! We do not need yet another hideous monstrosity like the one created by Amazon...leave the beautiful old buildings as they are and the open spaces alone. Hazel from Animals Have Rights 2	Hazel	self-employed
	2026-06-30 17:22:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There is more than enough cement covering not just our earth but our province...we need to share space with other creatures that have as much right to not just survive but thrive. Enough is enough! We do not need yet another hideous monstrosity like the one created by Amazon...leave the beautiful old buildings as they are and the open spaces alone. Hazel from Animals Have Rights 2	Hazel	self-employed
	2026-06-30 17:54:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Nicola	employed individual
	2026-06-30 18:06:35	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Emma	student
	2026-06-30 18:21:22	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Possible predetermination by city officials is also a concern.	Frances	retired
	2026-06-30 18:32:25	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		CoCT: please focus on reforming the city's development rights framework so that privately developed land offers affordable housing, instead of selling off the city piece by piece. Existing development rights in suburbs like Sea Point - where developers pay peanuts and make millions - make a complete mockery of any claims to building an inclusive city that works for its residents.	Keren	
	2026-06-30 19:03:07	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		??	Inge	employed individual
	2026-06-30 19:33:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Claire	employed individual
	2026-06-30 19:34:15	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This has been part of the community for decades. Work around it.	Laura	employed individual
	2026-06-30 19:44:08	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Catherine	employed individual
	2026-06-30 20:53:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Vernon	self-employed
	2026-06-30 21:09:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hasan	unemployed individual
	2026-06-30 21:18:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Klint	
	2026-06-30 21:18:36	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We desperately need these green spaces in our city. We have a unique and beautiful city with rapidly diminished green spaces. Filling it with developments is going to add to the already overburdened infrastructures of roads, sewerage systems and exacerbate traffic congestion which is already a nightmare in that vicinity.	Debbie	self-employed
	2026-06-30 21:23:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Natalie	retired
	2026-06-30 21:24:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Stephanie	retired
	2026-06-30 21:27:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We desperately need these green spaces in our city. We have a unique and beautiful city with far too little green spaces. Nobody wants more concrete jungle. Minor enhancements to existing business and possibly a small extension to garden village should be considered but certainly not wholescale packaging up for some developer to put up overpriced concrete boxes.	Bryan	employed individual
	2026-06-30 21:57:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Charlene	student
	2026-06-30 21:57:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Charlene	student
	2026-06-30 23:07:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Karen	self-employed
	2026-07-01 00:55:40	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			soghra	retired
	2026-07-01 01:37:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Christine	retired
	2026-07-01 05:49:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This idea is yet another greedy man's gain and a community's loss. Just too sad for words. We desperately need to keep places like Oude Molen alive	Patricia	self-employed
	2026-07-01 06:18:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kim	self-employed
	2026-07-01 07:12:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There are many little businesses that employ people which will have to close. The psychiatric hospital will lose its buffer. The land is being used so I don't feel it's right to develop it. It impacts too many people negatively.	Samantha	employed individual
	2026-07-01 07:27:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jane	retired
	2026-07-01 08:19:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Charlotte	employed individual
	2026-07-01 08:29:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Marquerite	business owner
	2026-07-01 08:42:43	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Danielle	
	2026-07-01 09:18:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Pamela	self-employed
	2026-07-01 10:11:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I wish the Western Cape government were less self-interested than the national goverment - and less corrupt, and less dishonest. We do not need more development to attract more foreign money - we need to protect what we have. This is your job. Do it.	Dave	self-employed
	2026-07-01 10:18:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Danielle	
	2026-07-01 10:38:11	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Stop taking away all our natural spaces	Timea	employed individual
	2026-07-01 10:56:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Courtney	employed individual
	2026-07-01 11:02:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Muriel	unemployed individual
	2026-07-01 11:48:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Vanessa	unemployed individual
	2026-07-01 12:26:59	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Annabel	retired
	2026-07-01 12:29:31	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Bianca	employed individual
	2026-07-01 13:31:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Ruining a lovely eco friendly space. Adding traffic to an already busy area which will impact on getting to the Vincent Pallotti hospital quickly in an emergency.	Cheryl	
	2026-07-01 13:53:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Iemtienan	business owner
	2026-07-01 14:19:18	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			N	self-employed
	2026-07-01 14:22:41	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Layla	employed individual

	2026-07-01 14:49:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We do not want another high density development at the cost of the local environment!!	Leana	employed individual
	2026-07-01 14:49:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We do not want another high density development at the cost of the local environment!!	Leana	employed individual
	2026-07-01 16:43:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sonja	self-employed
	2026-07-01 17:11:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Janine	unemployed individual
	2026-07-01 17:47:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Soraya	self-employed
	2026-07-01 18:57:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elsa	
	2026-07-01 18:57:03	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elsa	
	2026-07-01 21:52:19	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Abigail	employed individual
	2026-07-01 21:52:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Abigail	employed individual
	2026-07-02 07:15:20	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		We need spaces like this in our urban areas to ensure our youth is educated and informed about sustainability and independent agricultural employment.	Lucy	employed individual
	2026-07-02 07:24:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Taneill	employed individual
	2026-07-02 08:10:37	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I grew up in Pinelands and still live there. Oude Molen has been very much part of my life growing up from horse riding, to my sister going to school and college there and also often visiting the horses while having a cup of coffee. It is a special place that needs to remain as is and the people that belong there. Yes, some buildings do need to be renovated but not rhe whole land rezoned and used for mass development. We need to protect the little green spaces we still have left.	Stephanie	self-employed
	2026-07-02 08:32:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jenny d	business owner
	2026-07-02 09:37:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Natalie	self-employed
	2026-07-02 09:37:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Julie	retired
	2026-07-02 09:38:54	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Neville	
	2026-07-02 10:06:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Dan	retired
	2026-07-02 10:14:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Camissa	
	2026-07-02 10:19:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Government cannot grant access to disrupt and destroy a heritage ecosystem. If they allow this, they set the case for destroying other heritage ecosystems… I'm not sure that will go down well with voters during election season!	Courtney	
	2026-07-02 10:41:38	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Sonette	employed individual
	2026-07-02 11:30:24	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Pinelands is already congested, and by removing the remaining green space at Oude Molen it risks becoming another choked suburb with insane traffic and not enough infrastructure to support the households. Green space is a necessary part of any suburb, and the City of Cape Town is instead seeing green space as wasted space.	Will	employed individual
	2026-07-02 16:37:13	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Peter	employed individual
	2026-07-02 17:49:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Louise	employed individual
	2026-07-02 18:30:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Elise	self-employed
	2026-07-03 07:04:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Leica	
	2026-07-03 07:09:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		I is unacceptable to believe that this very valuable green belt will be treated with such disrespect , surely in a world where these spaces have become highly needed not just for humans but natural life, there could be more intelligent protection! It is shocking that it should even be considered! We have failed our natural work as Richard Attenborough said, thanks to human greed there is only 5% of our natural world left thanks to human greed.	Philien	employed individual
	2026-07-03 09:51:39	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Danielle	business owner
	2026-07-03 10:01:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jennifer	unemployed individual
	2026-07-03 10:30:21	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Olga	retired
	2026-07-03 22:11:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Shana	
	2026-07-04 09:10:58	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Erin	self-employed
	2026-07-04 09:12:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kaylin meghan	employed individual
	2026-07-04 09:58:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The use of this land is supported by a community that take care of horses that need rescue and care, as well as wildlife that depend on the river and the land in conjunction to one another.	Will	employed individual
	2026-07-04 12:57:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jeanine	retired
	2026-07-04 14:46:47	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Kevin	employed individual
	2026-07-04 18:20:53	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Rughshana	self-employed
	2026-07-04 20:09:46	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Shelly	employed individual
	2026-07-06 06:29:09	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Hul is besig om ons land se mooi natuuur te vernietig	Cornelia	retired
	2026-07-06 13:00:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Yvonne	retired
	2026-07-06 13:54:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The City is on a mission to destroy all the green belt/open space around Pinelands - and to fill it with inappropriate SUPER HIGH-density developments. The River Club was a debacle in public participation for years. Currently Oude Molen and King David Mowbray are going through public participation, with the same kind of debacle of Super-High density development plans. "in-fill development is a euphemism for "overkill" - with plans of units greater than Pinelands itself currently has. OudeMolen provides jobs and community services KDM and Clyde socce fields both have valuable purposes - and vital green spaces. The City is after rates and income - at the cost of these spaces ad their values to community, physical, and mental. These developments are playing into the hands of greedy developers who want HUGE profits - no matter what! and who don't care about the impact it creates in the loss of green space, ridiculous traffic congestion of piling 'new suburbs" into small areas and roads that weren't designed to cope with this. Density, density, density - Cape Town is heading for "sick City" syndrome Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable services of high public value, much of it free or low cost, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Carol	retired

	2026-07-07 10:37:44	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Les	retired
	2026-07-07 21:46:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ines	employed individual
	2026-07-09 13:57:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. The eco gardens and the work they do is important!!!	P	self-employed
	2026-07-10 04:14:02	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Where else in the world do we have the configuration of an authentic village within a large city, offering organic living . More over horses are important to our mental, psychological and physical health. It should be preserve by any cost. Oude Molen needs support to refresh the existing buildings.	Nicole	business owner
	2026-07-14 21:11:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Time to replace the CT municipal leadership with integrity.	liezel	
	2026-07-16 20:49:06	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			jade	self-employed
	2026-08-02 21:19:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			H.	self-employed
	2026-08-13 06:24:48	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hardus	
	2026-08-16 11:49:23	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Georgina	
	2026-08-16 20:24:50	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This high density development is just a few hundred metres from another high density development, the king David golf course. The city has not clearly thought of how the effect of thousands of new households crammed into space will affect the traffic coming into and out of Pinelands and Maitland, the desperate lack of schools (both high school and primary school) water supply, and many other resources in short supply. Furthermore, building on the green spaces increases water runoff not soaking into ground water, increases temperature by city densification and removal of green spaces ,decreases air quality with removal of trees and many more undesirable effects on nature. Just across the river close by is another high density development (Amazon development) and like this one, heritage has not been preserved with proper consultation with affected tribal parties either.	Lee	employed individual
	2026-08-17 15:43:42	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Lets look at Riverland. All we see is buildings Lets save nature what is left of. Next the public parks will disappear	Andal Nalini	self-employed
	2026-08-18 16:22:05	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Annie	
	2026-08-26 20:38:45	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Aloys	
	2026-08-26 22:50:17	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Fathima	self-employed
	2026-08-27 05:43:49	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Angela	
	2026-08-27 11:04:34	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Karen	
	2026-08-31 11:33:56	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Liziwe	unemployed individual
	2026-09-01 12:14:04	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Fraser	business owner
	2026-09-02 07:55:00	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		This area is a priceless piece of nature in the city. I strongly object to it being developed.	Lucy	employed individual
	2026-09-07 06:24:16	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Oude Molen is not a vacant or underutilised piece of land; it is a thriving, self-sustaining micro-community that has built an interconnected ecosystem over 30 years. Pushing five-storey mixed-use developments onto this footprint will permanently displace vital social assets, including the Robin Trust medical care, Gaia Waldorf and Pinelands Montessori schools, O Grace Land transitional housing, and the Oude Molen Stables. Crucially, these practices are a direct continuation of a 130-year-old continuity of purpose tied to the surrounding Valkenberg institutional landscape, which has historically relied on land-based healing, agricultural therapy, and rehabilitation. Evicting these tenants severs an irreplaceable, living cultural asset.	Stephen	employed individual
	2026-09-07 08:54:26	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tony	
	2026-09-07 14:47:30	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional “continuity of purpose” belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project’s social impact consideration	Johann	employed individual
	2026-09-08 06:55:12	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Developers can buy run down homes and already existing properties and rebuild on those. Losing our green spaces and heritage buildings is just unacceptable.	Michelle	business owner
	2026-09-08 21:02:14	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Lynn	employed individual
	2026-09-10 05:41:10	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			anne	retired
	2026-09-11 17:48:28	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Hendrik	retired
	2026-09-12 10:31:29	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			HANNES	
	2026-09-25 23:22:55	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Frank	employed individual
	2026-09-27 13:21:01	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Ela	self-employed
	2026-09-28 15:22:32	No I do not	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Louwra	retired
	2026-06-23 10:14:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Kagiso	
	2026-06-23 12:13:49	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Erika	retired
	2026-06-23 13:49:30	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Do not railroad the public like the anc.	Coiln	retired
	2026-06-23 13:51:11	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Abuse of power; abuse of public trust; reckless development; misdirection.	Kelvin	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:52:59	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ilse	
	2026-06-23 13:56:32	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Local government think they can push through anything because they are better in governance than the rest of South Africa. They must not take short cuts.	Arthur	business owner
	2026-06-23 13:57:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The COCT is only concerned about generating more taxes per square metre .	Francois	retired
	2026-06-23 13:57:52	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Peggy	retired
	2026-06-23 13:58:38	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		As with the King David golf club development, the process seems underhanded. Again eroding trust.	Jan	employed individual

	2026-06-23 14:04:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Any proposed project based on misrepresentation should fail from the onset and no approval should be granted.	Marius	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:04:28	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Graeme	retired
	2026-06-23 14:06:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Clifton	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:14:26	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The COCT is approving new building developments left right and centre without proper planning for future roads and infrastructure and the impact it will have on the affected communities and neighborhoods. The public is never consulted. This development should be stopped.	Hannelene	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:18:37	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jo-Anne	self-employed
	2026-06-23 14:32:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages	Wendy	retired
	2026-06-23 14:36:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Harry	
	2026-06-23 14:39:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I am strongly sceptical of the proposed rezoning framework for the Oude Molen Precinct, not because I oppose development in principle, but because the process as presented raises serious concerns about legality, transparency, and the reliability of the underlying information being used to justify such a major change in land use. According to the information made available, there appears to be a conflict between the current planning process and heritage-related determinations. If it is correct that the Heritage Impact Assessment has been rejected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, then proceeding with rezoning and development approvals in the absence of valid heritage clearance would be premature and may undermine established statutory protections. I am also concerned about the manner in which density and scale are being presented. The visual material shown to the public does not appear to reflect the true maximum development parameters embedded in the planning framework. Once such parameters are approved, they effectively lock in the scale of development and significantly limit the ability of the public or decision-makers to reassess impacts at later stages. There are also material concerns regarding the functional assumptions of the project. The reported shift toward a private school model, rather than planned public education provision, raises questions about how public benefit is being defined. Similarly, claims regarding job creation appear to rely on a baseline that may not fully account for existing employment already sustained on the site. Transport and emergency access are another serious issue. Alexandra Road is already a constrained corridor and a key route to critical medical services. Any substantial increase in traffic volumes without demonstrably robust mitigation measures risks compounding congestion in a way that could have real-world consequences for emergency response times. Finally, there are troubling indications that elements of the project may have been treated as predetermined before the public participation process has concluded. If accurate, that undermines confidence in the integrity of the consultation process itself. In summary, my position is that rezoning of this scale should only proceed once all statutory approvals are in place, all baseline data is publicly available, and there is clear evidence that the process is not predetermined but genuinely open to change based on public input.	Rick	retired
	2026-06-23 14:41:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			John	retired
	2026-06-23 14:48:20	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Rod	retired
	2026-06-23 15:08:25	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Marshall	retired
	2026-06-23 15:10:27	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Sadly the DA led CoCT authorities are becoming just like their partners the ANC. They have regularly been called out for misinforming the public with regards property rezoning & flawed development projects. The Oude Molen proposal is just another example of dismantling something that currently works just to meet their agendas.	Ken	retired
	2026-06-23 15:15:16	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Keith	retired
	2026-06-23 15:25:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The Citt needs to stop thinking that they can just do as they please.	Hilton	retired
	2026-06-23 15:28:00	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jae	retired
	2026-06-23 15:28:02	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jae	retired
	2026-06-23 15:29:30	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cape Town City Council is driven by development at the expense of heritage considerations and public oversight.	Neil	retired
	2026-06-23 15:49:57	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		With regards to this application, especially the manner in which the City of Cape Town is trying to push ahead (for what sinister reason - would be really good to know what the real reasons are), the manner in which so many aspects (as already mentioned) of this application has been overlooked, incorrectly approached or simply just 'bulldozed', I wholeheartedly stand against this application. The DA, who is the majority party in the CoCT has a lot to say about the corrupt practices supported by other political parties - here is a classic example of 'sweep in front of your own door, before you complain about others. There are many principles I agree with regards to this application, however the big issue here is the how - how it is rushed, how it is pressurised, how many standard procedure are circumvented. If the DA(and by implication the CoCT) is this ethical party that it claims to be, this whole process is unacceptable - back to the drawing board!	Francois	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:54:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This another excuse fot devrlopers to maximise profits at ratepayers expense. It is time the City's planners were reined in. I don't object to densification but this development will not deliver ANY housing that will benefit those on the City's housing list. Instead it will clog up the already tight road system in the area, overload services. This latest tactic of ignoring Heritage decisions; showing misleading visuals while the reality will be far taller buildings; not providing affordable schooling etc etc is just not acceptable. The access from the N2 side is already really problematic. Now they want two major developments to go ahead without showing any proposal how the access can possibly be designed.	Evan	retired
	2026-06-23 15:55:25	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Mike	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:57:25	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Natasha	
	2026-06-23 16:10:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jacques	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:11:35	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This seems to be another government overreach project being forced on us. Enough is enough.	Petra	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:11:37	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Kyle	
	2026-06-23 16:14:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		As usual the government doesn’t consider the welfare of the people.	Val	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:15:53	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			mandy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:30:13	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cape Town and it's satellite small towns are losing their places of Heritage. This will be a total BLOT in the area.	SJ	
	2026-06-23 16:31:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The DA Western Cape government is busy with all sorts of nonsense and sorcery at the moment! This is another one. where the DA Western Province government think that all citizens are 30percenters!	Johan	retired

	2026-06-23 16:32:05	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I can't support an institution that is totally misleading the public.	Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:33:44	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Nicoleen	retired
	2026-06-23 16:34:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This should be stopped in its tracks. This is flagrant abuse of the law.	Beverly	retired
	2026-06-23 16:34:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Floris	
	2026-06-23 16:38:13	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ian	retired
	2026-06-23 16:42:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Legal and procedural requirements must be met before continuing.	Pierre	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:44:05	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The whole process is lil advised, rushed and flawed.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 16:44:42	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I am very sad that I ave ever voted for the DA. I can see they are doing in Cape Town what they are doing or trying to do here in Somerset-West as well. They, not the developers that profit from these high rising high density developments live in the area. So they certainly give the impression that they do not care how this impacts the residents that enjoy the parks etc in the area. What is happening on their watch is shocking to say the least. We for example had the whole neighborhood asking them for a raised intersection because traffic is a great concern. They have not responded except by telling us they will be building a 3 story building in the same road. So they will only be adding to the problem? And does not even consider responding to a fair and valid concern? I feel for the people that will need to get to the hospital. We also had them add to that problem here in Somerset West.	Valpre	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:50:50	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Clearly the authorities are trying to bulldoze this project through without the necessary forethought or public consultative process. The authorities are selling a high-rise sub economic housing project as an investment opportunity for foreign and local investors alike, which it clearly is not. This development will create more problems than it solves by bringing in people from the neighbouring informal settlements to live within the centre of a city recognized by tourism as the most beautiful cities worldwide, desirable from investment in property by foreigners, having a city within which visitors and citizens alike can enjoy without fear of rampant crime. Bringing the informal township's crime and issues related to poverty will quickly result in a "downtown slum" are, effectively rendering the inner city a no-go zone for tourists, businesses and citizens alike.	Duncan	retired
	2026-06-23 16:56:39	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Once again shows how big money and political complicity continues to allow elites to enrich themselves at the detriment of ordinary citizens of this city/province...	Riyaz	retired
	2026-06-23 16:59:30	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Voetsek!	Jo	
	2026-06-23 17:00:22	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Gareth	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:00:23	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Fatima	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:11:48	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		It is horrific that the City can mislead the public in this manner. Unconscionable to try and push this with rigged information in this manner. The loss of jobs is a critical thing with no balance of jobs gained. The entire process needs to be above board and in a language we can all understand and with proper consultation on the back of proper information.	Sean	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:20:44	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Anja	retired
	2026-06-23 17:25:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This is just not thought out, planned or considerate of future impact to surrounding infrastructure.	Rob	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:33:33	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Brian	retired
	2026-06-23 17:36:39	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Fanie	retired
	2026-06-23 17:46:02	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Graham	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:51:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Carol	retired
	2026-06-23 17:54:20	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Pierre	
	2026-06-23 17:59:58	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 18:13:31	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Juan-Joe	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:23:05	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Carol	retired
	2026-06-23 18:29:55	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Marilena	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 18:41:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Kim	
	2026-06-23 18:43:03	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			John	business owner
	2026-06-23 18:54:33	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		It seems the people in favour are not revealing the true impact and facts. Not acceptable to hide the real facts!	Linda	retired
	2026-06-23 19:05:09	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Procedural flaws, misleading visuals and constraints public.	Felicia	retired
	2026-06-23 19:13:00	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 19:30:14	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Nora	retired
	2026-06-23 19:45:55	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Runganathan	retired

	2026-06-23 19:50:29	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Marlene	retired
	2026-06-23 20:07:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Thaabit	
	2026-06-23 20:11:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I have just about had it with the DA administration's abuse of die process. This is not the first time either. Development and progress is essential, but so is due process. Do this by the book! And do not disregard public opinion!	Carsten	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:22:24	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Fatima	
	2026-06-23 20:44:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Tracey	
	2026-06-23 21:01:14	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Pierre	
	2026-06-23 21:19:50	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Madeleine	
	2026-06-23 21:23:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Alles is verkeerd! Nie net een aspek nie, maar die verbreking van die historiese werklikhede en nakomimg asool nie vernietigimg van werksgeleenthede en die impal op die Omgewing soos skole en toegangspaaie MAAR VERAL DIE LEUNS EN KORUPSIE VERKONDIG DEUR DIE DA	Tienie	business owner
	2026-06-23 21:39:48	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Sean	retired
	2026-06-23 21:41:57	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Dawood	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:26:47	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Kobie	
	2026-06-23 22:40:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Before the public comment process can be considered to have been completed, a correctly proportioned scale model of the envisaged project needs to be publicized to enable members of the public to properly evaluate and assess the proposal	Bev	retired
	2026-06-23 23:09:15	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Juliet	employed individual
	2026-06-23 23:38:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Elza	
	2026-06-24 02:26:42	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Garron	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:05:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Howard	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:32:49	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public access to the hospital.	Vanessa	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 06:38:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ank	retired
	2026-06-24 06:39:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 06:42:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Heindre	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:48:50	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Peter	
	2026-06-24 06:53:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The city needs to stop taking all our open land away. If you want to build more houses please do it on. The outskirts of Cape Town. We have far too much traffic as it is and we don't have enough dams to support more people and water usage.	Genevieve	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:22:28	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Alison	retired
	2026-06-24 07:25:00	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ann	retired
	2026-06-24 07:29:15	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Hassan	
	2026-06-24 07:29:15	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Danielle	
	2026-06-24 07:29:18	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Hassan	
	2026-06-24 07:35:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jonathan	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 07:37:05	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jason	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:39:21	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Nico	
	2026-06-24 08:01:33	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Stanley	retired
	2026-06-24 08:03:12	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			craig	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:05:02	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Wanda	retired
	2026-06-24 08:05:13	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Charles	retired

	2026-06-24 08:07:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The whole procedure reeks of sleaze, underhandedness and arrogance - why weren't the public invited to comment on the early planning phase for optional solutions?	Garth	
	2026-06-24 08:09:35	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 08:11:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Penny	retired
	2026-06-24 08:14:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		An all round no to this for all the reasons given. The fact that the project proposed is clearly not properly represented, the ethical and environmental boxes have not been ticked, the fact that it is being pushed forward is indicative of underhanded process. Not acceptable from government or any developer.	Laura	retired
	2026-06-24 08:28:23	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Tim	
	2026-06-24 08:29:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Tony	retired
	2026-06-24 08:31:03	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Rachelle	
	2026-06-24 08:33:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		No area-wide heritage assessment for Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity Two Rivers corridor	Laura	retired
	2026-06-24 08:34:55	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Miquel	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:40:34	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			SHARON	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:42:21	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		All of the above Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 08:47:56	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		concerned that correct procedure is not being followed	lyndon	
	2026-06-24 08:48:46	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Cecil	
	2026-06-24 08:52:03	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Henry	retired
	2026-06-24 09:01:25	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Evan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:05:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Harry	retired
	2026-06-24 09:16:49	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Paul	
	2026-06-24 09:17:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			carol	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:21:49	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			De Wet	retired
	2026-06-24 09:22:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Grant	
	2026-06-24 09:28:26	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Clifton	retired
	2026-06-24 09:28:27	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Clifton	retired
	2026-06-24 09:46:14	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I am submitting this comment as a concerned member of the public. I support responsible development that is transparent, evidence-based, and in the public interest. However, I am concerned by allegations that the heritage process may be incomplete, that public participation has been constrained by potentially misleading information and visuals, and that aspects of the decision-making process may have been predetermined before all public concerns were properly considered. I am also concerned about the potential loss of public land and open space and believe that any redevelopment of this scale should be subject to rigorous scrutiny and independent verification of all supporting studies, metrics, and planning assumptions. I respectfully request that all public submissions be fully considered, that any procedural concerns be thoroughly investigated, and that no final approval be granted until the process can demonstrate fairness, transparency, and compliance with applicable legal and planning requirements.	Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:47:09	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jos	retired
	2026-06-24 09:58:31	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I object to the Oude Molen rezoning and development framework in its current form because the public participation process does not appear to give residents a fair, accurate and meaningful opportunity to understand or influence the true scale of the proposal. My concern is that the public has been shown indicative and attractive visuals, while the actual planning approval appears to seek broad development rights and flexible maximum parameters that may later determine the height, bulk, density, layout and visual impact of the precinct. The public should not be asked to comment on softened or conceptual imagery if the legal effect of the approval is to lock in a much larger development envelope. I request that the City and applicant pause the current process and provide clear, plain-language material showing the existing precinct, the illustrated concept, and the maximum development envelope side by side. This should include accurate ground-level visual simulations, height and bulk diagrams, traffic assumptions, parking impacts, shadowing, infrastructure capacity, and the effect on public open space and heritage context. No rezoning or basket of rights should be approved until the public has had a renewed opportunity to comment on the real maximum scale and impact of the proposal. Public participation must be meaningful, not a rubber-stamp exercise after key outcomes have effectively been predetermined.	Johan	
	2026-06-24 10:08:22	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The City of Cape Town is destroying the social demographics that have made it a wonderful pace to live. There appears to be no consideration for actual Capetonians. Development of community and private land have made Cape Town too expensive for Capetonians. Add to expense problems the infrastructure of the City cannot cope with the high level of new developments, most notable issue is a water problem.	Ben	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:08:23	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The City of Cape Town is destroying the social demographics that have made it a wonderful pace to live. There appears to be no consideration for actual Capetonians. Development of community and private land have made Cape Town too expensive for Capetonians. Add to expense problems the infrastructure of the City cannot cope with the high level of new developments, most notable issue is a water problem.	Ben	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:21:52	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Niki	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:22:51	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Nicci	
	2026-06-24 10:26:05	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Gretha	
	2026-06-24 10:53:27	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Lionel	retired

	2026-06-24 10:53:29	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Lionel	retired
	2026-06-24 11:12:21	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Nick	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:15:22	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Yvonne	retired
	2026-06-24 11:15:23	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Yvonne	retired
	2026-06-24 11:23:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		We must not allow this to happen as the infrastructure around that area cannot copy with extra infrastructures. Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure Predetermination of outcome of officials	Maria Teresa	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:32:43	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ella	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:37:23	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Darylle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:55:16	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Petrovna	
	2026-06-24 12:07:39	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This proposal has so many flaws procedurally and in its marketing that is not what we expect from the Citybthat works for you slogan that Cape Town flourishes on! The dishonesty and disregard for the existing and surrounding communities is frankly disheartening and DISHONEST! My assessment of the whole process is that the wide implications related to an existing intentionally build community that serve the communities that surround it in countless ways with food and nurture and care and training supporting sustained employment and service have not been understood or considered. It cannot support the destruction of this community with a large unfulfillable promise of some temporary job creation and construction promises that include no plans for community building, restoration or sustained contribution. I note NO without reservation	Minette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:08:26	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Leander	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:55:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Carrol	
	2026-06-24 13:41:47	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		If the developers are lying before the project - this can only mean trouble down the line. Over development without upgrading the road infrastructure is a road to disaster Losing what is already on this portion of land and a necessity to all people who use it	Valda	retired
	2026-06-24 13:56:47	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Carel	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:18:59	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Clive	business owner
	2026-06-24 15:06:17	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Steven	retired
	2026-06-24 15:19:13	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Will not create more jobs long term	Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 15:54:53	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Lloyd	
	2026-06-24 16:18:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Riaan	retired
	2026-06-24 16:28:09	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Denise	self-employed
	2026-06-24 16:50:14	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		More work needs to be done on the heritage oversight and public participation.	Lynne	business owner
	2026-06-24 17:10:08	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Marie	retired
	2026-06-24 17:50:39	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The public participation process is fundamentally flawed because the models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk, and density quietly established within the legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of the project's true physical scale. Crucially, once the City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Thinus	employed individual
	2026-06-24 18:44:35	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Denise Auchterlonie	retired
	2026-06-24 18:58:42	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The plan needs to go back to the drawing board and all the procedural flaws should be addressed	Susan	retired
	2026-06-24 19:26:52	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Francis	employed individual
	2026-06-24 23:43:58	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Christopher	retired
	2026-06-25 06:48:04	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			David	retired
	2026-06-25 10:21:40	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The City of Cape Town's rushing of the 13-hectare Oude Molen Precinct development framework is an unlawful, dangerous, and fraudulent overreach. Official project documentation exposes deep structural flaws, manipulated data, and deliberate predetermination. This framework must be rejected immediately based on five non-negotiable facts:Unlawful Statutory Bypass: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Forcing through land-use and rezoning rights while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is a direct violation of environmental and heritage law.The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylized models presented to the public are a deliberate deception. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height (eight storeys), bulk, and high-density. Once this framework is approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to these maxima, stripping the public of any future legal right to alter the scale.The Private School Flip: Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report reveals a blatant bait-and-switch. Initially justified as critical public infrastructure, the finalized report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision. Instead, the footprint was quietly moved into the commercial allocation to build an exclusive, high-fee private school on publicly owned land.Net Job Destruction: Section 6.6.1 claims the development creates 900 jobs, but fraudulently calculates this against a baseline of zero. The existing, long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and local artisans, the precinct already supports hundreds of secure livelihood options. Razing this ecosystem will cause a net job loss, destroying established local employment.Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: The Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits that the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections are already critically bottlenecked. Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto this gridlocked corridor will delay emergency vehicles, directly risking trauma patient lives.Proof of PredeterminationThis entire public participation window is an illegal rubber-stamping exercise. In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were "secured."The City has lied to investors, fabricated compliance dates, and ignored statutory rejections. This framework is a corporate land grab built on cooked metrics that threaten public safety and local jobs. We demand an immediate halt to this fraudulent process.	Petra	business owner
	2026-06-25 10:38:08	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ian	retired
	2026-06-25 10:53:17	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Claudia	

	2026-06-25 11:45:42	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ray	retired
	2026-06-25 13:53:47	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		It would appear that the proposal is premature in that it is being pushed through at speed. We do need clarity on the dates as stated that clearance and public involvement was obtained. My understanding is that this is a hasty issue. Hasty issues almost always fail for several reasons.	Alan	retired
	2026-06-25 14:17:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Illegitimate, undemocratic, illegal, unethical development proposal. Enough is enough of corruption and crime in this country for self-gain!!!	ira	employed individual
	2026-06-25 14:53:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			John	
	2026-06-25 19:33:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Proper processes must be followed, and the fact that shared information is so misleading, that is really concerning!	Liezel	employed individual
	2026-06-25 19:44:32	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Andre	employed individual
	2026-06-25 20:57:46	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Rene	
	2026-06-25 21:25:35	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Derek	retired
	2026-06-26 10:51:19	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Alexander	retired
	2026-06-26 12:08:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Jacqueline	employed individual
	2026-06-26 12:45:33	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		No affordable housing close to Cape Town is possible. Anything that is initially affordable will only be so for the first occupants. Market forces will make offers to the original occupoants that pushes up the resale price of these units to the current high market prices. Conveniance of access is after all what pushes up the prices. As 1st occupiers being the only beneficiaries of the affordable housing it begs the question as to whom gets allocated these afforable housing units. History will show it will be via illegal means. The officials allocating these units will be expecting kickbacks and bribes or giving it to family and friends..	Abe	
	2026-06-26 20:58:42	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Colleen	retired
	2026-06-27 07:06:53	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Allan	retired
	2026-06-27 11:05:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Brian/ Karen	retired
	2026-06-27 15:11:48	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Charl	
	2026-06-27 17:06:59	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Charles	retired
	2026-06-27 18:21:57	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Stop ruining our green and cultural areas. Enough is enough.	Zan	retired
	2026-06-27 18:59:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Roy	retired
	2026-06-27 21:19:44	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Andre	retired
	2026-06-28 08:19:39	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		The apparent lack of true public participation in greater Cape Town planning as required by our country's constitution is being railroaded by the current city and provincial administrations.	Neil	employed individual
	2026-06-29 07:15:07	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Greg	
	2026-06-29 13:07:36	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Shereen	employed individual
	2026-06-29 20:07:41	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Anton	
	2026-06-30 07:20:50	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		My concerns are reflected in the following points: No 3-the school flip No 4:using a baseline of zero regarding creation of Kobe as there are already jobs at the precinct which would tgen be in jeopardy! No 5: the threat of more traffic in an already very busy area with Vincent Pallotti hospital being a trauma hospital putting lives at risk by emergency vehicles not being able to get patients for treatment quickly.	Lynda	retired
	2026-06-30 10:21:22	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Turning a functioning community and an important Heritage site into densified housing (which only benefits the property developers) is short sighted, ill conceived and has, by all reports, been approved illegally. Traffic implications, services stretched to the limit, the effects on access to a vitally important hospital - these highly significant factors appear to hold little sway in the face of potential profits. Oude Molen is a significant green lung in an ever increasing concrete jungle. We do not bequeath the earth to our children - we borrow it from them. I wish to be registered as an Interested and Affected Party	Ruth	retired
	2026-07-02 00:57:38	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Public participation process is fundamentally flawed because models and illustrations published for public feedback do not depict the maximum limits for height, bulk and density established within legal framework. This creates a highly inaccurate impression of project's true physical scale. Crucially, once City approves this framework, all subsequent planning phases are legally constrained to implement within those maximum parameters, leaving public with severely limited scope to influence or alter scale of development at later stages. dministrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented project as a fully cleared, "derisked" opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that outcome has been completely predetermined.	Elaine	self-employed
	2026-07-04 06:38:35	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		A fully transparent HIA & EIA are legally required before any re-zoning and development. Neither of these have been fully completed correctly. NO to taking Heritage spaces/buildings NO to taking if public green spaces NO to negatively impacting the natural ecosystem this area offers NO !	Fiona	retired

	2026-08-18 14:28:45	No I do not	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		I object to the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct. As a local Cape Town resident, I do not support replacing a long-established, low-density green and community-oriented area with a development of this scale, reportedly including approximately 1,364 residential units, commercial space and retail infrastructure. The City must not approve a land-use application while the underlying heritage process remains unresolved. Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee reportedly dismissed the applicant's appeal on 10 June 2026 and upheld the refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment for non-compliance with section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Proceeding with rezoning despite that outcome undermines lawful, integrated planning and public confidence in the process. I am also concerned that the public-participation process has not enabled residents to make properly informed comments. The plans, models and illustrations made available must accurately show the maximum permitted height, bulk, density, traffic implications, parking provision, service demands and environmental effects, not merely an aspirational version of the proposal. Residents are entitled to clear, complete and accessible information before their submissions can be fairly considered. This proposal would place further pressure on already constrained local roads, public transport, water and sanitation systems, electricity infrastructure, schools, health services, parking, safety and the character of surrounding neighbourhoods. The City has a duty to assess cumulative impacts, not consider this development in isolation. Development should serve existing and future residents without creating unacceptable congestion, overcrowding or loss of local amenity. Oude Molen has heritage, ecological, cultural and community value that cannot simply be treated as vacant development land. Community concerns include the possible loss of longstanding social, ecological and community-based activity, while the provincial redevelopment vision itself acknowledges that the site contains significant environmental and heritage elements. I therefore request that the City of Cape Town refuse the rezoning application in its current form. At minimum, the application should be withdrawn or suspended until a legally compliant heritage process is concluded, all supporting studies are independently scrutinised and publicly available, and meaningful consultation occurs with affected residents, tenants, community organisations and interested parties.	Quintin	employed individual
	2026-06-23 10:33:55	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Squshing more people in a city that already can't cope and destroying eco systems sounds so backwards in the 21st century. Profit over people as usual. ?	Lee	employed individual
	2026-06-23 10:49:01	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I live in Pinelands and am extremely worried about the plans for additional densification around our suburb. First the Conradie development, then Oude Molen and now the Mowbray and King David Golf course. We already have huge issues in Pinelands with traffic in the peak hours and there do not appear to be any real plans to deal additional pressure on local infrastructure that these new developments bring. Pinelands High School is massively oversubscribed; plus we have issues with sewerage and low water pressure already. Surely the City should be dealing with these issues before trying to house thousands more people in our area. I am concerned that the City of Cape Town are pushing development at all costs, and are not listening to the communities in the affected areas. I worry that the correct processes are not being followed and that the city has predetermined the outcome prior to public participation. Finally I am extremely concerned by the loss of green spaces and feel that there are many areas of land that are derelict or not being utilized in the most effective way that would serve to be developed first before ruining greenspaces that are important ecosystems and provide well needed breaks in a city that seems to be becoming over densified.	Helen	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:16:30	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The amount of development in this area does not consider the traffic and other infrastructure pressures. This along with the proposed/planned development at the golf course will need to be reviewed holistically and NOT in isolation.	Linley	
	2026-06-23 14:23:09	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			carla	Non-profit
	2026-06-23 14:56:49	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Salma	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:09:54	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			John	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:14:44	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The City has a poor track record in proper traffic planning, this will simply create a major further blockage on an important interchange. Furthermore, the City has a poor record at controlling these developments, Dunoon, Joe Slovo and various other projects that were not controlled are now completely out of hand	Gary	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:23:44	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The traffic congestion at this turnoff at the N2 is already significant and will only deteriorate with further. This development should not go ahead without proper assessment of the existing infrastructure (specifically transport) as well as realistic solutions to address these issues.	Etienne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:36:05	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I have had to attend Vincent Paloti for 9 months . It is beyond difficulty to get there on time before 10.00am as the N2 is blocked up as well as the route out of Pinelands . Are they going to provide free Helicopter rides in and out of the area . Take a walk along the Black river banks .	Theo	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:58:17	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Kevin	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:58:29	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Adding thousands of commuters to an already stressed area is unrealistic	Jerry	retired
	2026-06-23 16:01:51	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		That area is already horribly congested - the densification at all costs approach for Cape Town is not benefitting locals	Marlies	retired
	2026-06-23 16:03:43	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Ronald	
	2026-06-23 16:20:20	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Brenda	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:23:33	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Corrie Andre	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:56:49	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Johan	retired
	2026-06-23 17:13:08	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Anthony	retired
	2026-06-23 17:17:25	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			William	retired
	2026-06-23 17:25:46	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			DonnaKay	retired
	2026-06-23 17:25:48	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			DonnaKay	retired
	2026-06-23 17:27:40	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			CHARLES SCOTT	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:33:26	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			farzana	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:34:05	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Jaco	retired
	2026-06-23 17:47:31	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Kobus	
	2026-06-23 18:04:16	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Virginia	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:15:11	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Maureen	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:18:37	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Bev	retired
	2026-06-23 18:24:13	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I feel very strongly about massive development on this site… it is one of the few open spaces in the area and with the proposed housing development on the King David / Mowbray golf course on the other side of Pinelands it will cause added stress. Many people work in this area and worthwhile places like the Robin Trust will no longer exist here	Judy	retired
	2026-06-23 19:17:42	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Tisha	
	2026-06-23 19:25:00	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Anna	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:25:17	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Cathy	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:06:15	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		It's not only the roads in Pinelands that are under pressure-our entire infrastructure is struggling to keep up, and why target all the GREEN Spaces?	Nicolette	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:17:53	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Hendri	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:53:06	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Serious concern about over-building, resulting in loss of natural area for run off of water in case of heavy rainfall. Also worrying about impact of increased traffic flow on an already overcrowded area, causing blockage in access to emergency medical care. Loss of current community benefits such as the Robin Trust and Oude Molen eco-village.	Cheryl	retired

	2026-06-23 21:10:38	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		HELL NO! WE ARE SHORT OF EVERY POSSIBLE NATURAL AND OTHER RESOURCES TO SUPPORT THIS RAMPANT DEVELOPMENT.	Yolande	retired
	2026-06-23 22:21:54	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Navigation Pinelands and surrounding areas in the mornings and afternoons is already a nightmares. The roads cannot support more. The infrastructure cannot support more homes and people. The public schools are way oversubscribed already with many local families not getting spaces. Having had to try to get down forest drive while in labour at 7:30am, I would hate to see the impact in medical emergencies with even more road congestion. It's not just this development, it's Conradie and the Golf course ones too. The combination of all of them is going to cripple to schools, community support, roads, medical care and other infrastructure	Sarah	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:44:45	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Warren	
	2026-06-23 23:16:27	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		This will add to traffic, which is already horrific, and how is the existing infrastructure going to cope. There does not seem to be any existing plans, so no idea of how high, how much public space. Affordable housing seems to consist of minute studios suitable only for a single person. is this not more buildings on a wetland? Are we been asked to agree to the sale of this land to a private investor, who can then do whatever they choose.	Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-23 23:24:19	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			susan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 03:12:33	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Errol	self-employed
	2026-06-24 04:38:48	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		To compromise lives of hospital patients for private sector greed is not acceptable. Traffic there is bad enough already, without adding more.	Sally	retired
	2026-06-24 06:12:25	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Samuel	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:17:51	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Loss of current jobs, no consideration of access, and no transparent, open, honest and planning with the stakeholders and people around with any integrity. The poor seldom benefit from these developments.	Karin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:27:17	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Sally	
	2026-06-24 06:27:21	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I live in the area nearby and traffic is already congested at the intersection of Berkley and Raapenberg, which is also the road to Vincent Pallotti hospital. This development would be a big problem and cause further traffic congestion and gridlock, causing problems for those needing to get to the hospital.	Wilma	retired
	2026-06-24 06:35:12	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Mike	business owner
	2026-06-24 06:41:04	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Helen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:41:39	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Jason	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:50:06	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Peter	
	2026-06-24 07:03:55	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			dave	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:47:45	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		No proper planning. Roads, schools, public transport for dense populated areas just creates a frustrated population at the end of the day. Promotes people not getting along!	Melane	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:50:50	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Amelia	
	2026-06-24 07:54:48	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The cumulative strain on surrounding roads, sewage networks, electrical grids, and public amenities has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the developer’s transport model relies entirely on a proposed new passenger rail station that has zero confirmed funding or acknowledgment within PRASA’s corporate infrastructure budget. Pushing ahead without a mandatory sewer-capacity and infrastructure confirmation is planning irresponsibility	tarek	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:55:12	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			LYNETTE	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:56:07	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Infrastructure is already at capacity, Pinelands is being targeted for development with Conradie and King David Golf Course and Oude Molen Conradie at the other end of Pinelands has been an unattractive building site for years with many delays. Unfortunately developers first build for the money they can generate from income on the units and do not build the other infrastructures as promised ..or build them sub standard…resulting in many problems for both residents and the surrounding area. In my opinion developers are not upfront with all the relevant details Oude Molen already supports many people who will loose their livelihood. So a definite NO to development on this site	moira	retired
	2026-06-24 08:01:33	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Dave	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:02:04	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		We cannot support crowded housing developments on public spaces until the traffic congestion, and pressure on local infrastructure including sewage and water shortages within the Cape have been addressed. Currently the space already employs 410 people. Work with the community to better utilise the space for creating employment.	Phillipa	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:02:04	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		We cannot support crowded housing developments on public spaces until the traffic congestion, and pressure on local infrastructure including sewage and water shortages within the Cape have been addressed. Currently the space already employs 410 people. Work with the community to better utilise the space for creating employment.	Phillipa	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:09:45	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-24 08:10:41	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Alison	retired
	2026-06-24 08:12:21	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Chris	
	2026-06-24 08:21:04	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Alison	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:24:13	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Olinka	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:24:47	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Jarred	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:24:48	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Jarred	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:24:50	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The increase in traffic, the pressure on schools, healthcare etc all add up to to make this development a disaster. Who wants unsightly multi-story homes against a backdrop of Pinelands when hardly any exist. Use the squatter camp at wingfild land site. Get the vagrant illegal immigrants out and you have a large plot of land near ammenities, near transport.	John	
	2026-06-24 08:27:43	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Chris	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:30:17	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I do not support the densification of this area and large scale removal of the natural open spaces that are accessible to all Cape Town residents to use and enjoy. As a Pinelander who has already been affected by recent developments in the area I cannot support development that will further affect traffic and infrastructure in the area as well as losing access to natural open space that we frequently enjoy.	Madeleine	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:32:28	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Nicholas	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:32:30	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Johan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:35:59	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Imagine the already heavy traffic in the area increasing now!	Dirk	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:39:58	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Cape Town needs more access to PUBLICLY accessible infrastructure.	Robert	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:53:59	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Pamela	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:54:58	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I too live in an area where there is continuous development allowed by the City & see how this affects infrastructure as well as people's well being. These high density programmes by COCT need to be looked at again as a one fits all is not working. Each needs to be assessed on its own merits	Valerie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:56:19	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			insaaf	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:06:21	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		It's on a far too large a scale in an already congested area. If this development goes through in it's entirety and the King David one too, the infrastructure of sewerage, roads etc just won't handle it. Conradie Park has already caused strain. Too much development in one area.	Jacqui	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:10:41	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Karen	employed individual

	2026-06-24 09:18:53	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I live in Pinelands. There are only 2 roads in and out of Pinelands, and both of them get extremely congested in the morning and evening. For a tiny suburb such as ours, in such a central location, this is a HUGE problem. Adding an additional residential hub for over 1000 people will this problem to become alot worse than it is now. What is the city's plan for dealing with that infrastructure?	Carmen	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:13:32	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Gail	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:36:29	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The abuse and fast tracking on land re-zoning/ use has to be halted as the Town planning Sic ? is a white wash for greed and feeding a gold rush , without any consideration given to overloading infrastructure that is all ready heavily overloaded and transport impacts with effects on quality of life and environment that that cape town is sold as having but is now fast becoming a concrete jungle .While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	stanley	retired
	2026-06-24 10:56:25	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Azeezah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:58:14	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Stacey	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:29:57	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Proper infrastructure needs to be planned and implemented first, including rail, schools, public walkways, cycle tracks. How does the increased traffic impact the area? Green spaces need to be created and protected.	Zane	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:35:21	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Mariana	
	2026-06-24 12:29:45	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Lilike	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:45:11	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Dr Hilton	self-employed
	2026-06-24 13:41:03	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Jean-Pierre	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:04:51	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Anton	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:00:40	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Charl	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:11:05	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Cape Town is already bursting at the seams and to now add another urban high-density development will ruin what's left of open spaces. There was a reason these spaces were allocated in the past and that remains. Infra-structure, over-use of roads and other transport services will be further overloaded. Whilst I agree that more housing is needed, the municipality needs to identify spaces which would be more suitable. Fix the infrastructure of existing areas in Cape Town first. Improve transportation.	Cheryl	retired
	2026-06-24 18:00:54	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Louise	
	2026-06-24 19:51:28	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Why does this municipality think they can take private land and do as they like.	Basil	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 20:55:04	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Brenda	employed individual
	2026-06-24 22:16:13	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Yaseen	business owner
	2026-06-25 04:30:26	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Adel	retired
	2026-06-25 08:58:52	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Ruth	retired
	2026-06-25 10:41:41	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I really wish there was no more development on these green areas, you're destroying what makes CT beautiful	Craig	business owner
	2026-06-25 11:01:14	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Estelle	retired
	2026-06-25 12:50:38	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			NEIL	retired
	2026-06-25 13:53:52	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Loss of open land, loss of jobs and lack of infra structure. If high density buildings are built, there should at least be roads, safety, health care and importantly public schools available for the residents. Cape Town traffic is already worse than Joburg traffic. Why build high density upon high density buildings with already struggling infrastructure. Please FIRST sort out infrastructure BEFORE talking about another development.	Shirne	business owner
	2026-06-25 20:45:23	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Mike	
	2026-06-26 05:25:54	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			CHRISTI	retired
	2026-06-26 07:07:53	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Ashleigh	employed individual
	2026-06-26 07:47:11	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Thys	retired
	2026-06-26 09:21:25	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		As a resident, it already takes me 30min to travel 4 kms from Pinelands to work in Salt River. This is based on the currently population. With two proposed developments in and around Pinelands this suburb will be deadlocked in the morning and afternoon. The current infrastructure and the proposed public transport solutions will not solve any problems, they will only add to them.	Sarah	employed individual
	2026-06-26 12:44:54	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Karen	retired
	2026-06-26 16:00:23	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Mark	employed individual
	2026-06-26 23:12:16	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Byron	employed individual
	2026-06-27 07:04:24	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Pinelands and surrounds already experiences the consequences of bad sewarage systems and incredible traffic pressure. This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Melanie	employed individual
	2026-06-27 10:25:16	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The loss of employment is number 1 Loss of schools which we are short of anyhow does not make ANY sense at all. We will end up with no green space in this City of ours in a few years time, meanwhile more and more people coming to live here. Alexander Road is overflowing with traffic as it is. Please consider everything before making this area overrun with cement blocks. Makes no sense at all	Heather	retired
	2026-06-27 16:03:22	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Peter	self-employed
	2026-06-28 10:06:57	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Avril	retired
	2026-06-28 11:58:09	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I don't believe there is transparency regarding the development. And being a Pinelands resident I fear for the traffic implications!	Martine	self-employed
	2026-06-28 12:14:50	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Our city and various suburbs are being transformed to the detriment of all, resulting in financial, environmental, and heritage loss. In Pinelands, multiple developments are being advanced despite consistent community opposition. • Oude Molen Precinct: Proposed high-density, eight-storey mixed-use development introducing ~1,364 residential units on publicly owned land. Heritage Western Cape has already refused the Heritage Impact Assessment, yet rezoning continues to be pursued. • King David Mowbray Golf Club & Clyde Pinelands Football Club: A 74-hectare precinct earmarked for ~6,700 residential units, retail, office, and industrial space. These are City-owned public lands, long-standing recreational and heritage facilities, now under threat of displacement. • Pinelands Social Club & The Oval: While not explicitly listed in current plans, these community facilities lie within or adjacent to the precinct boundaries. Their displacement or indirect impact would represent a significant loss of public amenities and social cohesion. The cumulative effect of these developments, alongside the already completed Conradie project, will overwhelm existing infrastructure.	Jesse	employed individual
	2026-06-28 16:37:30	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Gail	retired
	2026-06-29 07:05:01	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Merle	retired

	2026-06-29 21:36:41	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The proposed high density housing will lead to monstrous traffic congestion. How can can this outrageous development ever be allowed to proceed. I sounds worse than a squatter camp. I can't believe the City of Cape Town can go ahead with this proposed so called development.	Keith	retired
	2026-06-30 01:00:48	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		There is already diminished water pressure by increased strain on infrastructure not to mention refuse removal also battling to keep up with demand. The added development not withstanding the cumulative developments planned puts massive strain on already constrained infrastructure. We don't have enough schooling to cater for current families and traffic in and out of Pinelands / southern suburbs to highways is major concern. This adds further impact an would be better suited for a different location that does not have these pressures. The current land is well utilized and one of the few open spaces left outside the city.	Gareth	employed individual
	2026-06-30 09:26:42	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The city is applying major pressure to it's already overburdend infrastructure and ignoring what already exists. The area cannot handle the amount of cars that this will bring and it will imped access to Vincent Palloty hospital which will have severe, life threatening consequences. It already provides jobs in the area and the claim that it will bring new ones does not take into consideration that these will be lost. It has not been approved by the heritage committee and will overburden the natural environment around it	Kelly	
	2026-06-30 21:56:01	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Derek	employed individual
	2026-06-30 23:42:07	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Trevor	employed individual
	2026-07-09 14:05:20	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Michael	self-employed
	2026-07-10 21:21:34	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Ama	unemployed individual
	2026-07-12 11:48:18	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Pamela	retired
	2026-07-13 15:12:31	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Marne	
	2026-07-13 15:13:59	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Mada	
	2026-08-18 15:59:13	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Geoff	employed individual
	2026-08-31 15:13:55	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		All of the listed issues are relevant, however, my main concern is that so much development is taking place in this area, that the current infrastructure cannot cope with additional housing. Schools are over subscribed traffic is back logged it just doesn't make sense to build in areas that cannot support additional people	Caron	retired
	2026-09-04 16:58:02	No I do not	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Matthew	employed individual
	2026-06-23 11:02:20	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I have been a Pinelands resident for 50 years and do not support this development. Pinelands is already so busy and the traffic is crazy. I think we need some open areas and the people at Oude Molen have made a life for themselves there. Where would they now go ?	Carol	retired
	2026-06-23 14:10:12	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There is so much land in Zonnebloem and by the Foreshore that has abandone warehouses and land that could be used properly.. why cluster up the urban areas already when there is no proper infustructure to support and mess up the eco systems already there.	Heidi	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:26:39	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Koos	unemployed individual
	2026-06-23 14:48:34	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Natalie	retired
	2026-06-23 14:48:42	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Chantelle	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:51:19	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		We need open and public spaces for mental health, tourism and environmental concerns.	Aniel	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:18:28	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Oude Molen is a precious piece of land and history. While I might have supported some limited development, the predetermined outcome and lack of listening that has been demonstrated by the City of Cape Town means that I cannot support it at all. No consideration is given to the hundreds of people who currently benefit from the land in a manner that does not exclude those who are economically disadvantaged. There are plenty of high cost high density residential blocks, but where are the truly inclusive areas? NO CAPE TOWN I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS!	Rosemary	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:19:43	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			SOL	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:25:09	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The land is in use serving the community, it is also in a green belt. No environmental studies. Also there is no real extra value in the proposal for the community in future, no guarantee of jobs or housing, or suggestions to work with the currant community. Seems to me to be a money making scheme where only investors will come out as winners. Find solutions without displacing current communities or work on a plan to revamp/rebuild sustainable rural communities. IF you have shelter, water, electricity, food and community what more do you need.... look into "kuboots" or off the grid self-sufficient communities. Place and educate people to look after themselves by working to provide for self and others as a community. DO NOT MESS WITH CURRENT WORKING ECOLOGICALLY SOUND COMMUNITY- WE NEED THEM AND GREEN	Elri	
	2026-06-23 15:25:38	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Loretta	retired
	2026-06-23 15:33:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kevin	retired
	2026-06-23 15:33:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Caroline	retired
	2026-06-23 16:01:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		One has to be blind not to notice the traffic congestion on our beloved Cape Peninsula and the relentless pursuit of development at all costs. The development of Oude Molen will affect the very young (the Waldorf school and pony rides), entrepreneurs trying to eek out a living in existing business ventures and the elderly and infirm and the nursing staff who care for them at Robin Trust. If this City so desperately wants to develop land for low cost housing, then surely there is land available further afield - serviced by trains and MyCiti buses.	Lindy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:23:44	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There are already too many high rise buildings in and around Cape Town, Oud Molen has been a special place for all my children and grandchildren while growing up and it will be devastating to lose this facility.	betty	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:10	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		If allowed the entire metropolis will be covered in soul destroying concrete structures.	David	retired
	2026-06-23 16:25:19	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There are very few large public open spaces left in the City and this one is especially important due to the activities which benefit a large community with different economic backgrounds. The Rudolf Steiner school, the horse stables and opportunities it provides the local community of Maitland Garden City/Alexandra Road area are a few.	Nick	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:37:16	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Wesley	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:39:38	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Carey	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:48:58	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-23 17:01:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-23 17:01:17	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Elizabeth	retired
	2026-06-23 17:09:00	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Merilyn	retired
	2026-06-23 17:09:02	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Merilyn	retired
	2026-06-23 17:09:55	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Leon	retired
	2026-06-23 17:13:26	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Nicola	
	2026-06-23 17:15:52	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		It needs to be realised just how important this area is to the people of Greater Cape Town.	Colin	retired
	2026-06-23 17:19:50	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jerod	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:19:51	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jerod	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:25:53	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Colin	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:36:53	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jannet	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:50:46	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Ian	retired
	2026-06-23 18:03:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jan	
	2026-06-23 18:46:33	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Recently spent some time in Robin Trust and feel its loss will be a sad one as would the movement of the school and the horses. The whole nature and ethos of the area will be destroyed	deborah	retired
	2026-06-23 18:46:35	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Recently spent some time in Robin Trust and feel its loss will be a sad one as would the movement of the school and the horses. The whole nature and ethos of the area will be destroyed	deborah	retired
	2026-06-23 18:54:58	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			marco	
	2026-06-23 19:17:11	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Alison	
	2026-06-23 19:38:53	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Sean	
	2026-06-23 19:39:13	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		As with the River Club development, public open space is taken from the community and is transformed into exclusionary up market spaces. These are not accessible to everyone, marginalize existing communities, deprive residents of historical and environmental resources and impose unsuitable buildings on areas that lack the infrastructure to sustain such developments.	Francine	retired
	2026-06-23 20:24:05	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Stanley	
	2026-06-23 20:32:06	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Beautiful open space and workspace creating work. We do not need more density	Aissa	business owner

	2026-06-23 21:01:02	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I wish to record my strong objection to the proposed development of the Oude Molen site. Oude Molen is not simply vacant or underutilized land; it is an important green lung within Cape Town and forms part of the wider Two Rivers Urban Park landscape. In a city facing increasing densification, traffic pressure, heat, flooding risk and loss of biodiversity, spaces like Oude Molen are essential public assets that should be protected, restored and sensitively managed. The site provides ecological, social and heritage value that cannot be replaced by high-density development. Its trees, gardens, open spaces and green corridors contribute to cleaner air, natural cooling, biodiversity and community wellbeing. The area also has cultural and historical significance within the Two Rivers landscape, including links to First Nations heritage and the broader history of Cape Town. Recent public reporting has noted that Heritage Western Cape rejected the Heritage Impact Assessment for the proposed development, finding that the application did not meet the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act. This reinforces the need for caution, proper assessment and meaningful consultation before any redevelopment is pursued. Oude Molen also supports an existing community ecosystem, including small businesses, educational uses, care services, food gardens, equestrian activities and public access to a rare natural environment close to the city center. These functions serve the public interest and contribute to Cape Town's social fabric. A development that displaces or undermines this living community would cause harm that cannot be measured only in financial or housing-unit terms. I am not opposed to responsible planning or to the need for housing. However, any intervention at Oude Molen must be guided by ecological protection, heritage preservation, genuine public participation and the long-term wellbeing of surrounding communities. The current approach appears to place excessive pressure on one of Cape Town's remaining green and culturally significant spaces. Alternative models should be explored that preserve the green lung, protect heritage resources, support existing community uses and allow only limited, appropriate development where it does not compromise the character and environmental function of the site. For these reasons, I respectfully request that the proposed development be halted or substantially reconsidered. A full, transparent and independent assessment of the environmental, heritage, traffic, infrastructure and social impacts should be undertaken, with meaningful engagement with residents, tenants, heritage bodies, environmental organisations, First Nations representatives and the wider public. Oude Molen should be protected as a green lung and community heritage landscape for present and future generations.	david	retired
	2026-06-23 21:02:03	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. An area-wide Heritage Impact Assessment for the broader, deeply historic Two Rivers Urban Park landscape was never completed. The overarching heritage process was abruptly suspended, and the Local Spatial Development Framework was pushed through regardless. By deferring heritage impacts to individual sites and then choosing to ignore site-level rejections, the City is systematically fragmenting a contiguous cultural landscape without evaluating cumulative, landscape-scale damage.	Lisa	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:02:12	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Wayne	employed individual
	2026-06-23 21:06:56	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Many concerns, from environmental impact to inappropriate land use and poor public engagement.	Mymoena	employed individual
	2026-06-23 22:41:40	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			David	retired
	2026-06-23 23:41:24	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Lynne	employed individual
	2026-06-24 01:50:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Carol	retired
	2026-06-24 04:57:06	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			John	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:10:03	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Gillian	retired
	2026-06-24 05:17:42	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This project has failed to consider the true facts and , I cannot see a positive outcome for the area.	Emily	retired
	2026-06-24 06:15:41	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jessica	
	2026-06-24 06:23:09	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The loss of public land and facilities in Cape Town is progressing at a rapid and unconsidered rate. Development is unavoidable and in many cases and desirable, but the speed, limited consideration of required processes and long term impacts of privatization mean that development like Oude Molen will increasingly change the shape of Cape Town. The regulations and processes exist for a reason and CoCT should not be steamrolling these to achieve their agenda - which does not represent the will of the people.	Chester	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:25:49	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Giacomo	
	2026-06-24 06:35:25	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Any development here must be low density to retain the open and green space. Heritage status must be respected. Road infrastructure must be able to cope with increased traffic.	Pau	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:39:26	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Johann	retired
	2026-06-24 06:43:28	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework. In addition, the existing community at Oude Molen provides essential care, educational, therapeutic, and cultural services that depend entirely on the tranquil, semi-rural character of the site. These activities are a direct continuation of the 130-year-old institutional "continuity of purpose" belonging to the surrounding Valkenberg therapeutic landscape, which historically utilized land-based care and agricultural rehabilitation. Displacing the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that delivers immense public value. These living heritage resources have been completely excluded from the project's social impact considerations.	Liam	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:48:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Catherine	
	2026-06-24 06:59:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Michael	
	2026-06-24 07:04:22	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Ismail	
	2026-06-24 07:33:33	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Public land and open space is getting less and less and can never be reclaimed. Part of heritage.	Hennie	
	2026-06-24 07:40:20	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kerry	
	2026-06-24 08:17:16	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			David	business owner
	2026-06-24 08:19:01	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Rushdia	retired
	2026-06-24 08:21:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Oude Molen exists as an eco hub and open public space, not every parcel of land needs to be developed in this city - especially not for private sector gain, public land for public benefit.	Leah	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:24:46	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The area is a beautiful open green space, the development on the opposite side of the river is already negatively impacting the area. High density living will severely impact the existing infrastructure of the area. The municipality must go through the proper procedures. What makes cape town so beautiful are the green and natural spaces, and the municipality is destroying all of them, one at a time.	Alison	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:38:31	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Felicity	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:41:54	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Pamela	
	2026-06-24 08:43:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Open spaces like this are needed. If we give in to this it is just another step in the inevitable creep towards a concrete jungle.	Peter	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:44:17	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kate	
	2026-06-24 08:44:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kate	
	2026-06-24 08:48:08	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is a great example of how the City of Cape Town is purposefully making Cape Town an impossible place to raise a family. We grew up in a simpler, easier, cheaper, and most importantly family friendly Cape Town, and it is now becoming quite simply so expensive and impossible to raise a family in Cape Town that this city now risks going to way of a lot of big cities around the world and chasing its families away. In my mind, one of the primary reasons is the focus on development at all costs (and often to provide profit for developers), especially getting rid of all the green spaces. A good recent example of this is the River Club development, which while a sacrilege to the heritage of the area, has also removed another green space, dramatically increased traffic, and is a cause for great concern regarding flooding of the Liesbeek River which would directly affect us as a family. Now I read of this plan to redevelop the Oude Molen Precinct - what a shocking removal of yet another green space where there currently are affordable opportunities for our kids to go and play. If the City really wants places to develop I could suggest probably at least 10 of them which are just sitting idle, instead of knocking down yet another of our kids' playgrounds. So my question is why is the City so against families? Certainly the push for densification to make the traffic worse and try force everyone on to the myciti bus and train services also makes raising a family very hard. And then add to that the cost of housing, and you have a toxic mix of circumstances to push families out. These are the reasons such development in our few remaining green spaces cannot be supported, and rather all these other idle (and barren) areas should be targeted for development. It would be nice to live in a City that hopefully prioritises families over profit.	William	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:55:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Peter	retired
	2026-06-24 09:17:32	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		We cannot just use up all the open land, parks, golf courses etc to accommodate more built up area's. Use up existing area's first of which there is plenty of space. Another problem will be the sewage system will not be able to handle the overload. Already they call Cape Town the '"SHITTY CITY"' because especially when it rains and you drive around all you smell is a horrible sewage stink. Stop flooding the cape peninsula with people who are unable to buy existing accommodation. Cape Town is not a industrial city and if the DA keeps covering up the truth and develop unpopular projects they are going to loose votes,	Lourens	retired
	2026-06-24 09:29:51	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Inconsiderate proposed development of the Oude Moulen heritage buildings and open spaces.	David	
	2026-06-24 09:36:28	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Karen	
	2026-06-24 09:36:46	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Marita	retired
	2026-06-24 09:49:11	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The city is not protecting heritage sites with respect	Errol	
	2026-06-24 10:22:38	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		It will be a huge loss to CT	Sally	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:30:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Heidi	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:36:04	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Linda	retired
	2026-06-24 10:42:33	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Henrie	business owner

	2026-06-24 10:48:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This process was procedurally and legally flawed, the city is rushing through high density develooment of a publuc space that is currently fully accessible and services many needs of the surrounding communities and from further afield. The densification would also remove green space that cools urban heat build up and currently allows rain infiltration. The floodplain has already been irreversibly altered by the development of the Amazon headquarters. Densification will also add to further excessive strain on aging road, water, rail and sewerage infrastructure which maintenance has already lagged behind. Athlone sewage plant is already not coping and is years away from optimum functioning, Roads and highways in the area already suffer from extreme congestion, water pipes and sewerage pipes regularly burst etc. There should be a moratorium on densification and developments until servicing is capacitated.	Leslie	
	2026-06-24 11:08:21	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Frenske	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:34:39	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There is much government land available near and opposite the harbour for low income housing.	Errico	
	2026-06-24 12:26:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jana	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:08:51	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Avril	retired
	2026-06-24 13:20:29	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Mary	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:17:37	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Maria	retired
	2026-06-24 14:28:23	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Linda	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:55:25	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Bosiame William	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 15:45:28	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kerith	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:41:24	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Why destroy a beautiful open space with horses, schools, businesses? Destruction of a green area! Shocking!	Les	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:59:39	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		City of Cape Town is destroying all iconic loved spaces in the city and suburbs. All they're interested in is more rates from increased tenants. They have NO INTEREST in the feelings and opinions of their citizens	Jackie	retired
	2026-06-24 17:10:08	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Greedy developers stand aside!	emile	retired
	2026-06-24 17:57:44	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Moira	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 18:09:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Johan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 18:54:23	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This land provides a space where children are educated and it also has a facility for the elderly. This is a community area which also creates o^0 for employment.	Jonathan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 20:04:44	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Traffick will be horrendous. Sewerage system can not handle the volume. Not enough schools.	George	retired
	2026-06-24 21:47:58	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Oude Molen is more valuable undeveloped as public benefit land than any development could ever be. In the future it is spaces like this that will set Cape Town apart for future generations - like central park in Ne York - we need these ecological, social, green and heritage spaces.	Anna	
	2026-06-24 22:28:24	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Lynne	
	2026-06-25 03:45:30	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I'm objecting to the loss of open space around the Black river an Liesbeek river confluence where natural open land for birds and recreation still exist beside the recently built monstrosity of the Amazon head offices and the ugly black and white complex that previously was The River Club and it's fences like a prison precinct.	Mark	business owner
	2026-06-25 04:49:48	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Y	retired
	2026-06-25 08:15:44	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Coenraad	retired
	2026-06-25 13:25:48	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			anova christian	
	2026-06-25 16:17:52	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			John	
	2026-06-25 16:46:56	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Heidi	retired
	2026-06-25 17:13:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Heritage sites must be protected in every country. This high-density development will cause traffic congestion, thinking of water supply, infrastructure, problems with access to Vincent Pallotti Hospital as well as transport for people living there, is of great concern.	Sandra	retired
	2026-06-25 20:24:57	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I feel that all green spaces are being developed in the city. In the end we will have a concrete jungle with an infrastructure that cannot maintain the people that live in it. Rather look at redeveloping existing concrete structures than destroy historic green spaces. I love driving on the M5 and seeing the horses on the green.	Silke	self-employed
	2026-06-26 07:45:21	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Lisa	self-employed
	2026-06-26 18:56:22	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Barry	retired
	2026-06-26 19:49:43	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		We don't want a concrete jungle. Open spaces are needed for one and all	Leon	business owner
	2026-06-26 19:51:34	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Council is running out of creative ways to hand public open space to developers. Up next - Table Mountain National Park	Darryl	retired
	2026-06-27 08:06:08	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Dit is teleurstellend dat Kaapstad wat van die mooiste pleke in die wêreld is alles net wil toe bou.... wat bly oor vir die nageslag. En ons mooi natuur die groen en bome raak net sement woude...	janet	self-employed
	2026-06-27 09:35:52	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kerrin	employed individual
	2026-06-27 09:39:42	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Please leave this area alone!!!!	Jennifer	retired
	2026-06-27 10:27:49	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Neville	retired
	2026-06-27 12:14:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Julie	retired
	2026-06-27 12:42:31	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Linda	employed individual
	2026-06-27 12:44:37	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jan	employed individual
	2026-06-27 13:11:46	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There has been so much development in Cape Town that greenspaces are being lost. The city should focus on keeping green spaces and focusing development further afield. This will allow residents currently within the municipal boundaries to experience a better city life.	Ric	
	2026-06-27 14:46:45	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		P	Patricia	
	2026-06-27 14:46:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		P	Patricia	
	2026-06-27 17:48:20	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I have concerns about loading the traffic routes around the Vincent Palotti Bridge, Alexander Road and the N2. We need to retain some beauty and green spaces, unless we want a throbbing congested city like Beijing and Kuala Lumpur?	Colleen	
	2026-06-27 17:51:26	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Elizabeth	
	2026-06-27 19:17:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Kevin	
	2026-06-28 06:30:39	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Omar	
	2026-06-28 07:19:44	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Common open space enables nature to express itself and residents freely to interact creatively to nourish soul and spirit so as to maintain healthy relationships and mutual respect for the environment that actually supports life.. Life itself, the air we breathe, and water to sink in etc is a product of nature and cannot be bought, just needs its space to make more life.. hard surfaces like roads and buildings create deserts, climate change, pollution and need money to maintain.. nature demonstrates self management.. rather move factories to where the people live..	Avice	retired
	2026-06-28 08:59:15	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Bronwen	self-employed
	2026-06-28 12:22:25	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, “derisked” opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined.	Tony	self-employed
	2026-06-28 15:45:14	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Ezra	retired
	2026-06-28 18:34:43	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I feel deeply that this unique and much-loved picturesque open lung in our very built-up city should stay exactly as it is and be protected from any development. Where will the people and animals who call this home find a similar situation? Why must every open space be built upon? The Mowbray Golf Course is about to be developed - isn't that enough in this area?	Tessa	retired
	2026-06-28 20:31:05	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Loss of public land and open space, loss of heritage sites, loss of much-needed breathing space, over-densification when there is insufficient water and inadequate infrastructure.	Penelope	retired
	2026-06-29 05:46:27	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Developers are running amok building poor quality tiny houses. They have no respect for rules. We don't need more houses we need more safe natural spaces. This place mixed that and culture. And once destroyed cannot be rehabilitated. So destroying our country for a few bukcks in you back pockets	Magi	
	2026-06-29 16:52:00	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		If we do not protect our open land, we will lose all eco for the future.	Joey	
	2026-06-29 18:44:27	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		In addition the run off of water during storms needs open land to absorb the water.	Anthea	self-employed
	2026-06-29 20:37:59	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I am highly concerned how this development will affect the wildlife corridors along the rivers in the areas, as well as traffic in the area which is already cripplingly slow in rush hour, with only 5 very narrow roads in or out of Pinelands. To develop Oude Molen when there is already the impact of Conradie site not catered for in terms of increased traffic is utterly unacceptable. There is going to be impaired access for emergency vehicles in and out of Pinelands especially during peak traffic time as a result, with the bridge over the railway line very narrow and a major bottleneck. I am also very unhappy about the impact on school availability and there has to be increased public schooling made available for any new housing development. This is on top of planned development at the golf course too! Rather develop a few of the individual plots of land that are tumbling down in Oude Molen and turn them into smaller blocks of flats, not this massive high rise complex that destroys the existing many businesses there currently, which are integral to the surrounding community and a major source of jobs currently for people who wouldn't have jobs otherwise. Temporary jobs from construction of new buildings should not be counted when considering job creation either!	Marion	employed individual
	2026-06-30 07:06:30	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Farid	business owner
	2026-06-30 09:04:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Janyce	retired

	2026-06-30 12:09:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Edwill	self-employed
	2026-06-30 13:22:18	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jaimie-lee	
	2026-06-30 21:01:30	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Sue-Ann	employed individual
	2026-07-01 05:40:41	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Les	employed individual
	2026-07-01 10:11:52	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The importance of less dense open green spaces must not be underestimated. As much as we need densification and more housing, visible and accessible green must be maintained. Oude moulen is a precious and whimsical ecosystem that should not be demolished. Having read the both the opposing and supporting arguments, I feel there is no net benefit to rezoning.	Sophia	student
	2026-07-01 10:48:23	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Sue	employed individual
	2026-07-01 12:45:29	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Carol	self-employed
	2026-07-01 13:43:49	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Terese	employed individual
	2026-07-01 17:09:27	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		I have seen how the surrounding community use this space ,parks and swimming pool	Barbra	
	2026-07-01 17:39:36	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			desmond	
	2026-07-01 19:17:50	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Cj	employed individual
	2026-07-02 00:45:08	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This would be a great loss to the city of a piece of Greenland where nature can thrive. It a place where socialising of all races is in perfect harmony . This free space can never be replaced .	Stan	business owner
	2026-07-02 07:15:30	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Michele	employed individual
	2026-07-02 09:29:05	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Desiree	
	2026-07-02 12:25:51	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Gayle	retired
	2026-07-02 13:51:34	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		Build higher buildings in existing building sites and stop selling public land. We want public communal spaces. Thank you.	Pablo	self-employed
	2026-07-03 07:41:14	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Natalie	
	2026-07-04 16:48:13	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This space is precious especially to food growers. Knowledge transfers, learning and sharing of growing is priceless.	Erica	unemployed individual
	2026-07-05 19:26:54	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		It's not your land to give away It belongs to all of us It is common ground	Daniel	business owner
	2026-07-07 20:17:22	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Jeandre	employed individual
	2026-07-08 12:54:16	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Natheefah	self-employed
	2026-07-14 08:07:47	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Thys	
	2026-08-12 22:02:08	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Lilisa	
	2026-08-17 07:58:57	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Marius	
	2026-08-28 13:10:20	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Willa	
	2026-08-30 05:17:49	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		We need more space for urban food growing	Erica	self-employed
	2026-09-07 12:07:55	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		The densification and overcrowding of the area putting a strain on all the surrounding infrastructure. The loss of a valuable ecosystem which contributes to the health of the environment and population.	Brenda	
	2026-09-10 02:18:51	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space		This is public land and some of the last to be inhabited by natural fauna and flora. City of Cape Town is just being greedy at the expense of the community and wildlife - shame on DA.	Tshepo	
	2026-09-13 07:51:50	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Ingrid	retired
	2026-09-14 19:50:29	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Etienne	business owner
	2026-09-27 09:08:13	No I do not	Loss of public land and open space			Vivienne	retired
	2026-06-23 11:18:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Frank	retired
	2026-06-23 13:39:41	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Raymond	retired
	2026-06-23 13:47:03	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Ingrid	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:58:47	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Armando	retired
	2026-06-23 13:59:48	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Edward	retired
	2026-06-23 14:08:46	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		No leave heritage sites alone. DA over stepping its mandate	Jenny	retired
	2026-06-23 14:18:04	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Andrew	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:26:39	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			JH	retired
	2026-06-23 14:45:35	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The space is much more useful as an environmentally sensitive and conservation worthy precinct based on historical and wildlife use. It has stone age artifacts all over it. The Two River Urban Park was approved years before the current bunch of money grabbing politicians were even heard of. They should be prosecuted for their high handed and illegal actions!	Edward	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:23:04	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Noelene	retired
	2026-06-23 15:24:31	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Willie	retired
	2026-06-23 15:35:57	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Clearly the site at present is of great benefit to a group of people The City should be looking at adding to the present benefits in many ways but not by destroying what is already there	Jan	business owner
	2026-06-23 15:45:27	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Nico	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:52:49	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Daniel	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:29:45	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Brenda	retired
	2026-06-23 16:30:29	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Heritage status must be upheld. Not just modern atrocities. Am shocked what has gone up at Black river club.	Patricia	retired
	2026-06-23 16:43:32	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Brian	retired
	2026-06-23 16:44:08	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Petro	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:48:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			A	retired
	2026-06-23 16:48:52	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The forced densification is entirely out of character. The scale is excessive. It will lead to a loss of jobs. It will create severe traffic blockages at a critical intersection.	David	retired
	2026-06-23 16:59:45	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Allan	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:28:14	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		This application cannot be viewed in isolation. It is part of a wave of concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor, including Riverlands, Conradie Park, and the redevelopment of the King David Mowbray Golf Course. The cumulative strain on infrastructure has never been independently audited. Local schools are already over-capacity following the Conradie Park rollout, traffic gridlock is expanding, and the transport framework relies on a new train station for which no confirmed budget or commitment has been made by PRASA.	Joe	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:45:42	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Emile	retired
	2026-06-23 17:47:36	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		This is an invaluable space and it supports much income earning Capetonians, scholars at 2 schools, riding school for the disabled and others as well as the highly needed step down facility, Robin Trust. The E.I.A, is flawed and has been rejected; the visuals misrepresent the building lines and the traffic impact study is wholly inadequate	Jan	retired
	2026-06-23 17:49:47	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Emile	retired
	2026-06-23 17:51:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Peter	retired
	2026-06-23 17:53:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Hildegarde	retired
	2026-06-23 18:10:11	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Henry	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:10:48	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Alexander	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:29:13	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Not going to happen !!!	Julia	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:29:15	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Not going to happen !!!	Julia	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:34:17	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Bill	
	2026-06-23 18:45:16	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Thobeka	unemployed individual

	2026-06-23 18:55:40	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Various inconsistencies , a really SHAMEFULL act by council	Gidion	retired
	2026-06-23 19:02:17	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Victor	retired
	2026-06-23 19:04:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Oude moulen is a well established community - I'm outrages that you flip not consider the well established community systems at risk. Save Oude Moulen!	Danielle	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:25:11	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Our roads are so congested already but to add more traffic that would hamper access to the hospital emergency unit is unacceptable. Our heritage buildings must be preserved.	Denise	retired
	2026-06-23 19:26:38	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The questions that should be asked are the Western Cape Goverment want to build/develope, sell land? In the last few months all you read is ,that they are selling off buildings ,land and rezone assists. Why are they not informing the citizens,rate payers why they require the funds for. Please let them spell out were they are investing the capital and in whose name is it registered.	peter	retired
	2026-06-23 19:30:56	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I believe each of the concerns stated below are valid and I support them all in objecting to this development !	Ruth	retired
	2026-06-23 19:39:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Estelle	retired
	2026-06-23 19:45:44	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Since when do we sidestep the necessary procedures?	Paul	self-employed
	2026-06-23 20:33:59	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I am concerned that all due processes have not been fully, legally and correctly complied with. It appears that Council has a predetermined and already approved plan for this land and they are determined not to comply with the due processes are followed in the possible rezoning of the land	Rob	retired
	2026-06-23 20:36:54	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Liz	employed individual
	2026-06-23 20:40:33	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Dick	retired
	2026-06-23 20:41:35	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I do not support this development for several reasons. Rampant development is destroying Cape Town	Nic	retired
	2026-06-23 21:00:40	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The infrastructure around the areas of Pinelands, Ndabeni, Mowbray and Thornton never mind the N2 cannot handle any more traffic. Conradie Park is an eye sore wirh major tenants pulling out and the DA want to add to this monstrosity. I object to any further development in this area	Veronica	retired
	2026-06-23 21:29:14	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Paula	retired
	2026-06-23 21:29:15	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Paula	retired
	2026-06-23 21:36:11	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Anel	retired
	2026-06-23 22:02:58	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			sharon	retired
	2026-06-23 22:15:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Maureen	retired
	2026-06-23 22:20:36	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Hennis	retired
	2026-06-23 22:33:08	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Jocelin	
	2026-06-23 23:29:30	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Retha	retired
	2026-06-23 23:29:33	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Retha	retired
	2026-06-24 00:18:33	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			cheryl	self-employed
	2026-06-24 03:26:56	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Johan	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:27:14	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Chantal	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:27:19	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Stan	retired
	2026-06-24 05:41:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I'm tired of capitalist projects bypassing checks and balances designed to protect our people.	Michael	
	2026-06-24 05:57:20	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Graham	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:11:07	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		This seems to be a rushed project, trying to buy votes from residents that are not originally from Cape Town and that do not have the historically connection to the area. The planning is incomplete and no long term investigation has been done to see what the end result will be to the densification of the area and what impact this will have to the current residents, learners or businesses.	Marizca	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 06:25:58	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Michelle	
	2026-06-24 07:00:15	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Jeanie	
	2026-06-24 07:02:54	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Cara	Non-profit
	2026-06-24 07:09:41	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Rene	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:25:26	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Trevor	retired
	2026-06-24 07:31:48	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Glywnnis	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:32:13	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Someone needs to stop overdevelopment and the loss of heritage sites. This is collusion amongst the city and developers	Pieter	retired
	2026-06-24 07:33:10	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Clive	
	2026-06-24 07:45:37	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		We as the First Indigenous people of South (Xam Khwa /Khwe and descendants of the event that took place in 1510 (Battle of Salt River) appose this development and reconstruction of this profound Heritage site that is a Historical proof of what our Ancestral Heritage is about.	High Comm Michelle S	Non-profit
	2026-06-24 07:50:08	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The area should not be handed over to developers. An appropriate precinct plan that protects the Black River and existing development s like the Robin Hood Trust and the two functioning schools would be more appropriate. It would be a tragedy for our city if all the proposed developments were allowed to go ahead. Traffic in the area is already a major issue as is the proposed development of almost all of the area's green spaces.	Anne	retired
	2026-06-24 07:53:38	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		These land belongs to the Khoisan people and not to be sold to foreigners but needed to be handed back to the original owners Khoi and San	Willem	retired
	2026-06-24 07:55:31	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Helen	retired
	2026-06-24 08:05:37	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Hannes	retired
	2026-06-24 08:09:11	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Lorraine Stewart	retired
	2026-06-24 08:15:17	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		he City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Michelle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:16:58	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Serena	
	2026-06-24 08:22:30	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Barry	
	2026-06-24 08:28:47	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The Heritage Commission is Wrong. the are taking away a place that is giving lungs to Cape Town and it will be wrong to take it away.	Kay	retired
	2026-06-24 08:29:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I believe this project does not truly reflect the meaning of our heritage. Heritage assessment standards have not been applied correctly.	Tina	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:29:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Ingrid	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:41:55	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, "derisked" opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined. The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Terry	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:51:24	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Zulayga	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:19:08	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Pat	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:22:55	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Gail	retired
	2026-06-24 09:32:33	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			rein	business owner

	2026-06-24 09:39:45	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		My concern is that Cape Town has become a crowded built up city therefore we should welcome the open space this area offers at the moment instead of another housing development	Joan	retired
	2026-06-24 09:52:42	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Angela	retired
	2026-06-24 09:55:01	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I vehemently oppose any development on this environmentally important land. The current use of the land, keeping wetlands, greenery and ecosystems is of vital importance. Please don't let money and greed override people and nature	Carryn	retired
	2026-06-24 10:21:13	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Alfred	
	2026-06-24 10:31:41	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Jacqueline	retired
	2026-06-24 10:32:11	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		459	Pat	retired
	2026-06-24 10:37:58	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Wessel	retired
	2026-06-24 10:58:35	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		We do not need another hi rise,hi density,expensive development in this area. If anything low cost housing in a traditional style limited to 2 storeys ,designed along the lines of a village would be more appropriate.	Pamela	retired
	2026-06-24 11:02:24	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Fadiah	
	2026-06-24 11:09:36	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			faith	retired
	2026-06-24 11:09:36	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			faith	retired
	2026-06-24 11:14:25	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Joanne	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:42:56	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Theo	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 11:54:34	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Viv	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:58:56	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		nlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Pushing land-use approvals through while the HIA stands officially rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA is premature and legally non-compliant. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of First Nations endorsement as false. Calculated Net Loss of Jobs: While the state promises 900 jobs, they hide the baseline reality. Robin Trust alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with the schools, stables, food gardens, and small enterprises, the site already supports hundreds of secure, local operational jobs. The proposal represents a net employment loss, not a gain. The Private School Bait-and-Switch: Early public engagement used the promise of new educational facilities. However, Section 5.2 of the April 2026 Motivation Report explicitly reveals that the proposed school will be private, noting that the Department of Education confirmed there is no planned public school provision for the site. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Emergency Access: The Traffic Impact Assessment admits that capacity on Alexandra Road is already critically constrained at the Berkley and Raapenberg Road intersections. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto this bottleneck directly threatens emergency vehicle response times to the neighboring Vincent Pallotti Level 1 trauma unit. Security & Operational Strains on Valkenberg Hospital: The proposal demolishes the historic secure buffer zone for Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital, placing vulnerable psychiatric patients at risk and severing long-standing land-based therapeutic and occupational therapy integrations. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin	Louis Martin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 12:03:57	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Better heritage assessment and impact on current jobs in Oude Molen.	Simon	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:31:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:50:42	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		If the heritage process is incomplete that means your whole plan is contaminated. Heritage has been neglected with this and previous governments since becoming a democratic country. I think the problem is specially the Dutch name of oude molen. This government is against anything whites. Bidvest has proven it by standing with Ramaphoza : no whites can get student bursuries	Ina	
	2026-06-24 12:50:42	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		If the heritage process is incomplete that means your whole plan is contaminated. Heritage has been neglected with this and previous governments since becoming a democratic country. I think the problem is specially the Dutch name of oude molen. This government is against anything whites. Bidvest has proven it by standing with Ramaphoza : no whites can get student bursuries	Ina	
	2026-06-24 12:50:42	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		If the heritage process is incomplete that means your whole plan is contaminated. Heritage has been neglected with this and previous governments since becoming a democratic country. I think the problem is specially the Dutch name of oude molen. This government is against anything whites. Bidvest has proven it by standing with Ramaphoza : no whites can get student bursuries	Ina	
	2026-06-24 13:26:25	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Andrew	business owner
	2026-06-24 14:13:26	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Denise	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:16:43	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	Wendy	employed individual
	2026-06-24 14:26:04	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Charlene	retired
	2026-06-24 14:58:07	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Lynette	employed individual
	2026-06-24 15:08:13	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Anna	retired
	2026-06-24 15:57:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape’s Appeals Committee officially dismissed the applicant’s appeal and upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), confirming it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA).	Werner	
	2026-06-24 16:23:46	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Cynthia Sandra	retired
	2026-06-24 17:07:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Keep spaces where spaces should be!	sean	retired
	2026-06-24 17:27:57	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I'm a Capetonian who helped stop the destructive mall development at Princess Vlei years ago. Now we're facing another unnecessary catastrophe at Oude Molen Eco Village in Pinelands/Observatory. The Western Cape Government wants to bulldoze this thriving 30-year-old grassroots community — artists, horse stables, food gardens, social enterprises, Khoi-San cultural spaces, and micro-businesses — for high-density housing and mixed-use blocks. Heritage Western Cape has already rejected their Heritage Impact Assessment twice (most recently April 2026) for ignoring the site's living, intangible heritage and community value. Public comments on rezoning close 30 June 2026. This is top-down planning that destroys what already works: a proven green lung, job creator, and low-impact urban model in the Two Rivers Urban Park. We need housing, but not by erasing successful community spaces like this.	James	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:19:04	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Tania	employed individual
	2026-06-24 20:07:57	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I Vote NO to the proposed Oude Molen Precinct development framework. I strongly object to the rezoning and high-density development framework for the 13-hectare publicly owned Oude Molen Precinct. The current proposal is flawed in process, substance, and impact, and should not be approved in its present form. Key reasons for my objection: 1. Unlawful bypassing of Heritage Protection On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape’s Appeals Committee formally rejected the project’s Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for failing to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. It is premature and unlawful to advance land-use rights while statutory heritage clearance has been refused. This alone warrants halting the process. 2. Misleading Visuals and Locked-in Maximum Parameters The attractive renderings presented to the public do not reflect the true scale. The framework quietly establishes very high maximum limits for height (up to eight storeys), bulk, and density. Once approved, future phases will be legally bound by these parameters, severely restricting the public’s ability to influence scale and form later. This is not genuine participation. 3. Private School Misrepresentation The project was initially promoted as delivering necessary public infrastructure, including a school. The April 2026 Motivation Report now admits there is no planned public school provision by the Department of Education. The school footprint has instead been shifted into the commercial allocation to operate as a high-fee private school. This is a material change that undermines earlier public messaging. 4. Net Job Losses, Not Gains The claim of 900 new jobs (Section 6.6.1) is calculated against a false baseline of zero existing employment. The long-established Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on site, and the current precinct supports additional jobs through holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisan activities. The development therefore risks a net loss of local jobs rather than a gain. 5. Serious Traffic and Emergency Health Risks Alexandra Road serves as the primary access route to the Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical existing bottlenecks at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. Adding thousands of daily vehicle trips will create gridlock and directly endanger trauma patients’ lives. This risk is unacceptable. 6. Evidence of Predetermination A Western Cape government flyer from November 2025, aimed at global investors, already claimed that heritage authorisation was “completed by July 2025,” public participation was finished, and development rights were “secured.” This demonstrates that the current public comment period is being treated as a mere formality rather than a genuine consultation. I request that the City of Cape Town: • Reject the current development framework. • Require a compliant new Heritage Impact Assessment. • Conduct a proper, transparent reassessment of density, traffic, job impacts, and community needs. • Ensure future proposals respect existing lawful uses, heritage obligations, and public safety. This comment is submitted as an individual formal objection under the relevant planning and administrative justice legislation. I expect it to be fully recorded and considered as such. Thank you.	Elize	
	2026-06-24 20:24:43	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Suzanne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 20:59:40	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Fran	retired

	2026-06-24 22:16:59	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Has this been passed at all by Cape Heritage or SAHRA or are we going down the same road as the Amazon Two RIvers site which was pushed through and now looks like it was predicted to be - Ugly and built up and the Liesbeeck probably canalised. These two sites were the original sites where the VOC granted farms to the burgers, so are historically important. There have been businesses and small industries, furniture etc established along there for years and it is a vital open corridor for the City and citizens. Read the book by Yvonne Brink, They Came to Stay, Re the early Cape farms and development.	jean	retired
	2026-06-25 03:55:08	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Andre	
	2026-06-25 09:37:00	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Lawrence	retired
	2026-06-25 10:01:54	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The city needs t be held to accoun, the notice to public for comments are always pushed throagh on bear minimum.	Robert	retired
	2026-06-25 10:20:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Issy	retired
	2026-06-25 11:01:22	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Moegamat Yusuf	retired
	2026-06-25 11:43:05	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Caroline	self-employed
	2026-06-25 15:26:45	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Deon	retired
	2026-06-25 17:03:01	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The applicant's HIA misapplied the statutory framework by using a restrictive, "vertical" definition of heritage transmission to dismiss living heritage as defined in Section 2(xxi). The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts. Wide-ranging formal objections from multiple certified Khoe and San houses expose early claims of indigenous endorsement as false, confirming that the proposal destroys a contiguous cultural landscape.	Du Toit	retired
	2026-06-25 18:00:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		It is completely against the law to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA.	June	retired
	2026-06-26 09:25:37	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Please stop destroying our heritage sites!!! I understand there is a huge problem with space but move out of Cape Town then!!! Gordon Hill Lewis said that if you can't afford to live in Cape Town go and live in the suburbs. Go and do that then!!!!	Madeleine	unemployed individual
	2026-06-26 09:26:49	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Janis	retired
	2026-06-26 09:32:43	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Avril	retired
	2026-06-26 11:25:00	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Carol	retired
	2026-06-26 12:12:24	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Andrea	
	2026-06-26 12:16:02	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I feel it should be a protected ecosystem where there is growing of food and markets to attract people. Something on the lines of the Oranjezicht farm which is highly successful - it could generate an income for people and teach them farming skills, crafts and other- not another high density souless housing development. It is a beautiful, unique expanse of land which shd be cherished and nurtured by many people. It should add the character of Cape Town and be a cherished beauty spot	Judith	retired
	2026-06-26 22:17:33	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Coll	retired
	2026-06-26 22:17:34	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Coll	retired
	2026-06-27 15:10:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Maureen	retired
	2026-06-27 15:16:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Nardus	employed individual
	2026-06-27 15:21:06	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		All of the above. There is no Architectural design at all, only Horrible square boxes completely out of sink with the rest of the surrounding area. The sky line below the mountain will be hideous. They're is plenty of vacant ground in the middle of the N2 on either side of the N2 between Cape Town and Somerset west that cab be developed with decent Architecture.	Connie	retired
	2026-06-27 18:39:05	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Janet	self-employed
	2026-06-27 19:08:18	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		This Unique Cultural Landscape is essential to preserving the integrity of Two Rivers Urban Park, a declared Park since 2003 that provides an opportunity to integrate our Divided City, scared by early Colonialist attacks & brutal occupation that displaced the local Khoena people since the First Frontier War of 1659-60 & ongoing displacement through the Apartheid Crimes Against Humanity until 1992. This National Heritage Site preserves the Sacred Heritage Story only if it's Cultural Landscape Heritage is protected! There is plenty of space around the perimeter of TRUP that when utilised appropriately will need the Green Environment Heritage to be easily accessible for all and to keep our city Sustainable!! Riverine Heritage Space in not a place where high density building should be permitted!! Insanity must be stopped! Don't allow short term profit to destroy our Unique Heritage City! Our future Generations depend on Heritage being preserved!	Marc	
	2026-06-28 10:47:05	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Jim Pascual	self-employed
	2026-06-28 21:20:22	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		We need to protect all heritage spaces.	Pam	retired
	2026-06-29 05:56:51	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Stanley	retired
	2026-06-29 07:59:22	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Another blindside. It is atrocious how our 'leaders' throw good communities under the bus time and again. There are a multitude of factors not properly investigated. Come on. Be good people and do what is right for a change.	Karen	self-employed
	2026-06-29 08:05:12	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		KrotoasSA Foundation believe a violation of the National Heritage Resource Act is committed by the Western Cape Department of Infrastructure by continuing its rezoning application without an approved heritage assessment. The act states the "responsible heritage resources authority" must decide whether or not the development may proceed, or whether any limitations must be set. The development as proposed cannot be considered as the Heritage Impact Assessment does not meet the requirements of Section 38(3) of the NHRA.	LENDY	Non-profit
	2026-06-29 13:15:04	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Martine	retired
	2026-06-29 13:30:26	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		I'm very concerned about the misleading statements and bad decision making. It must stop.	Cluette	employed individual
	2026-06-29 21:15:21	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Alison	retired
	2026-06-29 21:17:13	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Mike	retired
	2026-06-30 07:17:03	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Jimmy	employed individual
	2026-06-30 13:33:47	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The proposed development would fundamentally alter the character of the 13-hectare Oude Molen Precinct by replacing an established, low-density green landscape with an intensive eight-storey mixed-use development. The Heritage Impact Assessment has been refused, and that refusal was upheld on appeal because it does not comply with Section 38(3) of the NHRA. It is premature and procedurally questionable to advance the rezoning application while the required heritage authorization remains refused. The City should suspend consideration of the land-use application until all statutory heritage requirements have been satisfied. Any decision made before resolving the heritage authorization may expose the approval process to legal challenge and undermine public confidence in integrated planning. This is a strong procedural objection because it focuses on due process and statutory compliance rather than only opposing the development on policy grounds. Henry Paine (registered Heritage Practioner)	Henry	self-employed
	2026-06-30 14:30:37	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The City cannot lawfully approve a development framework while the underlying statutory heritage assessment stands rejected under Section 38(3) of the NHRA. The River Club litigation firmly foregrounded intangible heritage and First Nations consultation as substantive considerations—obligations this application flouts.	Hanief	self-employed
	2026-06-30 20:07:48	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		This piece of land has so much history and heritage and should not be developed for housing. It is a green lung and loved by many people of all races. What about the school, Robin Trust, swimming pool catering for many children from the poorer community. Infrastructure cannot cope.	Lynette	retired
	2026-07-01 12:20:58	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Meryl	self-employed
	2026-07-02 08:55:18	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Total madness in a area so so important for our environment in Cape Town and the Western Cape and the World - ??	Val	retired
	2026-07-02 09:02:23	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Valerie	retired
	2026-07-02 20:46:37	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Gill	business owner

					To whom it may concern, I wish to formally object to the proposed development framework for the Oude Molen Precinct. My objection is based on concerns regarding legal compliance, procedural fairness, heritage protection, transport impacts, public safety, and the accuracy of information presented to the public. 1. Heritage compliance I understand that on 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee rejected the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) on the grounds that it did not comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. If this is correct, proceeding with land-use approvals before the statutory heritage process has been lawfully completed appears premature and undermines the integrity of the planning process. I request that no development framework be approved until all statutory heritage requirements have been fully satisfied. 2. Development parameters The visual representations provided during public participation appear significantly less intensive than the maximum development rights proposed in the framework. I am concerned that members of the public may not fully appreciate the height, bulk and density that could ultimately be permitted. The public deserves complete transparency regarding the maximum development envelope, as these parameters will shape all future planning decisions. 3. Public infrastructure I am concerned by reports that land initially presented as accommodating public educational facilities is now intended for a private school. If the Department of Education has no plans to establish a public school on the site, this represents a material change that should have been clearly communicated during public participation. The planning process should accurately reflect the intended public benefits of the development. 4. Employment impacts The claim that the project will create approximately 900 jobs should be independently verified against the current employment already supported within the precinct. Existing organisations, educational facilities, community enterprises and other occupants currently provide substantial employment and essential services. A proper assessment should consider the net employment impact rather than assuming the site currently supports no economic activity. 5. Traffic and emergency access I am deeply concerned about the potential impact on Alexandra Road and surrounding intersections, particularly if the Traffic Impact Assessment already identifies existing congestion. Any increase in traffic that could delay access to the Level 1 Trauma Centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital would have serious public safety implications. This issue requires thorough independent assessment before any approvals are granted. 6. Procedural fairness I am concerned by reports that promotional material presented to investors in late 2025 indicated that heritage approvals, public participation and development rights had already been secured before the statutory approval processes had concluded. If accurate, this raises serious questions regarding procedural fairness and whether public participation has been treated as a genuine opportunity to influence decision-making. Conclusion As a South African who values Cape Town's unique heritage and green public spaces, I believe developments on publicly owned land must be transparent, legally compliant and genuinely serve the public interest. Once these decisions are made they cannot easily be reversed, and it is therefore essential that every statutory requirement is properly fulfilled before approval is granted. I respectfully request that the City does not approve the proposed development framework until: * all heritage processes have been lawfully completed; * all technical reports have been independently reviewed; * the public has been provided with clear and accurate information regarding the true scale of development; * the cumulative traffic and emergency access impacts have been satisfactorily addressed; and * all concerns regarding procedural fairness have been fully investigated. Public participation should be meaningful and transparent. Decisions affecting publicly owned land, heritage resources, existing communities and critical public infrastructure should be based on accurate information, legal compliance and sound planning principles. Thank you for considering my submission. Yours faithfully, Charleen McIntosh		
	2026-07-03 05:57:56	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Charleen	self-employed
	2026-07-12 13:44:22	No I do not	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Anta	
	2026-06-23 13:47:28	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Muriel	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:49:51	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Deon	retired
	2026-06-23 14:26:15	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		The misrepresentation to global financiers is despicable; to falely claim that heritage and public participation obligations have been met demonstrates a complete disdsain for the interests of the community.	Adrian	business owner
	2026-06-23 14:48:56	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		I'm against this for the blatant lies spread by the city regarding this project. This is becoming a regular occurrence with DA. Blatantly lying to the public.	Richard	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:56:47	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		This proces is being pushed through and must be stopped. It will cause irrevocable harm, and the DA is busy disappointing their supporters to the point that they will loose them. They have lost so many already. It is a disgrace that this is not even considered: Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives.	Sune	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:56:47	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		This proces is being pushed through and must be stopped. It will cause irrevocable harm, and the DA is busy disappointing their supporters to the point that they will loose them. They have lost so many already. It is a disgrace that this is not even considered: Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives.	Sune	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:39:03	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Someone's getting a 'back-hander'!	L	retired
	2026-06-23 15:39:04	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		once again no surprizes -in all probability huge contribution by developers to DA coffers helped to smooth the way. I dont trust any politician - all of them one bit	koos	
	2026-06-23 15:48:36	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Jacobus	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:26:21	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Wendy	
	2026-06-23 16:31:07	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Wendy	retired
	2026-06-23 16:32:15	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Craig	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:47:32	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Joan	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:08:20	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Daily Maverick has exposed what many of us have believed for some time. Cape Town's planning officials are a law unto themselves. Public participation is a farce and their densification policy is a catchall to brush aside objections with merit. Their behaviour with regard to this project appears to be a case in point. Yes, land is scarce and sensitive developments with merit should be supported. Ignoring HWC decisions hardly fulfills this requirement.	Trevor	
	2026-06-23 17:46:11	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		This is alarming. I thought our city of Cape Town had honest. And accountable laws that were applied evenly across the system. Once heritage sites are abandoned, they cannot be reclaimed. Please leave the area alone!	Susan	retired
	2026-06-23 17:48:37	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		This doesn't look good for the DA. Mammon talks and politicians jump.	Marthinus	self-employed
	2026-06-23 18:00:18	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Ashton	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:02:00	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Here the city goes again doing things without consulting the citizens of Cape Town. You're an arrogant bunch who seem immune to the will of the citizenry. You need to listen to us, put every single project to an open vote and follow the will of the people ypu pretend to serve.	Russell	
	2026-06-23 19:22:40	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Goverment has no intension to preserve anything if money is to be made. Giving up benefits for the public, heritage space and public space.	Benita	
	2026-06-23 22:13:35	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			George	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:23:00	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			GT	retired
	2026-06-23 22:37:17	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		So tired of those that say they have everyone's best interest at heart, not having everyone's best interest at heart. Give it a break and leave our heritage alone.	Ian	
	2026-06-24 05:51:40	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		The rezoning and development needs to follow all legal and procedural requirements. The dishonesty and predetermined nature of the outcomes does not serve the public. There is immense scope for corruption here and it must be stopped.	J	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:57:11	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Anneke	
	2026-06-24 05:57:12	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Anneke	
	2026-06-24 07:12:36	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		The assumed predetermined outcome illustrates a lack of procedural correctness and this needs to be corrected with proper public participation to ensure realistic and correct data to make a properly informed decision that is in the genuine interest of the community and local ratepayers.	Angus	employed individual

	2026-06-24 07:33:33	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Eugene	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:35:47	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		This is notpublic participation. The city (and the DA) has already decided to do this development. It is very unlikely to be low cost housing. The DA is trying hard to create a fancy expensive city for foreigners who can pay lots of rates and taxes, while making it unaffordable for the real Capetonian.	Albert	
	2026-06-24 07:46:53	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Kevin	
	2026-06-24 08:20:24	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Dave	
	2026-06-24 08:41:04	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			John	retired
	2026-06-24 08:53:56	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		There is clear, document-backed evidence that this administrative process is not being conducted in good faith. Official Western Cape Government materials presented to international financiers at the Western Cape Investment Summit in November 2025 explicitly misrepresented the project as a fully cleared, “derisked” opportunity with completed heritage authorizations and finalized public participation. In reality, the HIA had already been found non-compliant by Heritage Western Cape in June 2025, a revised assessment had not been submitted, and public feedback windows remained open. Forcing a land-use approval through while ignoring active legal rejections confirms that the outcome has been completely predetermined.	Danielle	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:22:31	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Too many things being passed under th radar without full disclosure and proper consideration of issues	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 10:32:47	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Another case of ... follow the money .... Such projects under the guise of progress is another example of corruption by officials who are caught up in their greed and ambitions . Clearly they have been lying to all and sundry so pull all these facts and charge them criminally .This country , province and city need to stop these corrupt practices	michael	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:32:47	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Another case of ... follow the money .... Such projects under the guise of progress is another example of corruption by officials who are caught up in their greed and ambitions . Clearly they have been lying to all and sundry so pull all these facts and charge them criminally .This country , province and city need to stop these corrupt practices	michael	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:33:53	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Gwendoline	retired
	2026-06-24 11:14:53	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Ursula	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:26:13	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Given the current investigations into the back door manipulation of officials "life membership" of planning bodies, this development and many others needs to be scrutinized.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 11:27:59	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		It is obvious that this project recommendation has just been rubber stamped without the Environmental Impact Assessment incomplete and unsuitable . Insufficient in depth study has obviously not been undertaken to assess the access to the site given traffic congestion already experienced in the area.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 11:27:59	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		It is obvious that this project recommendation has just been rubber stamped without the Environmental Impact Assessment incomplete and unsuitable . Insufficient in depth study has obviously not been undertaken to assess the access to the site given traffic congestion already experienced in the area.	Richard	retired
	2026-06-24 11:37:23	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Justin	
	2026-06-24 11:54:46	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		There is clear evidence that the city has misrepresented themselves to investors in the 2025 Investment Summit. Heritage have NOT approved the plans and this project should NOT be allowed to go ahead. At what point with these City officials be held accountable for their dishonest, selfish agendas that negatively affect ALL citizens of this city?! NO to a private school. We need MORE government schools in Cape Town. We need access to the hospitals, not MORE congestion. These officials are NOT listening to Capetonians and are NOT following lawful procedures.	Tanya	
	2026-06-24 14:21:46	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		I object to the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct on procedural, legal, and heritage grounds. 1. HERITAGE PROCESS IS PREMATURE AND LEGALLY UNRESOLVED On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee upheld the rejection of the developer's Heritage Impact Assessment, finding it non-compliant with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. This is the second time the HIA has been rejected; the first rejection found the submission had "not sufficiently investigated the intangible and living heritage" of the site. Proceeding with rezoning while the statutory heritage process stands unresolved is premature and contrary to the sequencing the NHRA requires. SAHRA is still actively assessing Grade I National Heritage status for the Two Rivers Urban Park, of which Oude Molen forms part. The draft HIA's claim that SAHRA "rejected" this grading application misstates the record: the case it cites (16907) concerned a separate Provisional Protection application for the neighbouring River Club site, not the TRUP nomination. I ask that no land-use decision be taken until this national grading process concludes. The HIA's heritage assessment also relies on a restrictive "vertical" reading of living heritage, treating practices that began post-1994 as ineligible for protection. This misapplies the law. The NHRA and UNESCO recognise a "horizontal" understanding of living heritage: cultural transmission through skills, mentorship, and renewal across communities, regardless of when a specific practice began. The equine-assisted therapy, indigenous food forest, Khoisan ceremonial use of the Liesbeek/Black River confluence, and multi-generational care services at Oude Molen all qualify under this standard and have not been properly assessed. 2. INADEQUATE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IS A RECOGNISED GROUND FOR SETTING ASIDE THIS PROCESS South African courts have repeatedly set aside government decisions for inadequate public participation. In Doctors for Life International v Speaker of the National Assembly (2006), the Constitutional Court held all interested parties must have a real opportunity to be heard and seriously considered, or the process is unconstitutional. In Mogale v Speaker of the National Assembly (2023), legislation was declared invalid for insufficient notice and lack of accessibility in consultation, defects evident here too. In the Shell seismic survey litigation (SCA, 2024), inadequate notification that communities could not access or understand was enough to halt proceedings. Specific defects here include: a 2024 public database that appears to disregard concerns OMEVTA had already raised; a lack of written feedback to submissions; restricted access to LO 14/23 tender documentation, which should be available via official e-Tender portals under PFMA SCM Instruction No. 09 of 2022; and framework illustrations that do not depict the legally permitted maximum height and bulk, creating a misleading impression of true density and visual impact. Because later planning phases will be legally bound to operate within whatever maxima are approved now, meaningful public influence narrows sharply once this framework is locked in. 3. THE PROCESS APPEARS PREDETERMINED An official Western Cape Government document presented to investors at the November 2025 Investment Summit stated heritage authorisation had been "completed by July 2025" and public participation "has been completed." Both statements were false when made: the HIA had already been found non-compliant months earlier, and the commenting window remained open. Presenting unsecured rights as a finalised asset to investors while statutory participation was still legally open suggests the outcome has already been decided administratively. This pattern is not unique to Oude Molen: the concurrent Mowbray Golf Course disposal drew the same complaint, parcels approved for release before any statutory PPP took place. 4. AN EXISTING COMMUNITY WITH OVER THREE DECADES OF DOCUMENTED CONTINUITY The Province's submission characterises the site as "underutilised." Tenants and independent sources dispute this. The community has operated since at least the early 1990s, when remaining medical staff at the downsized Valkenberg site invited craft workers and gardeners to occupy the vacant western wing, an occupation later formalised through leases. It was independently documented in 2016 (Mail & Guardian, Sudley Adams Memorial Award) and in peer-reviewed academic literature (Houssay-Holzschuch et al., Cybergeo, 2018) describing it as a "child of the political transition," with fieldwork dating to 2009-2015, well before the current dispute. It currently sustains: the Robin Trust, providing permanent dementia and Alzheimer's care alongside SETA-accredited caregiver training drawing students mainly from the Cape Flats; Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School; equine-assisted therapy at the Oude Molen Stables; food gardens run in partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department; and the Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, including an indigenous food forest reported by tenants to exceed 800 trees, more than the 517 recorded in the Impact Assessment. Whichever characterisation of the site is preferred, this discrepancy in tree counts should be independently verified before any vegetation-clearing decision is taken. 5. LEASE STATUS IS UNCLEAR AND SHOULD BE CLARIFIED IN WRITING The proposal package describes existing tenancies as informal "tacit leases" on a month-to-month or three-monthly basis, all subject to a redevelopment clause, with no fixed term stated. OMEVTA's own account describes a lease expiring September/October 2025, with renewal tied to a legitimate, legally completed development plan rather than to rights being granted. These two accounts of the same lease arrangement do not match. Given that tenant security and investment decisions depend on this, I ask that the WCG state in writing, unambiguously, the actual lease terms, the renewal mechanism, and the basis on which any termination could occur. 6. AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMMITMENTS ARE NON-BINDING The widely promoted one-third affordable and social housing allocation is, by the application's own fine print, conditional on future financial feasibility assessments, with no enforceable mechanism requiring delivery once development rights are secured. I ask that this non-binding status be stated plainly rather than used as the primary public justification for the scale of this proposal. 7. NO INDEPENDENT EIA AND UNRESOLVED INFRASTRUCTURE QUESTIONS No independent Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted for a site bordering the Black River wetland, habitat for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. Cumulative infrastructure strain alongside Conradie Park, Riverlands, and the Mowbray disposal has not been independently audited, and the transport model depends on a proposed rail station with no confirmed PRASA funding. I ask for a full independent EIA and infrastructure audit before rezoning proceeds. RECOMMENDATION I ask the City and the Western Cape Government to: (a) halt rezoning pending the SAHRA Grade I assessment and any heritage appeal; (b) commission a properly resourced public participation process integrating prior community input rather than a fresh, disconnected database; (c) commission an independent EIA and infrastructure audit; and (d) clarify in writing the actual lease terms and renewal mechanism, the binding status of the affordable housing commitment, and the tree and heritage resource counts in the HIA. Oude Molen should remain in public hands, managed under principles that build on its documented decades of social, therapeutic, educational, and ecological contribution to Cape Town.	Sally-Anne	self-employed
	2026-06-24 14:25:54	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		The City of Cape Town is forcing development using a Tribunal which is not duly elected, has no oversight, is not acting in terms of delegated legislation, is unconstitutional in terms of the rulings it seeks to make. These are non-elected individuals exercising a power that is not their's to exercise.	Michael	business owner
	2026-06-24 14:48:12	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Andrew	business owner
	2026-06-24 19:01:12	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		People of Cape Town must determine their own choices as to open spaces and developments. So many developments are hideous e.g. Amazon building.	Adrienne	employed individual
	2026-06-25 08:20:09	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Mary	retired
	2026-06-25 08:20:09	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Mary	retired
	2026-06-25 13:57:38	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Shirley	retired
	2026-06-26 06:50:42	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Lynette	business owner
	2026-06-26 12:39:26	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Lester	self-employed

	2026-06-26 17:00:28	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		I am tired of the DA run city and province doing public participation as a rubber stamp exercise. The existing infrastructure cannot handle the cumulative developments of Conradie Park, Oude Molen, Mowbray gholf course and Riverlands. First put in new infrastructure and schools before selling off more land. This just reeks of corruption and the enrichment of family/friends, disregarding the concerns of the affected communities.	Charl	employed individual
	2026-06-28 01:22:21	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Simone	employed individual
	2026-06-28 21:23:43	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Pamela	
	2026-06-29 07:26:17	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			John	
	2026-07-03 11:45:41	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			catherina	
	2026-08-13 05:23:31	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Z	employed individual
	2026-08-18 09:29:58	No I do not	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Da can go to hell	Chris	self-employed
	2026-06-23 13:50:18	No I do not	Other		It is typical for the Mayor and the DA council to make up their laws and ignore what legislation is. Put the affordable housing on the Mayor property and the DA council properties. Lets stifle them out from administration of Cape Town	Stefan	retired
	2026-06-23 14:18:34	No I do not	Other		No schools no development, how can you possibly put all those extra people there but no new schools? The hospital access should be clearly resolved before continuing.	David	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:39:30	No I do not	Other		The overwhelming majority of South Africans that provided their comments are aligned with each other. The result: let's first reconstruct, give the existing empty left-behind properties in Cape Town an overhaul. We can turn these spaces into all sorts of needed workspaces with employment opportunities, high standard housing facilitation and recreational activities. For now, it is best to leave this public land: Oude Molen untouched. Thank you for understanding and your continual unconditional support and cooperation. I am the provider of solutions on the director's table. You are welcome to contact me in this regard for a consultation. PEACE, LOVE AND JOY TO ALL GOD'S CHILDREN!	Nicolas	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:31:55	No I do not	Other			Mtana	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:48:27	No I do not	Other			Ronald	employed individual
	2026-06-23 17:55:36	No I do not	Other		The City of Cape Town under the DA is following a path of destruction by putting the INTERNATIONAL HOLIDAY CAMP first and the LOCAL CITIZENS last. The are only interested in EUROS, DOLLARS and POUND. Marketing itself out of the LOCAL market. As South Africans we CANT compete. All good and well to have a TOURIST INCOME but the S Africans are SUFFERING. Not only on the REAL ESTATE market but MOSTLY THE COST OF LIVING. NO thought is given to the LOCALS that are paying INTERNATIONAL PRICES for EVERYTHING. This is not only for Cape Town but the entire GARDEN ROUTE.	Heinz	retired
	2026-06-23 18:01:12	No I do not	Other		This sounds very much like a SMART CITY development without mentioning the words! How many of our people have ANY IDEA about living in Smart Cities and how they could be used to isolate communities under a tyrannical government as what happened in China during Covid?	Norma	
	2026-06-23 19:25:05	No I do not	Other		All of the above plus any tenders will be corrupt and inflated. The area should remain residential etc and not have high density housing. It's an eyesore and increases traffic congestion and crime.	Bob	
	2026-06-24 04:53:12	No I do not	Other			Jasmina	retired
	2026-06-24 06:51:25	No I do not	Other		Why over populate? There's not enough schools and public hospitals in the area. Traffic will be absolutely chaos and as much as we want to ignore it, crime will definitely increase. Use Wingfield and the open land across from Maitland Cemetery to build affordable housing, primary and high school as well as a hospital.	Camelita	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:22:55	No I do not	Other		This was khoisan land before it become oude molen and be right it should be given back to the owner and should not be explored to any other plan or building site	Eben	self-employed
	2026-06-24 09:55:10	No I do not	Other		The land is a deep and Rich history of our heritage and culture of the Khoi and San. Again the Western Government has not has Free Prior and concent from the Indigenous People's of the Land. They also Disrepects the King of the Goringiakhona who resides there. No development without the First Nations Involvement and decisions of the Collection bloodline Kings of the Designated Western Cape Region.	Danni	Non-profit
	2026-06-25 18:46:49	No I do not	Other		More than heritage is at risk and you know it, stop lying and tell the truth	K	business owner
	2026-06-27 08:29:11	No I do not	Other		Lack of public school facility and traffic congestion.	Michele	
	2026-07-03 04:13:59	No I do not	Other		Just no. Yous can't remove my safe space that I go to on weekends with my daughters. They all had there 1st horse rides there???	Fakes	employed individual
	2026-09-28 20:17:44	No I do not	Other		The government of the Western Cape in collusion with the National government are enforcing dispossession of the local communities. The land belongs to all of us as citizens of the Cape Metro. The important dignified approach is for the government to support the will of the people on how the land must be developed to enhance the interest and future well-being of the people as a whole away from those regarded as developers, investors and private sector.	Sandi	retired
	2026-06-23 14:41:22	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			SUSANNA/ SHEHADIE	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:42:22	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Absolutely must not happen .....no further cramming of more building yo accommodate those who do not belong in Cape Town. The damage to the city and residents is without fail the worst possible outcome. Shame on the DA for cramming this down our throats	Candy	self-employed
	2026-06-23 15:06:52	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Jan	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:28:06	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Theodore	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:27:20	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Sheryl	self-employed
	2026-06-24 05:39:38	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Jillian	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:09:30	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Nausheen	
	2026-06-24 07:50:04	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Extremely concerned at the unscrupulous methods in use to procure land that is highly sensitive being in the two rivers corridor, as well as being an important heritage site. Along with this the impact on roads has not been considered. Extremely concerned.	Inge	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:52:19	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Isabella	
	2026-06-24 07:52:42	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Bernard	retired
	2026-06-24 08:00:18	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Tatjana	self-employed
	2026-06-24 08:22:16	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		A. The site currently supports an irreplaceable ecosystem: The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest. B. Here are the hard facts: 1. Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. 2. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. 6. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along. C. Top concerns: 1. Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied. 2. Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. 3. Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight. 4. Predetermination of outcomes. 5. Systematic loss of public land and open space. 6. Absence of an area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers Corridor. 7. Cumulative infrastructure strain. 8. Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims. 9. Environmental and ecological threats.	Theuns	employed individual

	2026-06-24 16:23:49	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		This is a continuation of the abbhorent Amazon development riding roughshod over the community interest and advancement in the interest of (mainly foreign) developers. Ignoring legislation and offering this as if it is already approved for development is treating the citizenry and then laws of thhe land with utter contempt. The unspoilt natural beauty of our city and surrounds of which the Oude Molen open space is one, is part ofthe major income generation for the City and jobs for the people from Tourism. High-rise flats -land they can see anywhere in the world	Ignatius	retired
	2026-06-25 14:03:55	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Nothing about this proposal is honest and true. The suggestion that economic housing will be built is very questionable as this section can and will be changed once permission is granted. Hundreds of jobs are threatened if this proposal goes through. It's true that construction jobs will be created, but only for the period of the initial build. Nothing is being done to help those already working there, who are from various communities. This project smells of elitist housing provision for wealthy tenants and owners who will profit from others losses. It is a criminal idea to displace all the existing businesses, schools and eco-friendly projects already happening on that land.	Eileen	retired
	2026-06-26 08:46:56	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Mayor you playing with your votes all this nonsense with your decisions you push on to CT citizens are going to cost you dearly ! Your wall - your rush Muizenberg beachfront - your IDEA of a SHELTER IN MUIZENBERG - your backhanders - Blouberg - SSW issues - the nonsense you spin our coloured community OUR OCEANS ARE DYING WITH SEWERAGE - we watching you - getting all the evidence together - we gonna bury you in what you trying to do to us - your illegal fees on R&T not sorting out the drug mess we in - you partnering up with ANC - WE SICK OF THEM AND WE ARE GETTING SICK OF YOU !	Maryna	business owner
	2026-06-30 20:22:45	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Sharron	employed individual
	2026-07-01 10:45:44	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Sue	employed individual
	2026-09-14 13:29:12	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		I object to the proposed rezoning and redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct in its current form. While I recognise the need for housing and economic development in the Western Cape, development should only proceed when all statutory requirements have been properly satisfied and all relevant approvals have been lawfully obtained. I am deeply concerned that the proposed development is proceeding despite the fact that the Heritage Impact Assessment was refused and the appeal against that refusal was dismissed. If Heritage Western Cape has determined that the application does not comply with the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act, then it is inappropriate for the land-use application to continue as though this obstacle does not exist. The rule of law requires that statutory processes and specialist regulatory decisions be respected. Allowing development to proceed despite unresolved heritage concerns undermines public confidence in the planning process and creates the impression that regulatory requirements can be bypassed. I am also concerned about the loss of a long-established green open space and the impact that a high-density development of this scale may have on the surrounding area. Changes of this magnitude should be supported by comprehensive and transparent assessments of infrastructure capacity, traffic impacts, environmental consequences, community amenities, and heritage considerations. Development should enhance communities while preserving important environmental, historical, and cultural assets. Once heritage resources and open spaces are lost, they cannot easily be restored. I therefore request that: The application be halted until all heritage requirements have been properly satisfied. The Heritage Western Cape decision be respected and fully addressed. Further public consultation be undertaken on any revised proposal. Greater consideration be given to the preservation of green space, heritage resources, and community character. Any future development be appropriately scaled and aligned with lawful planning and heritage processes. Economic development is important, but it should not come at the expense of legal compliance, heritage protection, environmental sustainability, and meaningful public participation.	Gerhard	employed individual
	2026-10-05 00:11:37	No I do not	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			Amanda	retired
	2026-06-23 14:55:57	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Colin	business owner
	2026-06-23 17:19:26	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Briers	retired
	2026-06-23 18:34:05	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Ruth	retired
	2026-06-23 20:58:15	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Bastienne	self-employed
	2026-06-23 22:05:06	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Loyiso	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:48:22	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		As well as job losses there are enough private schools in Cape Town to add another inaccessible private education precinct before making the city affordable for us current citizens.	Phumlan	employed individual
	2026-06-24 05:55:30	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Ismail	business owner
	2026-06-24 07:10:50	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Selling off land to rich developers, probably with foreign links and capital is unfair. We have a system of forced legislation that does not sit well with me.	Alfred	retired
	2026-06-24 07:45:59	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Garth	retired
	2026-06-24 08:23:30	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		A. The site currently supports an irreplaceable ecosystem: The Robin Trust: Providing critical Alzheimer's, dementia, and step-down healthcare alongside accredited carer training since 1994. Therapeutic Interventions: Oude Molen Stables, running equine-assisted therapy for organizations like Open Circle and offering community riding programs for disabled children. Holistic Education: Gaia Waldorf School and Pinelands Montessori School, serving nearly 400 learners. Agro-Ecology & Rehabilitation: Food gardens running horticultural therapy in direct partnership with Valkenberg Psychiatric Hospital's Occupational Therapy department. First Nations Renewal: The Goringhaicona Khoena Kraal, hosting cultural practices and managing a 600-tree indigenous food forest. B. Here are the hard facts: 1. Bypassing Heritage Law: On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee officially rejected the project's Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) for violating Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. Pushing land-use rights through while statutory heritage clearance stands rejected is premature and unlawful. 2. The Visual Parameter Trap: The stylised, attractive models shown to the public do not depict the true scale. The underlying framework quietly fixes massive maximum parameters for height, bulk, and density. Once approved, subsequent planning phases are legally bound to work within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to alter the scale later. 3. The Private School Flip (Section 5.2): Billed early on as necessary public infrastructure, the finalised April 2026 Motivation Report admits the Department of Education has no planned public school provision for the site. The footprint has been moved into the commercial allocation to be run as an expensive, high-fee private school. 4. A Net Destruction of Jobs: Section 6.6.1 of the report claims the development will provide 900 jobs, but calculates this against a false baseline of zero. The long-standing Robin Trust facility alone employs 410 people on-site. Combined with two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and artisans, the existing precinct already supports hundreds of secure local jobs. This project represents a net job loss, not a gain. 5. Threat to Level 1 Trauma Access: Alexandra Road is the primary transit route to the critical Level 1 trauma centre at Vincent Pallotti Hospital. Pushing thousands of new daily vehicle trips onto a corridor that the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) admits is already critically bottlenecked at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections will cause total gridlock—directly risking trauma patient lives. 6. Proof of Predetermination: In November 2025, an official Western Cape project flyer pitched Oude Molen to global financiers at an investment summit. It falsely declared that heritage authorization was "completed by July 2025," public participation was "completed," and development rights were entirely "secured"—proving officials have treated this public window as a rubber-stamping exercise all along. C. Top concerns: 1. Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied. 2. Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity. 3. Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight. 4. Predetermination of outcomes. 5. Systematic loss of public land and open space. 6. Absence of an area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers Corridor. 7. Cumulative infrastructure strain. 8. Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims. 9. Environmental and ecological threats.	Carmen	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 09:55:15	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Laura	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:21:56	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Richard	business owner
	2026-06-24 10:27:13	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Misleading justification for these proposals; misleading assessments, visuals, claims and general DEVELOPMENT PRESSURE prompts me to say; "follow the money" to see WHO exactly benefits??	Nola	retired
	2026-06-24 10:30:28	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			P R	business owner
	2026-06-25 09:08:42	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Giuliana	
	2026-06-25 15:52:54	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Rudi	
	2026-06-26 07:06:03	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Vicky	unemployed individual
	2026-06-27 08:47:37	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Open natural space is essential and this mixed use place with community food gardens and shops for direct access to organic food is valuable to CT directly. Council houses could be built where the City has buildings already, which they sell for too much to rich people anyway, this is open beautiful space that is better not developed for commercial uses. We do not believe the City about their intentions for housing it's not it's for their privitised profits making for the private sector developers which is not useful to CT people.	Sam	business owner

	2026-06-27 12:29:15	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Cape Town faces a housing crisis. Firstly, affordable houses for the average person. Each time the City and the Provincial government approves new development it seems to cater for the upper middle class and extremely wealthy in the city. The cost of living has skyrocketed in the city and people cannot afford housing and the culprit is also the government that continues to give away land to developers instead of availing more land to lower income earners closer to the city and in areas suitable for habitation and closer to jobs. That area is a prime spot and needs to be catered for social housing rather than the wealthy.	Mandlakazi	self-employed
	2026-07-07 17:27:02	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		As a new home owner in Pinelands, I strongly object to the densification of limited remaining green areas, due to ecological and social importance of green spaces in city planning.	Cathryn	employed individual
	2026-08-17 00:56:57	No I do not	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Clive	retired
	2026-06-24 09:40:49	No I do not	No concern, I Support the Development			Sheila	
▼ Not fully	**101**						
	2026-06-23 13:56:36	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	it seems the City is pushing this through before everything has been approved. I do not have a problem with the development and of course more housing is needed in Cape Town. Just please check for added conjestion etc.	Michael	retired
	2026-06-23 14:38:39	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space	This is a high-value parcel of public land. It currently delivers substantial, measurable social and environmental value to the citizens of Cape Town at zero cost to the municipality. Any disposal, rezoning, or high-density development of public land must serve the genuine public interest, rather than being alienated for private commercial exploitation under a loose framework.	Scott	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:16:51	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Nosipho	business owner
	2026-06-23 16:51:36	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of public land and open space		Sally	retired
	2026-06-23 13:57:47	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		MICHAEL	retired
	2026-06-23 14:39:31	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Neal	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:21:36	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Michael	retired
	2026-06-24 07:23:01	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The above choices adequately describes the current situation.	Dorian	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:25:49	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	It is legally premature, factually misleading, and demonstrably predetermined. 1. Unlawful sequencing. Heritage Western Cape formally refused this development on 8 April 2026, ruling the HIA non-compliant with Section 38(3) of the NHRA. That refusal is on appeal and unresolved. Approving land-use rights before statutory heritage clearance is obtained is procedurally irregular and vulnerable to PAJA review. This application must be suspended until a final HWC determination is issued. 2. The public is approving parameters, not pictures. The stylised visuals shown to the public do not reflect what is actually being decided. This application fixes maximum height, bulk, and density parameters that will legally bind every future planning phase. Once approved, the public's ability to challenge scale is gone. That consequence is not disclosed clearly, and an uninformed consent is no consent at all. 3. The public school became a private one. The development's original public benefit case included a school for the community. The April 2026 Motivation Report (Section 5.2) now confirms the Department of Education has no provision for this site — and the school footprint has been quietly reclassified into the commercial allocation as a high-fee private institution. This is a fundamental change to the public interest justification for developing public land, and it has never been openly accounted for. 4. The job figures use a false baseline. Section 6.6.1 claims 900 new jobs — calculated against zero. The Robin Trust alone employs 410 people on-site today. Add two schools, eco-gardens, and artisan livelihoods and this precinct already supports hundreds of stable jobs. Projecting gains against a fictional empty site is not economic analysis. This development likely represents a net job loss, and the Motivation Report must be corrected before it can be relied upon. 5. Gridlock will cost lives. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges Alexandra Road is already critically over-capacity at the Berkley and Raapenberg intersections. This road is the primary access route to Vincent Pallotti Hospital's Level 1 trauma centre. Flooding it with thousands of new daily trips, without demonstrated mitigation, creates a foreseeable and preventable risk to trauma patients. This alone warrants independent TIA peer review before any approval. 6. The outcome was declared before the process began. In November 2025, an official Western Cape Government investor flyer declared heritage authorisation "completed by July 2025," public participation "completed," and development rights "secured" — all of it false. Heritage Western Cape refused the HIA five months later. The public had not yet been consulted. Officials told global financiers this was a done deal while we were still supposed to be participants. That is predetermination, and it poisons the legitimacy of every engagement step that followed. I call on the City to suspend this application, investigate the November 2025 investor materials, require independent TIA peer review, correct the employment baseline, and extend the comment period until the HWC appeal is finally resolved.	Pieter	retired
	2026-06-24 09:53:42	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The space under scrutiny has enormous environmental, historical, cultural and economic value. Too many Capetonians, and threatened ecologically sensitive inner-city spaces, are being threatened by over-zealous development. Most rate payers support the City's push to provide more and better housing, employment, security and to clean the city up - however the City should not supersede it's own by-laws and allow unmitigated expansion without correctly following procedure. Adequate time, skills and money must be put aside for professional impact assessments and community engagement, the facts should certainly be established and truthfully reported, and the value of what exists measured against the disruption, pause in productivity, displacement of people and jobs, changes to an existing community and system that has developed over decades and is valued for its earthy and holistic ethos that a commercial development would entail. Added to which, if the development provides fewer jobs, displaces those in the lower-income bracket and serves only to enrich developers or politicians who need no further enrichment - then the community, who do not want to leave and have nowhere else to go in the near vicinity must be protected above all. What is Cape Town's major attraction aside from it's incredible environmental features if not it's colourful, diverse population with deep roots in the areas in which they reside and where have developed functional, happy, culturally rich neighbourhoods? A first class, first world city is not only economically viable, clean and efficient - it also provides for the people who live in it . It's mission must be broad and inclusive, environmentally sensitive and sustainable. Otherwise what are we but a success story one day and then a top-heavy, transient, shallow failure of a city the next?	Simone	employed individual
	2026-06-24 12:32:32	Not fully	All of the above	Predetermination of outcomes by officials	The fact that it is “a done and dusted” decision by the Cape Town authorities, excluding the majority of stake holders, with valid reasons to oppose this development, is steamrolling a doubtful development that is not transparent enough.	Kevin	retired
	2026-06-23 14:42:42	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I do understand that housing closer to the City center is required. I do not agree to the use of heritage sites for such development. If we continue in that vain we will leave nothing of our forefathers for our children or their offspring. The traffic at peak times in that area is already very heavy. Adding this development will just make matters worse. The province should also consider the City's proposed development a little up the road in the golf course area.	Chris	retired
	2026-06-23 14:56:55	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I am not against development and I am not against densification. I am against officials abusing their rights of office, steamrolling processes, selling the land beneath the publics feet and theduplicity that exists where some developments are mired in pernicious applications of the Heritage Act while other projects simply steam roll over the most valuable assets of our Heritage	Oliver	self-employed
	2026-06-23 17:14:13	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	This whole thing sounds like it should be rethought and conducted with more transparency.	Nc	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:30:52	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Debra	
	2026-06-23 19:04:34	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	While I am not against improvement that benefits South African society, I am against development that seems to be for the benefit of a minority few at the top, specifically those who with be profiting from this development of property. The abuse of power by those with finance, and the habit of bullying and rent-seeking behavior, has got to stop. They absolutely must be kept in check.	Samantha	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:59:18	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Quite simply, it currently sounds like corruption because it sounds like it's all about money for developers and due process has not been followed.	Taryn	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:30:02	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Matthew	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:40:40	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	There seems to be a lot of explaining to the public	Graeme	retired
	2026-06-24 08:02:38	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Cindy	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:00:09	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Developers too often lie about what their final intentions are for a space, and once they’ve started they push through. Procedures, public participation and oversight exist for a reason and should be done properly. Development in Cape Town should be progressive in its approach to ecology, infrastructure, community, mixed-use housing and redressing spatial apartheid. Development done with consideration would not face so much opposition, and politicians and council employees should not be so quick to sell our natural resources short.	Jenna	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:25:18	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	Feels like we are going to get another one of those golf course security suburbs. No cheap housing for anyone,	Mike	employed individual
	2026-06-24 16:32:11	Not fully	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Kim	student
	2026-06-23 19:25:06	Not fully	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Law applied to all, Process must be followed. They shouldn’t rush into a development of this scale. Consequences of this decision are huge.	Annette	employed individual
	2026-06-23 19:42:55	Not fully	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Sean	self-employed
	2026-06-23 23:38:31	Not fully	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	We can do better than this. Mixed income integrated community space, not more high end private school vibes	W	
	2026-06-24 10:34:17	Not fully	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied	Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children.	L	self-employed
	2026-06-23 21:43:40	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I support development but not at the loss of heritage or the environment. I believe there are better options for the area. Cape Town is a creative city and we can do better than overdeveloping a wonderful greenspace. Our city cannot cope with the volumes of people relocating every year.	Natalie	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:18:42	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	Unfortunately development will go ahead but would like to trust that all impacts have been taken into consideration. That the existing community is protected especially as a result of long tenure. Environment needs to be improved including protection of the wetland.	Shane	employed individual

	2026-06-24 11:17:59	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jacqueline	employed individual
	2026-06-24 13:33:00	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I do not fully support this initiative and believe all statutory processes, independent assessments and governance requirements must be followed rigorously. Concerns relating to heritage, environmental impacts, transparency, public participation, traffic and infrastructure, social considerations, and the adequacy of supporting studies should be thoroughly addressed before any decisions are made. Accountability, due process and compliance with all applicable laws and safeguards are essential to ensure that decisions are made in the public interest.	Grant	
	2026-06-30 23:18:18	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Maxwell	self-employed
	2026-07-01 11:05:29	Not fully	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Jaun	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:15:08	Not fully	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims	The development is too monolithic, too regular a skyline, too urban, needs crafted for a suburban context.	Brian	business owner
	2026-06-26 17:40:50	Not fully	All of the above	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Gemma	retired
	2026-06-24 12:24:20	Not fully	All of the above	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Burgert	
	2026-06-25 10:47:04	Not fully	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor		Juhlene	self-employed
	2026-08-18 11:07:37	Not fully	All of the above	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor	Firstly the zone needs cleaning up of trash. Horses, mules and other animals will die eating plastics. I'm not of the Cape and not a linguist but do see problems. How can folk live inside a trash bin? Throw away your trash and not on the ground, as it is just so easy to do, me? Everyone needs a space to live? Look after	Nor	retired
	2026-06-23 14:22:58	Not fully	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland		Replacing a long-established, low-density green space with a high-density, eight-storey mixed-use development introducing roughly 1,364 residential units, extensive commercial office spaces, and retail infrastructure. What I don't understand is why there are development spaces in Ottery and Goodwood not being utilised for housing. Fix the current crisis by focusing on getting rid of the construction mafia, housing extensions with no plans, and rezoning of residential houses businesses like "medical centres" and other offices. Legal and illegal that they are. Plenty of GP and other businesses sit in residential properties with no person living onsite.	Stacy	employed individual
	2026-06-23 15:11:15	Not fully	Environmental and ecological threats to the Black River wetland			Keri	
	2026-06-23 14:40:22	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		This is again a short-term plan so that rich people can make a buck. This puts pressure on infrastructure, schools, hospitals. This destroys an ecosystem. And let's be honest, poor people aren't ever going to be able to afford to live here. Jobs lost. Nature destroyed. But hey, some investors got some ROI at the end of the day.	Gerrit	employed individual
	2026-06-23 18:05:42	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Julayga	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:06:08	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		Hmm, how about build more dams, upgrade sewage, upgrade roads, upgrade power…before building another high density complex?? Where we getting water from? Where is the waste going? Probably directly into the Black River…where is the power from and why make worse the already traffic gridlock?	Bruce	
	2026-06-24 06:23:39	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Francis Dudley	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:07:26	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The City needs to be crystal clear how they plan to service this (and existing) developments. Traffic is untenable. Water is going to become a real crisis (again!).	Michael	employed individual
	2026-06-24 09:16:33	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		There is already no more space for children to attend schools. If you want to build more houses, you have to build everything extra to accommodate like hospital and school and infrastructure for sewage and electricity and roads.	Karen	self-employed
	2026-06-24 11:43:14	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Gabriele	
	2026-06-24 11:43:16	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure			Gabriele	
	2026-06-24 16:48:58	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		TIA needs to take into consideration: - the assumed increase in development in Pinelands on line with the CoCT densification Policy, which we are already seeing, and the CoCT is aware of. - the potential mass development of King David Mowbray Golf Club Open Space (OS3) The N0. 10 Recommendations noted on Page 36 of the Traffic portion of the documents circulated makes NO feasible proposals to deal with the No. 9 Conclusions noted on Page 33 &34. A development of this scale and density without the appropriate traffic impact acknowledgements WILL result in a total and dangerous throttling of ingress and egress into Pinelands, Maitland Garden Village and the Vincent Pallotti Precinct.	Jessica	self-employed
	2026-06-29 11:24:52	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		I would like to see the area developed as a green area similar to Green Park Urban Park or Kirstenbosch Botanical Gardens, with no housing (low cost social or otherwise) but with eco-friendly small industries that will complement the broader green area. The area is currently neglected and needs an upgrade in keeping with its eco-friendly tradition but needs to be sustainable. We need more areas for families to visit and experience nature. We already have challenges with infrastructure in and around Pinelands/Conradie Park, etc. Also not happy about non-compliance processes.	Trevor	business owner
	2026-09-16 19:43:52	Not fully	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure		The city is not doing proper checks on applications and tbe impact on outdated infrastructure, traffic impact, health care impact, safety impact as policing is already under pressure with limited resources. In Bothasig we are having water leakage every week due to pressure on tbe system.	Jason	employed individual
	2026-06-23 14:43:59	Not fully	Other			Madeleine	
	2026-06-23 18:44:28	Not fully	Other		I have been involved with various artisans & a previous clothing factory at this site for a number of years. Some tenants live in appalling conditions and it has been protected by a strong cartel who control the entire site and have gone out of their way to make rentals difficult. Most lies vacant and dilapidated. I have numerous images to prove it. Forget the heritage, that was destroyed long ago by the guys who leased all the land for peanuts from the city and put nothing in to it It is a perfect site with multiple road infrastructure surrounding it. The only issue I would say needs protecting is the existing school and all the artisans whose livelihood depends on this (a handful). There is ample room for a new govt school to be incorporated into the plans and a community space where artisans can rent at low cost. This should & must be essential. To say there are 100's of employees on this site is factually incorrect. It's 2026. Wake up times change. If it's beautiful & creative as most SA projects then anyone and everyone will take pride and look after it.	Caro	
	2026-06-24 08:33:40	Not fully	Other			Thys	retired
	2026-07-03 11:22:37	Not fully	Other		The fact that the concerns haven't been addressed satisfactorily suggest that this is an ill thought out proposition to enrich a few who care for nothing: environment: people: or flora and fauna. Of the 1364 units to be built only 463 social houses which is non-enforceable and non-binding. I hope that the alarms bells are ringing.	Ian	retired
	2026-06-23 15:08:58	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Georgia	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:14:39	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Its clear there should be urban development on the site. However, due consideration to the existing users and the green lung function the site currently servers needs to be considered. There may be other spaces nearby on the rail way reserve running parallel to the site which can be used for the recreational and other small scale agronomic and other activities. Keeping the best tenants in the existing Oude Moulen eco-village going would be a PLUS for any development. I'm sure with creative commitment something special could be developed. Also - Oude Moulen is not far from the Athlone power station site. The two could be linked.	Jack	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:56:29	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This process needs to be restarted with full oversight and procedural rigidity from day one. That will be cheaper and quicker than the potential litigation that will follow a flawed process.	Michael	
	2026-06-24 08:33:30	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		This is very common with city approvals of developments. The city will only release plans for public comment too late, will release plans which are incorrect/misleading to the public, will overrule heritage decisions - all to get higher rates income. The DA CoCT is as corrupt as the rest of the country. They are just better in covering their tracks.	Todd	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:47:08	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Unathi	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:49:38	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Why is the DA council not following correct and transparent procedure? Are they going the same way as other municipalities run by ANC and dysfunctional coalitions? Disregarding public opinion? What is their true agenda? Very suspect?	Graham	retired
	2026-06-24 13:45:18	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Ursula	retired
	2026-06-24 14:53:55	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Clifford	retired
	2026-06-24 15:47:41	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			lesley	retired
	2026-06-25 19:45:26	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		We need as much development and investment, especially on infrastructure, as we can get. However this needs to be done correctly, transparently and legally. Selling is selling and there will always be a pitch and some exaggeration to get things across the line, but it needs to benefit the community and locals as well.	GP	business owner
	2026-06-26 08:05:32	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Probably illegal in an ethical, procedural sense, hence unconstitutional.	Alf	

	2026-06-26 13:39:42	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Heritage site not as important as the effect on the area. We have to accept increased densi ty and not hang on to the past. Hence the need to focus on the future effect: traffic congestion, aesthetics, degree of open spaces etc. a group should approach those types of issues, rather than the past.	Carol	retired
	2026-06-26 19:55:49	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Education needs to be for all people not just the wealthy buildings need to be less voluminous. Lower like 3 storeys and lots of green space for public use needs to be retained and the river looked after	Josette	employed individual
	2026-06-27 07:04:26	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight			Marielouise	self-employed
	2026-06-28 22:07:02	Not fully	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Traffic congestion	Jacqui	retired
	2026-06-23 15:18:43	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Y	Isabelle	
	2026-06-23 15:54:53	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Displacing well established care facilities like the Robin Trust, the holistic schools, the eco-gardens, and the equestrian therapy facilities destroys a functioning social ecosystem that fulfills great public value. They need to be considered and included in the social impact considerations.	Jutta	
	2026-06-23 17:41:02	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		There needs to be an integrated spatial plan that works on multiple scales and encourages diverse engagement for the site. Not withstanding what makes neighbourhoods flourish vs spreadsheet planning.	Jarrad	business owner
	2026-06-23 20:51:58	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Jameela	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 10:42:38	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity		Development cant be stopped, it is progress, but not at the cost of what is already excisting and working. If Jeritage is not preserved, Cape Town will soon look like Jhb.... An eye sore	Magdalena	retired
	2026-06-29 20:39:46	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Johan	employed individual
	2026-07-02 03:34:29	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Liz	retired
	2026-09-26 06:49:18	Not fully	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			simon	
	2026-06-23 15:55:01	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Antoinette	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:12:07	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		Due process must be followed with all applications	Duncan	retired
	2026-06-23 16:54:26	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		It is totally unacceptable to lie, either via commission or omission - especially for people in positions of power.	Gerhard	
	2026-06-23 20:34:31	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		The DA led council and in particular property development authority / section run roughshod over residents concerns. They bulldoze through development one strongly suspects because these developers become or are strong party funders. In all the big property development confrontations in the city, compromise can be found. It depends however on will ...	Kay	business owner
	2026-06-24 15:09:13	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials		I am sick and tired of the CoCT's densification at all costs approach/strategy. Existing rights of residents are usually trumped / violated in the process. Previous municipal assignments / area plans are subtely amended to suit and then justify their agenda. Longer term, they risk losing traditional voter support.	Fabian	employed individual
	2026-06-24 18:47:02	Not fully	Predetermination of outcomes by officials			Michael	retired
	2026-06-23 17:24:25	Not fully	No concern, I Support the Development		I support the development provided the people living there respect the environment and ecological Black River wetland. If I compare how the people live in the Plumstead area, I'm sure it will be fine but it will be remiss of me if I didn't mention it.	Shaun	employed individual
	2026-06-24 02:06:42	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Development of high-density multiu-storey mixed-use precincts are the way of the future. However, all the legal requirements must be followed and adhered to before permission for the development is granted. Special attention needs to be given to the traffic impact of the development. Road capacity needs to be widened (Alexdra Road in particular).	Jürg	retired
	2026-06-24 02:06:42	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Development of high-density multiu-storey mixed-use precincts are the way of the future. However, all the legal requirements must be followed and adhered to before permission for the development is granted. Special attention needs to be given to the traffic impact of the development. Road capacity needs to be widened (Alexdra Road in particular).	Jürg	retired
	2026-06-24 02:06:43	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Development of high-density multiu-storey mixed-use precincts are the way of the future. However, all the legal requirements must be followed and adhered to before permission for the development is granted. Special attention needs to be given to the traffic impact of the development. Road capacity needs to be widened (Alexdra Road in particular).	Jürg	retired
	2026-06-24 02:06:44	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Development of high-density multiu-storey mixed-use precincts are the way of the future. However, all the legal requirements must be followed and adhered to before permission for the development is granted. Special attention needs to be given to the traffic impact of the development. Road capacity needs to be widened (Alexdra Road in particular).	Jürg	retired
	2026-06-24 06:27:31	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Going through legal processes, environmental, historical, road impact studies, schooling are all important before deciding if the project is viable. This project has already violated too many of those boundaries.	Jane	unemployed individual
	2026-06-24 10:05:09	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The over hastily and poorly planned densification of open areas impacts future generations forever. Urban sprawl is curtailed by densification for economic reasons (available surrounding infrastructure), at the price of over crowding and degradation of future neighbourhoods simply to satisfy housing shortage close to built up areas due to rampant influx.	eddie	retired
	2026-06-24 14:02:49	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		The existing infrastructure is unable to carry the growth of population and additional burden of providing the necessary facilities.	Gerhard	retired
	2026-06-24 20:35:01	Not fully	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Geo	employed individual
	2026-06-24 06:12:40	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Mandisa	
	2026-06-24 06:55:22	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Hendrik	self-employed
	2026-06-24 07:58:49	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			PETER	self-employed
	2026-06-24 15:24:15	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		Developers are notoriously greedy. There must be an exact precinct plan from which they may not deviate. Transparency and a complete plan are essential, NOT optional. We are trying to uplift and improve lives & the environment. The wealthy & greedy must not be allowed to control this process.	Ingrid	retired
	2026-06-26 12:11:38	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims			Mark	employed individual
	2026-06-27 14:30:13	Not fully	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		We have sufficient expensive housing developments in Cape Town with little to nothing close to working opportunities and accessible and inexpensive transport. This development will add to massive inequality already existing in Cape Town. Perhaps this is the current ruling party's way of sabotaging whichever party takes the Western Cape with next election?	Fuzlin	retired
	2026-06-25 11:05:01	Not fully	Loss of public land and open space		While I understand that the City is under pressure to densify, I am alarmed at the loss of open spaces in our city. It is also highly concerning that there is an issue with the Heritage aspect of this land. It is very important to preserve our heritage buildings and spaces. Once they are gone, it is lost forever, and I believe it is worth keeping most of the time. I want to be sure there is a respect for history in the City, and that there are enough open spaces, and safe public spaces for residents of the area to use for exercise and relaxation. Also, developers need to be forced to maintain wetlands at all costs.	Ingrid	employed individual
	2026-06-28 17:28:00	Not fully	Loss of public land and open space			Gert	retired
▼ Yes I do	**29**						
	2026-06-23 14:19:08	Yes I do	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight		Geoff	employed individual
	2026-06-24 08:03:09	Yes I do	All of the above	Procedural flaws, misleading visuals & constrained public oversight	I object in the strongest terms to the proposed rezoning and high-density redevelopment of the Oude Molen Precinct, on the following grounds: This process is unlawful. On 10 June 2026, Heritage Western Cape's Appeals Committee upheld the outright refusal of the Heritage Impact Assessment, confirming it fails to comply with Section 38(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act. The City of Cape Town is proceeding with a land-use application anyway. This is not an administrative oversight — it is deliberate. Western Cape Government materials presented to investors at the November 2025 Investment Summit explicitly described the project as "derisked" with completed heritage authorisations, while the HIA had already been found non-compliant. The outcome has been predetermined. The public participation process is a formality being conducted in bad faith. The infrastructure does not exist. This proposal is one of several concurrent mega-developments along the same corridor. No independent cumulative infrastructure audit has been conducted. Local schools are already over-capacity. The Traffic Impact Assessment acknowledges critical bottlenecks on Alexandra Road — directly threatening emergency access to Vincent Pallotti's trauma unit. The transport framework depends on a new train station for which PRASA has made no funding commitment whatsoever. Approving this development without confirmed infrastructure is reckless. The environmental harm is irreversible. The site borders the Black River wetland, a habitat for the endangered Western Leopard Toad. No Environmental Impact Assessment has been conducted. The cumulative ecological impact of this and neighbouring developments on the wetland system has not been evaluated at all. This is publicly owned land. It must not be permanently alienated for private commercial gain on the basis of a rejected heritage process, unverified housing promises, and infrastructure that does not exist	erika	self-employed

	2026-06-23 16:21:14	Yes I do	All of the above	Cumulative development pressure on local infrastructure	Unlawful Heritage Procedural Bypassing: Opponents argue it is completely unlawful to push for land use and rezoning approvals while the legally mandated Heritage Impact Assessment stands officially rejected by Heritage Western Cape for violating the NHRA. Erasure of Living Heritage: Critics point out that the developers use a narrow, outdated definition of heritage that ignores “horizontal” community transmission and mistakes “archival silence” (the colonial omission of marginalized group records) for an absence of living culture and First Nations history. Destruction of a Fragile Social Ecosystem: Pushing high-density concrete blocks will permanently displace a 30-year-old self-sustaining village of tenants providing invaluable, free public services, including the Robin Trust medical care, two holistic schools, eco-gardens, and equestrian therapy facilities for disabled children. Unenforceable Affordable Housing Claims: Financial fine print within the framework indicates that the “one-third affordable housing” pledge is non-binding and completely contingent on future private developer financial feasibility study outcomes. Misleading Master Plans & Constrained Oversight: While the development framework fixes maximum height and bulk parameters, the illustrations provided to the public fail to depict these maximums, masking the true density. Once these land-use parameters are approved, subsequent processes are entirely constrained within those maxima, leaving the public with severely limited scope to influence or alter the scale of development at later stages.	Linda	retired
	2026-06-23 18:38:00	Yes I do	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I see this development earmarked for amazon management at the cost of our heritage. I foresee traffic congestion, spoilt skylines, concrete jungle.	Gary	business owner
	2026-06-29 23:17:09	Yes I do	All of the above	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity	I have visited on numerous occasions with a local friend. It would be a terrible shame and loss for this site to no longer be available for the people and the animals. Thank you	Bibby	employed individual
	2026-06-24 07:34:02	Yes I do	All of the above	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		Ben	employed individual
	2026-06-23 16:10:31	Yes I do	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			gerald	self-employed
	2026-06-23 16:10:31	Yes I do	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			gerald	self-employed
	2026-06-26 12:45:16	Yes I do	Loss of existing community ecosystem & historical continuity			Tsie	retired
	2026-06-23 18:38:39	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		It's an old and delapedated property and can be developed into something more meaningful for the community.	Quentin	self-employed
	2026-06-24 06:48:24	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		The VIA is thorough and well presented description of the proposed landscape change.	Stephen	
	2026-06-24 08:11:43	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		We have too find a way to make this work- even if it means it takes longer to sort out road and other infrastructure first	Shawn	employed individual
	2026-06-24 10:54:12	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development			Johannes	business owner
	2026-06-24 11:16:15	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		Oude Molen is in my opinion a mess and needs to be sorted out	Lauwrens	business owner
	2026-06-24 14:38:45	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		Area is dilapidated, Waldorf School should be relooked at and upgraded too, but be kept. The area is delapidated. Can do with a relook and redo	Princess	employed individual
	2026-06-25 07:33:59	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development			Grant	employed individual
	2026-06-25 22:14:45	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		Please create jobs for our young folk. Stop with the nonsense.	Judy	retired
	2026-06-26 06:52:28	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		You have posted in a way that already pushes the answer you want, and that is anti the development. I thought you are supposed to be neutral	Robert	business owner
	2026-06-28 17:55:42	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development		The need for additional living space close to the city centre is acute. The knee jerk reaction to oppose any and all development perpetuates the injustices of Apartheid.	Tony	retired
	2026-08-21 08:46:03	Yes I do	No concern, I Support the Development			Anna	retired
	2026-06-23 22:23:46	Yes I do	Other		This is political and another example of marginal interests holding up much needed development. Very biased intro	Peter John	
	2026-06-23 22:23:46	Yes I do	Other		This is political and another example of marginal interests holding up much needed development. Very biased intro	Peter John	
	2026-06-24 09:52:57	Yes I do	Other			Sinalo	employed individual
	2026-06-24 11:22:29	Yes I do	Other			Faldie	self-employed
	2026-06-24 10:16:21	Yes I do	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		I support responsible development that benefits the community, provided it is carried out with proper care, transparency, and full compliance with all legal requirements. If a thorough Environmental Impact Assessment and Heritage Impact Assessment are completed and approved, and the development can be shown to protect the area's environmental, heritage, and community value, then I would be in agreement with the project. However, any redevelopment should only proceed after all heritage, environmental, and statutory concerns have been properly addressed and resolved in accordance with the law. Sustainable development must balance growth with the preservation of our natural and heritage resources for future generations.	JAN	retired
	2026-06-24 18:44:17	Yes I do	Unverified, non-binding affordable housing claims		This site is extensive enough to allow for real affordable housing. It must be affordable to a broad class of the affordable housing market and not just the top echelon (i.e. R22k/month). Some stock must also be availed to those earning around R15k so they are also able to penetrate the formal housing market.	Zukile	employed individual
	2026-06-24 19:59:29	Yes I do	No area-wide heritage assessment for the Two Rivers corridor			tracy	business owner
	2026-07-13 17:29:59	Yes I do	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied			Drummond	employed individual
	2026-08-30 06:59:33	Yes I do	Heritage process is incomplete & misapplied		We stand No to city for Oude Molen it belongs to the citizens	Sibongile	employed individual